



## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Ann Pover	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Ann Proctor		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Annalisa Barrington	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			set curfew 5pm - 8am. Why should responsible owners have to foot the bill?
Anne Banks-McAllister	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Anne and Dave Stroud		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Devestation caused by wild cats
Anne Kent	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Anne Tumak	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Annemarie Lennon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Anon	N/A	No	No	No	No			
Anonymous	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Anonymous	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Anonymous	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Anonymous	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Anonymous		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Anonymous	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			



## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Barbara Woods		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	only sold from licenced breeders not pet stores to do away with impulse buying.
Barbara Zuegg	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Night curfew
Barry & Jean L.M. Hicks	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Belinda Forbes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bella Bamford		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Belle Connor		No	No	No	No			feels legislation is unnecessary and proposed ideas should remain optional due to cost that some cannot afford. Does not agree with trapping or confinement. In the case of compulsory sterilisation then help for low income earners is a must.
Ben Lullfitz	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bernard Simpson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bernice Bernard		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Betsy Cordon Cokram	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Betty and Brian Thoroughgood		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Beverley Bridgland	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Beverly Spencer		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Biddy Myres	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bill Adams	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Blake Hill	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bob Jeffreys	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bob Maumill	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bonnie James	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Boyd Elizabeth	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Breanne Brown	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Brian Aldrich	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Brian and Sheila Peet	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Brian Blechynden	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Brian Callander	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Brian French	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Brian Mead	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Brian Ottley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bronwyn Bell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
C Ayling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carissa Hunt	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carl Gosper		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Carla Beattie	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carol Biddulph	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carol Hudson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carol Richards		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Carol Young	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carol-Ann Grazier	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Carole Galli	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	encouraged		
Carole Paterson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Caroline Franklin	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Carolyn Waterhouse	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Carolyn Webley-Hurrell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Cassandra Houghton	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Cat Alliance of Australia	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			supports MC and sterilisation at point of sale
Cat Owners Association	N/A	No	No	No	No			does not support seizure.
Cat Sterilisation Society	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Caterina McKenzie	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Cathering Gray		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Cathy Josephs		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Cecil Joseph Leopold	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Cecil Wells	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Charmain Heath	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Charmaine Jeffrey		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Cheree Anrep		No	No	No	No			concerned with costs, financial assistant for those in need. Concerned that domestic cats will be bred out.
Cherry Leonard		Yes	No	Yes	No			
Cheryl Bettridge	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Cheryl Spencer	No	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Chris Harvey	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Chris Kojan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Christina Smith	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Christine Bourne	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Christine Randall	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Owners must keep cats on their own property
Christine Randall	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		Does not support compulsory sterilisation. Says MC should be optional.
Christine Yurovich	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Chrstine Jones	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No		
City of Canning	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Claire Gich	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Clarissa Calucci	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Clive Cayford	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Col Hartnett		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Colin Fairclough	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Colin Hanley	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Corinne	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			supports sterilisation and identification (MC) but not registration unless free
Craig Douglas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Cristy Burne	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Cynthia Pickering	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
D M Hutchinson		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night confinement
D&J Hallard	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
D. Singor-Turner	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
D. Stan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Daniel Ranger	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Daniel Simms		No	No	No	No		No	place a significant burden on LG, significant costs regarding resourcing education, staffing and holding facilities.
Daphne Shaw	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Dave and Justine Spencer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
David Mather	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Dawn Baccini	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Dawn Lowe		Yes	Yes	No	Yes			does not support seizure.
Dawn Watson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			Allow Cat traps
Dean and Angela Kelly		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			



## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Dean Unsworth	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Debbie Mascaro	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Debbie Naylor		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Deidre Foster	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Deidre Main	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Del Zimmermann	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Demelza Dravnieks	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Dennis and Colleen McDonald	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Des Aitkin	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Diane Matthews	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Diane Papenfus	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Dianne Harwood	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Dianne Hunter	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Dianne Nason	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			No live animal sale at pet shops
Dianne Sawyer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Don Coney	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Donna Cranley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Donna Gilbert	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Doris Campbell		Yes	No	Yes	Yes			submission format not user friendly. Just because a cat is registered or ID it will not stop them wandering, it will just give neighbours a legal avenue which will make matters worse

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Doris Johnson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Doug Holmes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Down E Rutter		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
E Bekink	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
East Fremantle	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Eda Brackenreg	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Eduardo Farate	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Elena Aza Ponce	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Elizabeth Bailey	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ellie Brusasco	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Elsa Dabbas	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Emer O'Gara	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Emma Brown		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Eric Ayers	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Errol James	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Estelle Burrows	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Esther Scott	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Evelyn and Bill McLarty		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Faye Dalton		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	night curfew
Fiona Pond	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Night curfew
Frances Stanley	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Frank Lawrence	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Frank Peczka		No	No	No	No			Council does not accept any cost or responsibility, should be placed on Pet Shop owners, breeders, Cat Havens and Vets. If deemed a state wide problem then legislators to accept responsibility.
Frog Doctor	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	night curfew
Gale MacLachlan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Garry Edgar		Yes	Yes	Yes	No			Remove mandatory desexing. Strengthen welfare legislation and penalties for those who mistreat or harm any animal. Invest into ID the real source of cat overpop and develop programs. Address prob of semi owned by programs like Whos for Cats. Introduce and fund education program on pet owner responsibility.
Gary and Claudine Westacott		Yes	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	registration is just revenue raising. Does not agree with allowing people to trap cats.

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		night curfew
Gaye Reeves	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Gaylene Proud	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Gayrth Santen		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Geoff Barrett		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Geoffrey Harris		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
George Gatenby		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		night curfew
Geraldton-Greenough	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Gillian Tedder		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Glen Stockley	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Glennis McDonald	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Graeme Bride		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Already have a LL
Graham Eogson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Grecian Sandwell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Greg Street	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Gregg Hardwood	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Guat		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Guy Jordan Collins	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Hamilton Stott	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		does not agree with seizure.
Harriet Olney	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Hazel Hughes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Heather Old	Yes	No	No	No	No			Ban pet shop sales, license breeders and have them sterilise and MC kittens on sale. Legislation aimed at wrong people.
Heidi Sowerby	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Helen and Terry Cooke	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Helen Barnes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Helen Griffiths	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Helen Lovitt-Raison	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Helen McMillan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			worried that 'moggie's' will be bred out. Will a curfew be part of legislation?
Helen Potkura	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Helen Vos	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Helena Mills		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Helena Zielinska		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Herbie Whittall		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Owners fined if cats out at night, 2nd time destroyed
Hilary Johnston	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Registration same as dogs
Howard Duff	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ian Hartley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ian Watson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ian Knox	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ieva Bergman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			worries that moggies will be bred out

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Ilona Jaksic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ione Atkinson		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
J Grebert	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
J Rolandi	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
J.E Soutar		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jackie Carbro	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jacky Lambert	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jacqueline Morgan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Night curfew
Jan Savage	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jane Wedgwood	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Janelle Graham		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Janet Adams	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Janet Elwood		No	No	No	No			laws too much trouble. LG should give vouchures for 50% off sterilisation. Education program.
Janet Robson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Janet Williams	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	
Janette Lionels	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Janice Fletcher	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Janice Moy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jannette Ruth Haight	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jasmin Galati	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jay Innes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
JE Pearce	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Jean Barclay	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jean D'Alonzo	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jeanette Spiller	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Jehangir R Mehta	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jelena May	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Jemma Sivyer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jennifer Gates	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jennifer Irvine	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jennifer Le Quesne		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jennifer Sparrows	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jessica Sheppard	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jill Kennedy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jill Thomas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jim Anderson	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Jim Brazil	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jim Lewin		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jim Maclean	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jim McInerney	Yes	No	No	No	No			
Jo Donnellan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	night confinement
Jo Gunning	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Joan Hatton	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night confinement
Joan Payne	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Joanne Quelch	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes			
Joanne Wakefield	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Joe Froudist		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			main basis of support is the hope it will reduce impact of un-owned cats on wildlife
Joe Potter		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
John and Michelle Tan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
John Collingridge		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			would like to see the eradication of cats as pets through a 15 year moratorium period where by at the end of the period it will be illegal to keep cats as pets.
John Keyworth		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
John McKinney	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
John Mitchell		No	No	No	No			Does not support cat legislation
John Richardson	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
John Rippey		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			bells on collars
John Schindler	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	encouraged		
John White		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			query
Johnathon Noonan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Jon Behrens	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Josette Hill		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Joshua Mildenthal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			



## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Joy Verrinder	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		No	incentives to responsible owners who adopt abandoned animals.
Judith Beer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Judy Clarke	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Judy Giles		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Judy Kozak	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Julia B Loubser	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Julia Brennan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Julianne Waldock	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Julie Badger	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Julie Crewe		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Thinks cat owners should carry the cost burden of new legislation
Julie Hickling	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Justine Ward		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Kanak Ranjan Ray	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kara Breitenback	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Karen Dent	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Karen McEniry		Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Karen Price	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Karen Whitney	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Karl		No	No	No	No			Best way to remove cat problem - sterillise humans instead.
Kate Taylor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kate Sutherland		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kath Jacka	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Katherine Gaschk	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Katie Nelson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Katy Jaksic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kaziah Dawes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Keiran McNamara	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Keith Anderson	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kelly Roman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		night curfew
Kerrie McKennay	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kerry Luscombe		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kestri Seksel	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No			only supports compulsory ID through T&C. other aspects to remain encouraged but voluntary
Kevin Davidson	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Kim Hardaker	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kim Muggridge	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kim Stannard Brown	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kimberley Post		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Owners to pay all costs, not community. Concern with free roaming cats
Kira Tracey	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kirsten Tullis	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Kirsty Connell	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
KL Parry	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kris Warren		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Kristy Rumble	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
L Allison	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Laurel Stones		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Laurina Bullen	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lee Fontanini	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Lee Rowley	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	night confinement. Registraion to be managed by a central agency not LG's
Leigh Smith	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Len Abbatt	Yes	No	No	No	No			
Leonie Vianna	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Lesley Brocker		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lesley Cheheney	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lexi Jury	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Liddell Williams	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lillian Young	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Linda Davies		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Linda Johnson		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Linda Moffat Ray	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ling Hoffman		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lisa Anstis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Lisa Conn		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			children has allergies to cats and is concerned that cats can wander into her yard - leaving faeces etc that children can pick up. Compulsory to have bells on collars.
Lisa Stevens		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			ban sale of kittens in Pet Shops
Lisette Vis		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Liz Allison		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Legislation to deal with irresponsible pet ownership and not disadvantage animals. Guidelines for pet ownership.
Liz Bushby	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Night curfew
Lorna Stewart	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lorraine June Judge		No	No	No	No	No		
Lucie Nicholls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lucille Fisher	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Lucy Simon	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lukas Baker	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lyn Windram	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Lynda Pragnell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Lynn MacLaren	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Lynne Davis	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
M Bacich		No	No	No	No			Thinks it is cruel and a murder campaign
Madalene Wallace	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Marcus Good	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Marcus Owen	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Does not believe legislation is practicle in a rural area. The Shire does not have a cat problem.
Margaret Anne Ryan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Margaret Bush	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Margaret Huggins		No	No	No	No	No		
Margaret Pearce		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			must have subsidy programs for low income earners
Margaret Philson	No	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Margaret Young	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Margot Tobin	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Maria McAdam		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Marilyn Clark Murphy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Marion Vince	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Mark Hackleton		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Mark O'Donnell		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			compulsory sterilisation will save lives of cats
Mark Studd	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Mark Thompson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Marra Apgar	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Mary Thompkins		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			in favour of legislation but notes that in ACT laws have had little affect due to lack of community awareness and enforcement.
Mary Townsend	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Matthew Whyte	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Max Bazzica		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Megan Dare	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Megan Longwill	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Melissa Cundy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Melissa Smith	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Merv Williams		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Michael Duckett	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Michael Fitzgerald	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Michael Mills-Borley	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Michael O'Connor		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Night curfew
Michael Warwick		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Enclose in runs
Michaela Collingwood	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Michaela Newman	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
Michelle Clarke		Yes	yes	Yes	Yes			
Michelle Crowe		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Is concerned that limits on cats per household will force her to get rid of some of her cats.

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Michelle Pierce	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Michelle Prince	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Mick O'Regan	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	encouraged		
Mick Wainwright		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Mike Butcher	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Supports legislation but overall does not think it will work. Wants Feral Cat Control companies to have access to databases
Mike Cox	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Night curfew
Mike Webster		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Maybe stronger laws required
Mike Pimm	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes			
Miranda Coffey	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Mort Wignall		No	No	No	No			not opposed to legislation but is not sufficiently resourced to perform the functions in the legislation
Mrs Wilkinson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Mundaring	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Nancy Dowling	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Nancy O'Hearn		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		Concerned with costs to pensioners and confinement
Nancy Tang	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Natalie Bell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Natalie Mason	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Natalie Rogers	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			





## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Patricia Lea		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		night curfew
Patricia Mead	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Patricia Townsend	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Patricia Zanich	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Paul and Kerri Hodder	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Paul Gamblin	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Paul Loring	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Paul McManus		Yes	No	No	Yes			
Paul Nayler	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Paul Smithson		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Paula Gee	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Pauline Cope	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Penny Lee	No	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Peter Abetz	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter Carrie	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter de Groot		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Use registration money to get feral cats down
Peter Louden	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter Marsh		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter Nicholson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter Sazanov	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter Watson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Peter White	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			cat curfew
Phil Avery	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Phil Cleaver	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Phil Hopwood	Yes	No	No	No	No			
Phil Tucak	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Philip Goad	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Phillip Rowe	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Plantagenet	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes		night curfew
R.J Wainwright	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Raana Scott	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Rainer Ropke	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	does not agree with curfews. Restricted cat areas should be defined. Trap, Neuter, Return. Only Rangers allowed to trap not members of public
Rani Stewart	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ray Errington	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Raymond Hammond	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Raymond Mell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Raymond Phillip Giblett	No	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Rebecca Simmonson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			thinks sterilisation law will result in 'kitten farms'
Renee Cusworth	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Rhonda Summersby	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			enforcement?

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Ric Turner		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Strongly disagree with section that allows cats found in public place or private property to be siezed and rehomed or disposed of. Cats by nature roam and cannot be contained humanely. Responsible owners bring cats in at night.
Richard Harris	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			night time curfew
Rick Walduck	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ricky Burges	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			supports on condition that costs to LG be minimised and DLG forms a working partnership with WALGA during drafting
Rob Hanbury		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Rob Schorer		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Robun Stibbs	No	Yes	Yes	No	No			only supports compulsory ID
Robyn Griffin		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Robyn Wright		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Keep cats in at night. Make registration expensive. Breeders only apply for exemption to sterilisation.
Rod Cleary		No	No	No	No			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Rodney and Sofia Carson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Roger B Perkins	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			does support all cats and kittens sold with a redeemable sterilisation vouchure
Rolf Gentsch	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
Ron Innes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ron McDavitt	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Ron Scantlebury	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		night curfew
Rory Chapple	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Rory Crossland		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		In at night. Not allowed in Parks.
Rosalie Corbellini	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Rosalind Robinson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Rose van der Waag	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Rosemary Donald	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		Night time curfew. Under no circumstances allow cat traps. Attached documents and newspaper articles.
Roslyn Thompson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Roz Davies		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Sally Kalejs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sandra Baraiolo	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Sandra Gent	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			night time confinement
Sandra Hughes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Sandra Leach	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Sanne Anderson		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			compulsory sterilisation will reduce number of healthy cats being euthanised.
Sara La Macchia		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sara Johnston		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sarah House	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sasha Davies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Shane O'Neill		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Shannon MacPherson	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Sharin Oliver		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Sharon Blackman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sheila Cockbain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sheila Cowan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sheila Howat	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Sheila Pragnell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Shelagh White Robin Hawken		Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Sheree Edmondson	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sheri Metternick-Jones	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Shire of Collie	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Shirley Tilbury	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Sirhowy Andrea Noel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sondra Noonan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sonja Rose	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
South Perth	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Stef Haywood		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		does not agree with seizure
Stephanie Slanzi	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Steve Cummings	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Steve Vanstan	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	containment - minimally from dusk till dawn
Stewart Ford	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Stewart Lyon	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Stuart David Hynd	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Sue Gleave	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Sue Lantzke		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			does not agree with seizure or T&C
Sue McGuire		Yes	Yes	Yes	yes			do not allow any kittens to be sold or given away without being sterilised.
Susan Game	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Suzanne Gulikers	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Suzanne Holder	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Suzanne Peak		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
T Atkinson	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Tammy Wilson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Tara Reid	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Teresa Lees		No	No	No	No	No		does not agree with trapping or seizure. Legislation punishing responsible owners, irresponsible people will not comply anyway.
Teresa McCarthy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Teresa Moy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			night curfew
Thalia O'Sullivan	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			does not agree with trapping.
Thomas Alan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Tim Brokenshire	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Tim Clynych	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Tim Jones	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Tim Watson		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	encouraged		
Toni Shapland		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			do not agree with cat traps. Limit number of litters breeders can have each year.
Tony Douglas Brown		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Tony Williams	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Tracey Joynson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Traci Myers	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Tracy Wealleans	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Trevor Hein	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Ursula Adams	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

## PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CAT LEGISLATION

### Overall Summary of Received Public Submissions

RESPONDENT	Cat Owner?	Overall Support	Identification (microchip, tag)	Registration	Sterilisation	Confinement	Number Restrictions	Other Comments
Ursula Blankenburg	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Uta Wicke		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Valerie F Mitchell	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Vaness Roberts		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Vanessa Bowden		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Concern with low income earners dumping to avoid costs. Suggest lower euthanasia costs.
Vicki Thompson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Victoria Murphy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Virginia Hardaker	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
W Depiazzi	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Warren Bow	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Wayne Clarke	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Wendy Brown		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Wendy Crawford	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		No traps. In at night.
Wendy J Dallywater	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		in covering letter says do not support legislation. Differnet answers provided in feedback form
William John Robinson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
William Shanklin	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
William Strong	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Winifred Bencich	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Xanthi Christidis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Xianwa Niu	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			







## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?								
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars					
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments		
Ann Devereaux	Yes	No	Yes						Yes			MC should not be compulsory
Ann MacGeehan												
Ann Marie Lander	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year			Yes		1 year	cats need ID for law to be carried out
Ann Noble	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes		12 months	
Ann Pover	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		several years						
Ann Proctor												
Annalisa Barrington	Yes											
Anne Banks-McAllister	Yes	Yes	Yes			6 months						
Anne and Dave Stroud												
Anne Kent	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year						
Anne Tumak	Yes	Yes		Yes					Yes			
Annemarie Lennon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes		12 months	all cats benefit from being ID
Anon	No	No										
Anonymous	Yes	Yes		Yes								Govt to pay initial roll out for 1 cat p/household
Anonymous	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes			This would not deal with the issue of problem cats
Anonymous	Yes	No	Yes						Yes			
Anonymous	Yes	Yes			Yes							

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Anonymous										
Anonymous	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		3 months	Yes		3 months	
Anonymous Group										
Arlene Somerville										
Arthur Thompson										
Astrid Herlihy	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
Audrey Gorman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			MC to young cats only
B Buchanan										
B Mottram	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
B Newman	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Barbara Ball	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		all cats benefit from being ID and it aids in administering of law
Barbara Getty	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	immediately	Yes		immediately	some owners may find cost of MC hard
Barbara Marshall	Yes									
Barbara Morphet										
Barbara Triggs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			Must be elasticated collar. all cats benefit from being ID and it aids in administering of law
Barbara Woods										
Barbara Zuegg	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>Barry &amp; Jean L.M. Hicks</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 month	
<b>Belinda Forbes</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 months	All impounded cats should be checked for MC even if they lack collar
<b>Bella Bamford</b>										
<b>Belle Connor</b>										
<b>Ben Lullfitz</b>										
<b>Bernard Simpson</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 months	
<b>Bernice Bernard</b>										
<b>Betsy Cordon Cokram</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	5 years		Yes	5 years	owners to chose which method
<b>Betty and Brian Thoroughgood</b>										
<b>Beverley Bridgland</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 months	
<b>Beverly Spencer</b>										
<b>Biddy Myres</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			if possible
<b>Bill Adams</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Blake Hill</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months				
<b>Bob Jeffreys</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			
<b>Bob Maumill</b>										
<b>Bonnie James</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Boyd Elizabeth	Yes	Yes		Yes		3 months				
Breanne Brown	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
Brian Aldrich	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Brian and Sheila Peet	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Brian Blechynden	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		12 mths	
Brian Callander	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		3 years	Yes		on introduction of legislation	
Brian French	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Brian Mead	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		asap	Yes			
Brian Ottley	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6-12 months				
Bronwyn Bell	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	a longer time period	Yes		6 months	
C Ayling	Yes	Yes		Yes						
Carissa Hunt	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		3 months	
Carl Gosper										
Carla Beattie	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes		
Carol Biddulph	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Carol Hudson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes		12 months	lost or nuisance cats can be ID easily
Carol Richards										
Carol Young	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	all cats must be ID

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>Carol-Ann Grazier</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 month	Yes		12 month	
<b>Carole Galli</b>	Yes	Yes	No		Yes	2 years				
<b>Carole Paterson</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Caroline Franklin</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			microchip at sterilisation. Tags until then.
<b>Carolyn Waterhouse</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Carolyn Webley-Hurrell</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes		12 months	
<b>Cassandra Houghton</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Cat Alliance of Australia</b>	Yes	Yes	No							
<b>Cat Owners Association</b>	No	No	No							

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			Comments
				M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	
<b>Cat Sterilisation Society</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		10 years (for current cats)	No			
<b>Caterina McKenzie</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		born after leg. Within 6 months. All cats over 6-12 month	
<b>Cathering Gray</b>										
<b>Cathy Josephs</b>										
<b>Cecil Joseph Leopold</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		as long as it takes to complete 100%	Yes			



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>Cecil Wells</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes		
<b>Charmain Heath</b>	Yes	Yes								
<b>Charmaine Jeffrey</b>										
<b>Cheree Anrep</b>										
<b>Cherry Leonard</b>	No	No	No							
<b>Cheryl Bettridge</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 month	Yes			
<b>Cheryl Spencer</b>	Yes	No	Yes				Yes			
<b>Chris Harvey</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 year				
<b>Chris Kojan</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			
<b>Christina Smith</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Christine Bourne</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		3 years				cats born before 2005 exempt unless re homed
<b>Christine Randall</b>	Yes	No	Yes				Yes			Optional if kept contained on owners property
<b>Christine Randall</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes		1 yr	MC optional
<b>Christine Yurovich</b>	Yes	Yes	No		Yes					
<b>Chrstine Jones</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?							
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars				
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments	
Colin Fairclough	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Colin Hanley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			marketing campaign first
Corinne	Yes										
Craig Douglas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		immediately		Yes			
Cristy Burne	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes		6 months	
Cynthia Pickering	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes		6 months	Support T&C and MC but T&C fall off and get lost very easily
D M Hutchinson											
D&J Hallard	Yes	Yes		Yes							
D. Singor-Turner	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		immediately		Yes			
D. Stan											
Daniel Ranger	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	By prescribed 'renewal' date such as 'October 31' as per Dog Act		Yes	Yes		Applicable to ALL cats
Daniel Simms											
Daphne Shaw	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes			T&C interim measure. MC preferred not mandatory.
Dave and Justine Spencer	Yes										
David Mather											
Dawn Baccini	Yes	Yes		Yes		6 months					

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?							
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			Comments	
				M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC		TC
Dawn Lowe											
Dawn Watson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Dean and Angela Kelly											
Dean Unsworth	Yes	Yes		Yes		6 months					
Debbie Mascaro	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes		1 year	all cats benefit from being ID and it aids in administering of law
Debbie Naylor											
Deidre Foster	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months		Yes		1 yr	3 month Cat drive, subsidised by LG
Deidre Main	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes		1 year	
Del Zimmermann	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1-2 years		Yes			8 weeks
Demelza Dravnieks	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 year					T&C should not be compulsory
Dennis and Colleen McDonald	Yes	Yes	No	Yes							Collars are cruel and cats don't like them
Des Aitkin	Yes	Yes		Yes							
Diane Matthews	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		2 years					
Diane Papenfus	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months with education program		Yes			
Dianne Harwood	Yes	Yes		Yes							

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Dianne Hunter	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months				
Dianne Nason	Yes									
Dianne Sawyer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year amnesty	Yes		1 year	
Don Coney	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes			
Donna Cranley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	all cats benefit from being iD
Donna Gilbert	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1-2 years	Yes			
Doris Campbell										
Doris Johnson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Doug Holmes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes			
Down E Rutter										
E Bekink	Yes	Yes		Yes						
East Fremantle	Yes	Yes		Yes						immediate for those aged under 3 months. 6 month grace for others
Eda Brackenreg	Yes	Yes								
Eduardo Farate	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Elena Aza Ponce	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Elizabeth Bailey	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes			helps lost cats



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>Gale MacLachlan</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year	Yes			MC traumatic to older cats. T&C provides instant ID
<b>Garry Edgar</b>	Yes	Yes								Mandatory Microchipping very positive. Helps to return cats to owners quickly if admitted to shelters.
<b>Gary and Claudine Westacott</b>										
<b>Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
<b>Gaye Reeves</b>	Yes									
<b>Gaylene Proud</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Gayrth Santen</b>										
<b>Geoff Barrett</b>										
<b>Geoffrey Harris</b>										
<b>George Gatenby</b>										
<b>Geraldton-Greenough</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes						immediate for those aged under 3 months. 6 month grace for others
<b>Gillian Tedder</b>										
<b>Glen Stockley</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		immediate	Yes		immediate	
<b>Glennis McDonald</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes		6-12 months	Concessions to seniors and low income earners
<b>Graeme Bride</b>										
<b>Graham Eogson</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Grecian Sandwell</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes		immediate				
<b>Greg Street</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			Comments
				M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	
<b>Gregg Hardwood</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months				T&C optional. Cats loose them.
<b>Guat</b>										
<b>Guy Jordan Collins</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months				
<b>Hamilton Stott</b>	Yes									
<b>Harriet Olney</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes		
<b>Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
<b>Hazel Hughes</b>	Yes	Yes	No		Yes	1 year				
<b>Heather Old</b>	No									
<b>Heidi Sowerby</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes	1 year	
<b>Helen and Terry Cooke</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months				
<b>Helen Barnes</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes		6-12 months	T&C provide ID from a distance. MC allows ID for cats who have been lost of abandoned.
<b>Helen Griffiths</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	6 months	Yes		6 months	
<b>Helen Lovitt-Raison</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months plus	Yes			
<b>Helen McMillan</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes		6-12 months	
<b>Helen Potkura</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6 months	Yes	Yes	6 months	T&C help but cats loose them. As much as I support all cats being MC it could result in huge influx of dumping
<b>Helen Vos</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	at owners cost



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?							
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			Comments	
				M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC		TC
Helena Mills											
Helena Zielinska											
Herbie Whittall											
Hilary Johnston	Yes	Yes	0	Yes							If practicable
Howard Duff	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months plus	Yes		6 months plus		
Ian Hartley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes	12 months		
Ian Watson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes				
Ian Knox	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	12 months max		Yes			major benefit to be able to ID all cats
Ieva Bergman	Yes	Yes		Yes							
Ilona Jaksic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 year			Yes			
Ione Atkinson											
J Grebert	Yes	Yes					Yes				
J Rolandi	Yes		Yes		Yes	12 months	Yes		12 months		T&C ID from distance. MC only when cat is caught
J.E Soutar											
Jackie Carbro	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes				
Jacky Lambert	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1-2 years	Yes		1-2 years		
Jacqueline Morgan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes		1 yr		

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Jan Savage	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
Jane Wedgwood	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			unrealistic to get all existing cats MC
Janelle Graham										
Janet Adams	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes		12 months	helps ID wandering cats
Janet Elwood										
Janet Robson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Janet Williams	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			MC is a cost that retrospective owners may not afford
Janette Lionels	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes		6-12 months	
Janice Fletcher	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year	Yes			
Janice Moy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 months	
Jannette Ruth Haight	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Jasmin Galati	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year amnesty for non compliance	Yes		1 year amnesty for non compliance	aids administration of law
Jay Innes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	all cats benefit from being ID

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>JE Pearce</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes	1 year	
<b>Jean Barclay</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Jean D'Alonzo</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	6 months	Yes			Cats can loose T&C. All cats/kittens sold should be MC
<b>Jeanette Spiller</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		asap	Yes			
<b>Jehangir R Mehta</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Jelena May</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Jemma Sivyer</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Jennifer Gates</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Jennifer Irvine</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		2 years	Yes			
<b>Jennifer Le Quesne</b>										
<b>Jennifer Sparrows</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		3 years	Yes		2-3 years	
<b>Jessica Sheppard</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Jill Kennedy</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Jill Thomas</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
<b>Jim Anderson</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes		12 months	
<b>Jim Brazil</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Jim Lewin</b>										
<b>Jim Maclean</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		2 year	Yes		2 years	



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Johnathon Noonan	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	12 months before fines and trapping starts	Yes			
Jon Behrens	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 months	outright compulsory
Josette Hill										
Joshua Mildenthal	Yes	No	Yes						6 month period	
Joy Verrinder	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Judith Beer	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		in time	MC and sterilisation not retrospective
Judy Clarke	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes			Yes			
Judy Giles										
Judy Kozak	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Julia B Loubser	Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes			MC when cats old enough
Julia Brennan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Julianne Waldock	Yes	Yes	Yes					Yes		

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?							
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars				
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments	
Julie Badger	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Julie Crewe											
Julie Hickling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months		Yes		12 months	
Justine Ward											
Kanak Ranjan Ray	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			ID essential as cats are difficult to supervise
Kara Breitenback	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		3 months or less					
Karen Dent	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							T&C are easily lost
Karen McEniry											
Karen Price	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months					
Karen Whitney	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes			
Karl											
Kate Taylor	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes				Yes		feels more cats will be dumped if applies immediately. No selling of kittens in pet shops
Kate Sutherland											
Kath Jacka	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months plus		Yes			
Katherine Gaschk	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Katie Nelson	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes				Yes		

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>Katy Jaksic</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	12 months				
<b>Kaziah Dawes</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months				ASAP
<b>Keiran McNamara</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes							
<b>Keith Anderson</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
<b>Kelly Roman</b>	Yes	No	Yes				Yes			1 year
<b>Kerrie McKennay</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Kerry Luscombe</b>										
<b>Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes		12 months plus	Yes			
<b>Kestri Seksel</b>	Yes	No	Yes				Yes			supports compulsory T&C. optional MC.
<b>Kevin Davidson</b>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		date for intro widely advertised by which time all cats to be MC				
<b>Kim Hardaker</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Kim Muggridge</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Kim Stannard Brown</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Kimberley Post	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Kira Tracey	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Kirsten Tullis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		asap	Yes		asap	
Kirsty Connell	Yes	Yes	No		Yes	2 years				
KL Parry	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			the sooner the better
Kris Warren										
Kristy Rumble	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
L Allison	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes		all cats must be ID
Laurel Stones										
Laurina Bullen	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes	1 yr	
Lee Fontanini	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes			
Lee Rowley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Leigh Smith	Yes	Yes		Yes		no more than 1 year				
Len Abbatt	Yes	Yes	Yes					Yes		
Leonie Vianna	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Lesley Brocker										
Lesley Cheheney	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes		
Lexi Jury	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Liddell Williams	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months				
Lillian Young	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						collars can catch on trees and choke cat
Linda Davies										
Linda Johnson										
Linda Moffat Ray	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			ID essential as cats are difficult to supervise
Ling Hoffman										
Lisa Anstis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			all cats need to be ID
Lisa Conn										
Lisa Stevens										
Lisette Vis										
Liz Allison										
Liz Bushby	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes		6-12 months	any responsible owner would do this anyway - standards should apply across board to be fair
Lorna Stewart	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Lorraine June Judge										
Lucie Nicholls	Yes									
Lucille Fisher	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Lucy Simon	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		1 year plus				T&C should not be compulsory, cats can easily slip them off

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Lukas Baker	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		6 months	
Lyn Windram	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6 months				
Lynda Pragnell	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			just like dogs
Lynn MacLaren	Yes	Yes	unsure							
Lynne Davis	Yes	Yes	No			1 year.				To all cats on intro - not suitable. Cat owners will several cats will find expensive
M Bacich										
Madalene Wallace	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes	1 year	all cats benefit from being ID
Marcus Good	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Marcus Owen	Yes	Yes				5 year timeframe. Allow Vet Visit program to regional and rural areas who do not have access to vet services				



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Mary Townsend	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Matthew Whyle	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Max Bazzica										
Megan Dare	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1-2 years	Yes		1-2 years	
Megan Longwill	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Melissa Cundy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	all cats benefit from being iD
Melissa Smith	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		As in the US - initial Free Cat Clinic set up for first 3 months	Yes		As in the US initial Free Cat Clinic set up for first 3 months	On consideration of compensation and property
Merv Williams										
Michael Duckett	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
Michael Fitzgerald	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Michael Mills-Borley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes		Immediately	
Michael O'Connor										
Michael Warwick										
Michaela Collingwood	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Michaela Newman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Michelle Clarke										
Michelle Crowe										
Michelle Pierce	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	5 years	Yes		5 years	
Michelle Prince	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes			
Mick O'Regan	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			will take a number of years to have an impact on cat numbers
Mick Wainwright										
Mike Butcher	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			Domestic cats only
Mike Cox	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months				
Mike Webster										
Mike Pimm	No	No	No							
Miranda Coffey	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		12 months				collars present medical/safety risks to cats. i.e accidental hanging
Mort Wignall										
Mrs Wilkinson	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
<b>Mundaring</b>	Yes	Yes								
<b>Nancy Dowling</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes						
<b>Nancy O'Hearn</b>										
<b>Nancy Tang</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 months for existing cats. Others MC at sterilisation	Yes	Yes		
<b>Natalie Bell</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes		12 months	
<b>Natalie Mason</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Natalie Rogers</b>	Yes		Yes				Yes			
<b>Natasha Atkins</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Nedlands</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
<b>Nick Bursey</b>										
<b>Nicky Kain</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months, include 'community cats' eg Wisbech st cats				support T&C although easily removed
<b>Nicole Stewart</b>										
<b>Niel Mitchell</b>										
<b>Nigel Bancroft</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			T&C have Local reg tag numbers. LG to keep local records and renew notices
<b>Norma Coley</b>	Yes	Yes					Yes			
<b>Northam</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes		12-36 months				



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Paula Gee	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3-12 months (depending on how many cats you have)	Yes	Yes	straightaway	
Pauline Cope	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						
Penny Lee	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	2 years	Yes			
Peter Abetz	Yes	Yes								
Peter Carrie	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Peter de Groot										
Peter Louden	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Peter Marsh										
Peter Nicholson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		immediately	Yes		immediately	
Peter Sazanov	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Peter Watson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Peter White	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes		3 months	
Phil Avery	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		18 months	Yes			
Phil Cleaver	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	asap	Yes			
Phil Hopwood	No	No	No							Only support voluntary ID
Phil Tucak	Yes	Yes								
Philip Goad	Yes	Yes	No		Yes	2 years				



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?							
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars				
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments	
Phillip Rowe	Yes		Yes					Yes		6 months	
Plantagenet											
R.J Wainwright	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 Year					
Raana Scott	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes			Immediate. Start with warnings given for 3 months, then cats picked up from street.
Rainer Ropke	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes		6 months	
Rani Stewart	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	12 months		Yes			Elderly cats exempt
Ray Errington	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		12 months education lead in time		Yes			
Raymond Hammond	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	12 months		Yes		12 months	
Raymond Mell	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Raymond Phillip Giblett	Yes	No	Yes						Yes		
Rebecca Simmonson	Yes	Yes			Yes	6 months					
Renee Cusworth	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year plus		Yes			

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Rhonda Summersby	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes		Immediately	
Ric Turner										
Richard Harris	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes			
Rick Walduck	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		interim phase pre council registration				T&C optional
Ricky Burges	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Rob Hanbury										
Rob Schorer										
Robun Stibbs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	cats should have MC OR T&C
Robyn Griffin										
Robyn Wright										
Rod Cleary										
Rodney and Sofia Carson	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes		
Roger B Perkins	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	3 months				
Rolf Gentsch	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Ron Innes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 month	Yes		1 month	T&C less costly option.
Ron McDavitt	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes		6 month period	
Ron Scantlebury	Yes	Yes	interim measure		Yes	no	Yes		no	
Rory Chapple	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		2 years				



## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments
Sara Johnston										
Sarah House	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Sasha Davies	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6-12 months				
Shane O'Neill										
Shannon MacPherson	Yes	Yes	Yes			6-12 months			6-12 months	
Sharin Oliver										
Sharon Blackman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes		6-12 months	
Sheila Cockbain	Yes	Yes	No		Yes					T&C potential hazard, if caught can injure or kill cat
Sheila Cowan	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	6-12 months		Yes		
Sheila Howat	Yes	Yes		Yes						
Sheila Pragnell	Yes		Yes				Yes			
Shelagh White Robin Hawken	Yes	Yes	No							T&C are dangerous
Sheree Edmondson	Yes	No	Yes	No			Yes			
Sheri Metternick-Jones	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	3 years	Yes			
Shire of Collie	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		12-18 months				





## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?							
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars				
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	Comments	
T Atkinson	Yes	No	Yes					Yes			cost of MC AND sterilisation prohibitive for some
Tammy Wilson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes			T&C constantly get pulled off and can get caught
Tara Reid	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					Yes		
Teresa Lees											
Teresa McCarthy	Yes	Yes	No	Yes							
Teresa Moy	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		2 years					
Thalia O'Sullivan	Yes	Yes	No		Yes	12 months					
Thomas Alan											
Tim Brokenshire	Yes	Yes		Yes		6 months					immediately for cats aged 4-6 months at time of introduction. All others a 6 month phase in.
Tim Clynych	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes				
Tim Jones	Yes	Yes	Yes			12 months			12 months		
Tim Watson											
Toni Shapland											
Tony Douglas Brown											
Tony Williams	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes				
Tracey Joynson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes				cat owners can be re united quickly
Traci Myers	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes	1 year		

## Identification of Cats with Microchip, Collar and Tag (Q1-7)

RESPONDENT	1 SUPPORT	2 IDENTIFICATION METHODS SUPPORTED		3 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY IDENTIFICATION BE INTRODUCED?						
				Microchipping			Tags and Collars			Comments
		M/C	T&C	MC	MC	Phased in	TC	TC	Phased in	
Tracy Wealleans	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Trevor Hein	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		asap	Yes		asap	
Ursula Adams	Yes	Yes		Yes						
Ursula Blankenburg	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		6-12 months				T&C too dangerous
Uta Wicke										
Valerie F Mitchell	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		1 year				
Vaness Roberts										
Vanessa Bowden										
Vicki Thompson	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Victoria Murphy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	
Virginia Hardaker	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes		1 year	all cats benefit from being ID and it aids in administering of law
W Depiazzi	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Warren Bow	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Wayne Clarke	Yes	Yes	No	Yes						the greater the period before intro, the more litters
Wendy Brown										
Wendy Crawford	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	6 months	Yes		after 6 month awareness campaign	Cats can loose T&C. MC only for cats born after as don't want to put older cats through any trauma.
Wendy J Dallywater						6 months				owners choice as to the method of ID
William John Robinson	Yes	Yes		Yes		6 months plus				





RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
A Steegstra	6 months	6	reduce number of unwanted and stray cats
A Taylor			
Adam Hansen	6 months	4	
Adlington Fitzgerald	3 months	3	
Afrovite Nicholson	6 months	6	
Alannah Marsh	3 months	3	yes, quick return of lost cats to owners
Alison Angel	sterilisation	with sterilisation	
Alison Driver			
Allen Cooper			
Allison Reid	12 weeks (well before being able to breed)	3	benefits - expense involved will deter some people from purchasing kittens
Amanda McLennan	12 weeks	3	
Amy Johnson			
Andre Marais	1 month	1	Its in Govt interest to sponsor one cat p/household in MC. Additional cats charged double the actual cost and all cats found without a MC after 3 months - euthanaised.
Andrew Eaton	birth		
Andrew Hawdon			
Angela Barns	can be sold at 6-8 wks. Vets to advise	asap	Costs are expensive. Need financial support for low income
Angela Tan	6 months	6	costs are small compared to benefits
Anika Bricknell	3 months	3	
Ann Cuthbert			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Ann Devereaux	At time of sterilisation - once mature enough for operation	With sterilisation	Doubt there will be benefit - maybe to cat owner so lost cat can be returned however if neighbour finds cat will be unable to discover any MC.
Ann MacGeehan			
Ann Marie Lander	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Costs - collars, costing of policing. Although they are outweighed by benefits, such as - MC permanent form of ID, vets already use MC scanners, T&C provide immediate ID
Ann Noble	Sterilisation	with sterilisation	
Ann Pover			
Ann Proctor			
Annalisa Barrington			proof of ownership and easier to locate lost cats
Anne Banks-McAllister	3 months	3	
Anne and Dave Stroud			
Anne Kent	3 months	3	
Anne Tumak	3-6 weeks old	6 weeks	
Annemarie Lennon	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	benefit to wildlife outweighs cost
Anon			
Anonymous	asap	asap	feral cats removed
Anonymous	3 months	3	Councils will be able to ID and fine people whose cats are a menace. Owners will have to take responsibility for their cats.
Anonymous			Difficult to seize and impound cats. Without T&C cats cannot be easily identified as owned. If only MC cats would need to be caught and would probably elude capture. Revenue areas will not cover LG costs. MC costly to all concerned and only benefit is IF cat was to be impounded.
Anonymous	3 months	3	

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Anonymous			
Anonymous			
Anonymous Group			
Arlene Somerville			
Arthur Thompson			
Astrid Herlihy	6 weeks	6 weeks	
Audrey Gorman	6 months	6	T&C the cheaper option although MC is the best option. Benefits outweigh costs.
B Buchanan			
B Mottram	at sterilisation	with sterilisation	
B Newman	4-6 months	6	
Barbara Ball	By 6 months, kittens can be MC at 12 weeks	6	benefits outweigh costs
Barbara Getty	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Barbara Marshall			
Barbara Morphet			
Barbara Triggs	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. Collars - \$7, Tags \$10. MC safe, affordable and permanent. ID will achieve policy objectives by encouraging responsible ownership, reduce strays and reunite lost pets. Must be an elastic collar. MC Scanners are already widely used
Barbara Woods			
Barbara Zuegg	6 months	6	costs are minimal

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>Barry &amp; Jean L.M. Hicks</b>	whatever age can be done that causes no harm to cat		
<b>Belinda Forbes</b>	MC at sterilisation - 4 months T&C hard to fit onto kittens, but should be used as soon as practical	4	
<b>Bella Bamford</b>			
<b>Belle Connor</b>			
<b>Ben Lullfitz</b>			
<b>Bernard Simpson</b>	6 weeks	6 weeks	
<b>Bernice Bernard</b>			
<b>Betsy Cordon Cokram</b>	6 months	6	benefits outweigh costs. collars - \$7, Tags \$10. cost to police.
<b>Betty and Brian Thoroughgood</b>			
<b>Beverley Bridgland</b>	3 months	3	dogs do not require ID. Cat should face lower registration fees. MC allows permanent ID and allows the owner to be ID.
<b>Beverly Spencer</b>			
<b>Biddy Myres</b>	6 months	6	
<b>Bill Adams</b>	3 months	3	
<b>Blake Hill</b>	6 months	6	
<b>Bob Jeffreys</b>	point of sale		
<b>Bob Maumill</b>			
<b>Bonnie James</b>	12 weeks	3	

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Boyd Elizabeth	4 months	4	
Breanne Brown	3 months	3	
Brian Aldrich	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	
Brian and Sheila Peet	from birth		Will not achieve anything unless law is enforced
Brian Blechynden	3 months	3	
Brian Callander	3 months	3	Rangers already have MC readers. Addition cost would be admin, tags and software. Will be offset if registration fees are set at correct rate.
Brian French	12 weeks	3	Problems will be reduced by at least 95% if legislation is passed and enforced
Brian Mead	6 months or earlier	6	yes
Brian Ottley	at rehoming or sale		
Bronwyn Bell	when they open their eyes		
C Ayling	3 months	3	It should
Carissa Hunt	3 months	3	
Carl Gosper			
Carla Beattie	by 6 months. Kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	
Carol Biddulph	3 months	3	
Carol Hudson	12 weeks, when being sterilised.	3	Costs associated with implementing and policing
Carol Richards			
Carol Young	2-3 months	3	Cost to MC but is one off. T&C approx \$20 and saves having to transport a cat to MC scanner, cats can be returned straight away. Identification will achieve objectives because will make cats more responsible.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Carol-Ann Grazier	6 months	3	
Carole Galli	3 months	3	
Carole Paterson	same as dogs	3	
Caroline Franklin	T/Cs kittens, MC at sterilisation	With Sterilisation	M/C compulsory. Tags and collars secondary
Carolyn Waterhouse			
Carolyn Webley-Hurrell	from birth		
Cassandra Houghton	ASAP. Kittens can be MC at 12 weeks	asap	T&C cheap - \$10 p/cat. Cost to police compulsory ID. Benefits of being able to ID cats using existing technology outweighs costs, Promotes responsible ownership and aids in reuniting owners with cats.
Cat Alliance of Australia	at point of sale		
Cat Owners Association			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>Cat Sterilisation Society</b>			
<b>Caterina McKenzie</b>	6-12 months	6-Dec	easier to locate owners - limit lost cat and upset owners. ID will start to limit cat owners resulting in sustainable amount for council to manage.
<b>Cathering Gray</b>			
<b>Cathy Josephs</b>			
<b>Cecil Joseph Leopold</b>	6 weeks or weaning	6 weeks	



RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Cecil Wells	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Charmain Heath			
Charmaine Jeffrey			
Cheree Anrep			
Cherry Leonard			MC will only help to find the small number of lost cats. Most abandoned cats will be unMC'd and unidentifiable.
Cheryl Bettridge	3 months	3	
Cheryl Spencer	3 months	3	
Chris Harvey	same as dogs	3	benefits are cats that are pests to others can be tracked to their owners.
Chris Kojan	3 months	3	yes. Returning strays to owners. Important to half collars with owners phone number.
Christina Smith	12-24 weeks	3-6 months	Rapid ID, increase responsibility of owner. Cost - T&C and MC
Christine Bourne	6 months or at transfer of ownership	6	
Christine Randall	3 months	3	Microchipping would not be supported and possibly make legislation fail.
Christine Randall	3 months	3	Cost could be inhibitive if MC was mandatory. These proposals may help but not achieve total policy objectives
Christine Yurovich	at sale		
Chrstine Jones	12 weeks	3	MC permanent. T&C allows ID from a distance

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
City of Canning	3 months	3	costs will be responsibility of owners and breeders. Enforcement costs will fall on LG. has not yet been calculated but will be significant. Benefits will be that cat owners can be identified during policing. Long term benefits in reduction of unwanted cat population.
City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA	3 months for companion animals - nominal fee. 3 months for breeding animals - commercial fee.	3	Current arrangements for rego and MC are cost intensive - multiple private MC databases. Multiple LG rego databases which require annual handling of rego arrangements. Inconsistency in legislative arrangements through Dog LLs operating across LG jurisdictions. Onerous for animal owners and LG offers to interrogate various databases to ID owners. In short - red tape and costly. Recommend - central database, one off rego fee at time of MC. Admin arrangements inc - Data collection, MC and rego arrangements to be legislated for admin through Vets. Service fee to cover admin cost. MC compulsory but units supplied at market price. State, LG, regulatory agencies (animal welfare, Police) and Vets have access to database info. Vets to check, maintain accuracy of rego database during check ups - database amendment fee. Database to provide statistics on maintenance activities by Vets and provide legislation admin payments to reimburse for admin costs. Admin arrangements for Database need to be cognisant of privacy arrangements. Transitional arrangements legislated for
Claire Gich	3-6 months	3-6 months	
Clarissa Calucci	T&C asap. MC 5-6 months	6	
Clive Cayford	at sale		
Col Hartnett			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Colin Fairclough	when they are old enough	asap	data collected through MC database could be used to by researchers who pay nominal fee to access. A levy could be put on all petfood sold in WA.
Colin Hanley	3 months	3	
Corinne			
Craig Douglas	6 weeks or on purchase	6 weeks	
Cristy Burne			Cats without T&C easily identifiable and reported as strays
Cynthia Pickering	as young as poss as advised by vet	asap	creates mind set - pet is for life. Financial commitment to cats health and wellbeing makes owners consider commitment. T&C hard to keep on cats.
D M Hutchinson			
D&J Hallard	2 weeks	2 weeks	Owners will be reunited with lost pets.
D. Singor-Turner	6 months	6	depends on individuals
D. Stan			
Daniel Ranger	2-3 months	3	Printing of tags (within rego fee) MC scanner (per ranger) Printing of forms - rego, cancellation, renewal/records
Daniel Simms			
Daphne Shaw	12 weeks or at ownership	3	MC, T&C, sterilisation. Subsidisation of sterilisation would assist in achieving objectives
Dave and Justine Spencer			
David Mather			
Dawn Baccini	At maturity		Veterinary expenses - subsidies are available

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Dawn Lowe			
Dawn Watson	3 months	3	Need ID. Garden is dug up each night. Gum tree scratched.
Dean and Angela Kelly			
Dean Unsworth	3 months	3	one statewide standard chip is required.
Debbie Mascaro	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	minimal cost \$7 for collar, tags \$8-\$10. Will be a cost to police it. MC is permanent and the preferable method of ID
Debbie Naylor			
Deidre Foster	when purchased		loss of cats and long impounding and euthanasie will be reduced - cost to person and Govt reduced.
Deidre Main	6 months	6	
Del Zimmermann			No. MC reader shows which database its on. Need publicity to remind people to amend their details if they move.
Demelza Dravnieks	When physically safe. Collars can be dangerous	asap	I think a \$50 cost is worth it over the life of a cat
Dennis and Colleen McDonald	ASAP after birth	asap	Registration should be not for profit
Des Aitkin	birth		
Diane Matthews	As advised by Vet		RIS appears to have covered all. Will need monitoring after period to assess how successful and review if required. Train LG staff to perform MC as per section 26(3) of Vet Surgeons Act 1960. A source of revenue and vets may be overrun by demand of legislation
Diane Papenfus	6 months	6	allow cat owners to be educated further through LG
Dianne Harwood	6 months	6	

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Dianne Hunter	3 months as for dogs	3	cat owners to bear cost of MC. Cats to be reunited easily. Cats not MC quickly ID as not owned.
Dianne Nason			
Dianne Sawyer	By 6 months, kittens can be MC at 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. T&C inexpensive and provides immediate ID with no need to transport cat. MC is affordable, one off. MC scanners are widely used by Vets, Rangers and others
Don Coney	as kittens		highly likely with MC, T&C and vet costs
Donna Cranley	3 months	3	benefits outweigh costs. ID encourages responsible ownership as they are able to be held accountable. Less strays, lost cats can be reunited.
Donna Gilbert	when safe		
Doris Campbell			
Doris Johnson	6 months	6	
Doug Holmes	6 weeks	6 weeks	
Down E Rutter			
E Bekink			
East Fremantle	3 months	3	
Eda Brackenreg			
Eduardo Farate			
Elena Aza Ponce	12 weeks	3	costs involved, will achieve policy objectives as it makes owners identifiable and thus responsible
Elizabeth Bailey	6 months	6	all ID methods have costs. As more people use them hopefully costs will come down. Benefits are lost cats can be returned. MC is more secure than T&C. Vets have readers for MC. T&C is good as cat can be returned directly to owner.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Ellie Brusasco	6 months	6	Benefit outweighs cost. T&C quick and easy but can fall off. MC ultimate proof of ownership.
Elsa Dabbas	going to new home		state database only
Emer O'Gara			
Emma Brown			
Eric Ayers	3 months	3	LGA's will be subject to high costs inc - development of software and databases, production of rego tags and renewal notice mail outs. Staffing costs for enforcement. Advertising, Education Campaigns - Printing costs
Errol James	6 months	6	benefits outweigh costs
Estelle Burrows	Before reproductive age		
Esther Scott	8 weeks	8 weeks	combine with fines for cats allowed out at night
Evelyn and Bill McLarty			
Faye Dalton			
Fiona Pond	birth		Will achieve policy objectives. Cat owners can be fined if their cat is in nature reserves etc - benefits the native fauna
Frances Stanley	12 weeks	3	Benefits outweigh initial costs. LG already administer dog identification and using the same system for cats should not add greatly to the cost. Existing costs of dealing with nuisance and stray cats could be offset by the introduction of cat legislation
Frank Lawrence	3 months	3	LG subject to costs - initial development of software and databases, taking into account upgrades, production of rego tags, renewal mail outs, staffing, education and advertising campaigns, printing costs
Frank Peczka			
Frog Doctor			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>Gale MacLachlan</b>	6 months at latest	6	despite initial cost, by cat owners identifying their animals they are acting in a responsible manner to society
<b>Garry Edgar</b>			
<b>Gary and Claudine Westacott</b>			
<b>Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls</b>	3 months	3	
<b>Gaye Reeves</b>			
<b>Gaylene Proud</b>	asap	asap	T&C easier ID. Traces owner for strays or problems
<b>Gayrth Santen</b>			
<b>Geoff Barrett</b>			
<b>Geoffrey Harris</b>			
<b>George Gatenby</b>			
<b>Geraldton-Greenough</b>	3 months	3	
<b>Gillian Tedder</b>			
<b>Glen Stockley</b>	3 months	3	
<b>Glennis McDonald</b>	as soon as safe as per vet advice	asap	There are costs, but families who desire cats will budget for this. Eventually there will be fewer feral cats which can decimate fauna
<b>Graeme Bride</b>			
<b>Graham Eogson</b>	6 months	6	ID ensures responsible ownership
<b>Grecian Sandwell</b>	As soon as weaned		Benefits of ID immeasurable. Cat found wandering impacts native fauna.
<b>Greg Street</b>	8 weeks	8 weeks	fine owners who allow cats to roam

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Gregg Hardwood	6 months or at sterilisation	6	return losts cats.
Guat			
Guy Jordan Collins	Before sexual mat.		
Hamilton Stott			
Harriet Olney			
Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher	3-6 months	3-6 months	
Hazel Hughes	6 months	6	Owners must remember to update. MC offers permanent ID. Scanner can read through cages AQIS imported from Norway do.
Heather Old			
Heidi Sowerby	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	
Helen and Terry Cooke			
Helen Barnes	12 weeks	3	
Helen Griffiths			
Helen Lovitt-Raison	8 weeks	8 weeks	responsible owners already paying these costs
Helen McMillan	as soon as kittens starts going outside		allow lost cats to be reunited
Helen Potkura	3-6 months	3-Jun	Fear a huge amount of dumping by those not prepared to or not financially capable of complying with laws. MC needs to be subsidised for chipping existing pets.
Helen Vos			



RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Helena Mills			
Helena Zielinska			
Herbie Whittall			
Hilary Johnston	Before the animal can stray		Not aware of any. Busselton has practical experience and no doubt 18 other shires.
Howard Duff	3 months	3	
Ian Hartley	3 months	3	Will aid objectives and outcomes. Costs worn by pet owners. Allow rangers to check the ownership of cats. Penalties for repeat offenders.
Ian Watson	ASAP after birth	asap	reduced feral cats and survival of wildlife
Ian Knox	12 weeks	3	owning a pet is a communal responsibility. Scanners are currently used.
Ieva Bergman	at sterilisation	with sterilisation	
Ilona Jaksic	6 months	6	
Ione Atkinson			
J Grebert	4-6 months		Cat owners should bear costs if they want a cat
J Rolandi	MC at 16 weeks or at time of sterilisation	with sterilisation	owners cost. Benefit will be easier to reunite lost cats
J.E Soutar			
Jackie Carbro			
Jacky Lambert	6 months or as advised by vets	6	MC are best option as cant be lost or removed. Enable quick ID and tracing of owners.
Jacqueline Morgan	6 months	6	

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Jan Savage	asap	asap	Councils are to enforce legislation. Currently, there isnt enough funding for Dog enforcement. State Govt has given no indication they will fund cat policy. Therefore any cost will possibly fall on unwilling owner of LG
Jane Wedgwood	Asap - before 4 months	asap	MC provides permanent ID for cat and T&C allows immediate access to cats owner, especially if an accident has occurred
Janelle Graham			
Janet Adams	6 months. Kittens MC before sale or gift.	6	Small costs for T&C and cost of tracking lost cats but proper ID saves cats from cruel fate of trying to fend for themselves. MC although more costly is still affordable, ensures correct ID of stolen or lost cat as it cannot be removed. Incorporating cost into cat ownership encourages more responsible buying and giving of cats.
Janet Elwood			
Janet Robson	6 months	6	
Janet Williams	MC at sterilisation. T&C regardless of age	With sterilisation	MC out of reach for some unless included at time of sterilisation
Janette Lionels	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Janice Fletcher	at time of sale		breeder should be responsible for cost of MC and T&C. Each LG responsible for database control and record keeping.
Janice Moy	on sale		
Jannette Ruth Haight	3 months	3	
Jasmin Galati	by 6 months. Kittens can be MC from 12 wks	6	T&C cost \$10-\$20. Cost of policing ID. Benefits outweigh costs. Owners can be traced and held accountable. Reduction in strays and lost pets reunited
Jay Innes	6 months	6	costs outweigh benefits. MC are permanent and encourages responsible ownership

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
JE Pearce	6 months	6	benefits outweigh costs
Jean Barclay			
Jean D'Alonzo	8-10 wks	8 weeks	May inhibit cat cruelty if its easily seen cat is not stray. May increase costs to pet shops and purchasers if arent allowed to sell un MC kittens. Increased cost prevent impulse buying and later dumping.
Jeanette Spiller	asap	asap	Yes.
Jehangir R Mehta	6 months	6	allows stronger control over stray and abandoned cats
Jelena May	at maturity		
Jemma Sivyer	6 months	6	
Jennifer Gates	6 months	6	all MC bases need to be linked and accessible to authorised personal
Jennifer Irvine	12 weeks	3	
Jennifer Le Quesne			
Jennifer Sparrows	6 months	6	
Jessica Sheppard	4 months	4	
Jill Kennedy	6 months	6	minimal costs to owners, policing will cost. MC immediate ID owners and therefore they need to accept responsibility.
Jill Thomas	at time of sterilisation	With sterilisation	
Jim Anderson	12 weeks	3	proposal will achieve objectives.
Jim Brazil	from birth		less complaints to council (time x hourly rate) traffic accidents (potential claims against council) the rangers (time x hourly rate)
Jim Lewin			
Jim Maclean	10 weeks	10 weeks	major benefit allows cat to be returned to owner.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Jim McInerney			ID serves no purpose
Jo Donnellan	as for dogs	3	the usual vet costs as for dogs. It is a start.
Jo Gunning	from birth		Yes pet owners should be responsible. If they don't want to pay for the upkeep they shouldn't have them..
Joan Hatton	3 months	3	
Joan Payne	12 weeks	3	benefits outweigh costs
Joanne Quelch			
Joanne Wakefield	12 weeks	3	MC lasts a life time. T&C quick and easy for ranger/others to ID. Less strays.
Joe Froudist			
Joe Potter			
John and Michelle Tan	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. Collars - \$7, Tags \$10. MC safe, affordable and permanent. ID will achieve policy objectives by encouraging responsible ownership, reduce strays and reunite lost pets. MC Scanners are already widely used
John Collingridge			
John Keyworth			
John McKinney			
John Mitchell			
John Richardson	3 months	3	eg Animal Management Act (cats and dogs) 2008 Case Queensland 36% of cats with T&C 33% of cats with MC
John Rippey			
John Schindler	3 months	3	ensures cat owners take responsibility
John White			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Johnathon Noonan	8 weeks	8 weeks	cost bourne by owner as part of ownership
Jon Behrens	at ownership		Cats that are pests should be caught via trapping and the breach formally recorded, notification given to owner and if problem persists should have fee increases
Josette Hill			
Joshua Mildenthal	3-6 months	3-Jun	If cats wear ID tags then easily connected to owners and more responsibility taken
Joy Verrinder			
Judith Beer	12 weeks or at time of sterilisation	3	lost cat can be reunited.
Judy Clarke	3 months	3	reunites lost cats. MC will ID which cats are not owned.
Judy Giles			
Judy Kozak	by 6 months	6	Collar - \$7, Tags - \$10. MC affordable and cannot be removed. Cost of policing, MC scanners are already used by Rangers and Vets. Allows cats to be returned, will assist with cat control laws
Julia B Loubser	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Julia Brennan	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	T&C and MC a small cost. T&C provide easy immediate ID. MC permanent. ID allows lots cats to be returned to owners.
Julianne Waldock	6 months	6	Cost of MC readers and training will fall to LG's who will need to re coupe such costs with fines and impounding fees

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Julie Badger	3 months	3	
Julie Crewe			
Julie Hickling	3-6 months	3-6 months	A central database needs to be priority if it is to work
Justine Ward			
Kanak Ranjan Ray	asap as medically advisable	asap	registration and sterilisation are necessary for control
Kara Breitenback	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Karen Dent	6 months	6	
Karen McEniry			
Karen Price	sterilisation	with sterilisation	
Karen Whitney	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. Collars - \$7, Tags \$10. MC safe, affordable and permanent. ID will achieve policy objectives by encouraging responsible ownership, reduce strays and reunite lost pets
Karl			
Kate Taylor	at time of sterilisation 4-6 months	With sterilisation	Yes
Kate Sutherland			
Kath Jacka	6 months	6	
Katherine Gaschk	4-6 months	6	T&C provide easy ID within community. Bells alert birds
Katie Nelson	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Collars - \$7, Tags \$10. Collars have a bell to warn wildlife.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>Katy Jaksic</b>	6 months	6	minimal costs
<b>Kaziah Dawes</b>	prior to sale or acquiring animal		Searching for lost, non MC animal >\$2500 (flyers, phone calls, advertisement, internet etc) - Searching for MC animal takes moments, chips are nationally registered.
<b>Keiran McNamara</b>			
<b>Keith Anderson</b>			
<b>Kelly Roman</b>	6 months	6	Collars should have bells to protect wildlife. T&C allow ID from a distance and do not require cat to be surrenders to authorities to check ownership.
<b>Kerrie McKennay</b>	birth		
<b>Kerry Luscombe</b>			
<b>Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards</b>	6 months max	6	small cost for T&C and MC. Cost of maintaining a pet exceeds initial cost of ID. ID means ownership cannot be disputed and cat welfare more manageable.
<b>Kestri Seksel</b>			Can only be read with correct equipment therefore a system scanner would be needed at every LG prior to introduction of laws. Need accredited microchp implanters. Current cost is unaffordable for elderly and welfare dependant and multiple owners.
<b>Kevin Davidson</b>	3 months onward	3	Cats to have T&C but not linked to LG registration scheme as too expensive and impractical. Cost to owner to chip, cost to LG for scanners and training
<b>Kim Hardaker</b>	12 weeks	3	T&C cheap.
<b>Kim Muggridge</b>	6 months	6	
<b>Kim Stannard Brown</b>	6months	6	costs associated with ID but could be subsidised.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Kimberley Post			
Kira Tracey	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Kirsten Tullis			
Kirsty Connell	6 months	6	
KL Parry			whatever the costs involved would be less than the cost of extirmination and the cost to our wildlife
Kris Warren			
Kristy Rumble	6 months	6	
L Allison	6 months. Can be MC at 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. Basic collars \$7
Laurel Stones			
Laurina Bullen	ASAP - based on Vet advice for MC	asap	
Lee Fontanini	asap. At a safe age	asap	
Lee Rowley	at sterilisation, max 5 months	with sterilisation	MC only beneficial if cat is approachable and can be scanned - in majority of cases, this is not the situation. Brightly coloured collars should be used, tags are difficult to see from a distance.
Leigh Smith	6 months	6	
Len Abbatt	6 months	6	
Leonie Vianna	12 weeks	3	
Lesley Brocker			
Lesley Cheheney	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Lexi Jury			



RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Liddell Williams	6 months. Kittens MC at 12 weeks	6	
Lillian Young	6 months	6	benefit outweighs cost
Linda Davies			
Linda Johnson			
Linda Moffat Ray	asap as medically advisable	asap	registration and sterilisation are necessary
Ling Hoffman			
Lisa Anstis	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. Encourages responsible ownership, reduce strays and reunite lost pets
Lisa Conn			
Lisa Stevens			
Lisette Vis			
Liz Allison			
Liz Bushby	All cats who are allowed outside should have ID/safety collar		stray/feral can be distinguished from pets and will discourage irresponsible ownership. Benefits to wildlife if all cats required to have T&C with bells
Lorna Stewart	6 months, kittens MC at 12 weeks	6	
Lorraine June Judge			
Lucie Nicholls			
Lucille Fisher	birth		
Lucy Simon	3 months of when safe	3	Scanners are already used by vets etc. so no cost there. Cost of employing rangers and enforce and police. Benefits outweigh costs

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Lukas Baker	3 months	3	
Lyn Windram	3 months	3	Agree with city of Gosnells, 3 months to be sterilised and MC.
Lynda Pragnell	when purchased		yes
Lynn MacLaren			
Lynne Davis	6 months MC at time of sterilisation. With reg breeders cat MC at time of vaccination	With sterilisation	To enforce additional expenses on responsible cat owners in an insult. Legislation adapted to bring additional revenue to LG.
M Bacich			
Madalene Wallace	6 months	6	T&C allow immediate ID with no need to bother a Ranger and MC assists with proof of ownership.
Marcus Good	6 months	6	T&C are low cost and allow immediate ID. MC scanners already widely used. Results in responsible ownership.
Marcus Owen	at appropriate age or time of purchase		Access to closest vet is 1.5 hrs from Lake Grace, further for towns to the east. If cost is too high, people will not comply. Failure to comply will be a greater cost to LG. Shire of Lake Grace covers area of 10,747 sq k. Hard to police. Ranger visits one per fortnight.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Margaret Anne Ryan	3 months	3	M/C out of reach of those on low income
Margaret Bush	6 months	6	
Margaret Huggins			
Margaret Pearce			
Margaret Philson	at owners discretion		
Margaret Young	same as dogs	3	makes people take responsibility for their pet. Reduces euthanasia rate of healthy cats and reduces unwanted litters.
Margot Tobin	6 months	6	There are costs but benefits are important. Number of animals destroyed may be reduced if cats are ID. Make people more accountable to care for animals.
Maria McAdam			
Marilyn Clark Murphy			T&C cheap and may loose so sanctions for non compliance could be unfair. Collars may be harmful if too tight. MC are permanent, are more expensive to obtain byt animal agencies could offer financial support.
Marion Vince	T&C - 3 months. MC @ 6 months	6	cost of MC. Cost of replacing T&C. ease of returning cats to owners. ID repeat offenders
Mark Hackleton			
Mark O'Donnell			
Mark Studd	6 months	6	Benefits outweigh costs. T&C cheap and easy and allow ID without scanner. MC are already widely used and Rangers and Vets have scanners - they are permanent and provide proof of ownership.
Mark Thompson	asap	asap	
Marra Apgar	12 weeks	3	
Mary Thompkins			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Mary Townsend	6 months	6	benefits outweigh costs. MC cannot be removed and is affordable. T&C provide immediate ID
Matthew Whyte	Birth		
Max Bazzica			
Megan Dare	MC from 8 weeks	8 weeks	allows cats to be reunited with owners. Vets to include cost of MC in sterilisation
Megan Longwill	6 months	6	returns lost cats to owners
Melissa Cundy	6 months	6	benefit outweighs costs. MC scanners are already used, mC are permanent. T&C provide immediate ID. Will achieve objectives by encouraging responsible ownership as owners can be traced and made accountable
Melissa Smith	As advised by Vet - ASAP	asap	If MC compulsory then Free Cat Clinic available. The US State of Florida did this and made MC of existing cat population a major success. MC should be enforced on current cats with 3 month grace period. Allow cats to be chipped for free - policy will be well received.
Merv Williams			
Michael Duckett	as advised by vet	asap	no cost to LG to implement database. Cost to train staff.
Michael Fitzgerald			
Michael Mills-Borley	3 months	3	Same as for dogs. Need effective owner responsibility awareness to reduce strays
Michael O'Connor			
Michael Warwick			
Michaela Collingwood	kittens		benefit outweighs cost

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Michaela Newman	12 weeks	3	
Michelle Clarke			
Michelle Crowe			
Michelle Pierce	at sterilisation 4-6 months	With sterilisation	Registering with LG assists in control aswell as providing a way to serve fines to people that have cats not sterilised or MC. Rebates should be provided to help with MC, sterilisation and registration.
Michelle Prince	3months	3	
Mick O'Regan	as soon as able to wear a collar. MC at sterilisation	with sterilisation	No cost benefit to Council and if policy objective includes registration there will be additional resource costs required. MC has potential to provide a registration/database system
Mick Wainwright			
Mike Butcher	8 weeks	8 weeks	Benefits associated with ID of dom cat v stray and feral when controlling cat no. and impacts. Est current costs are \$30 p/cat during current cat control program. Reduction in cost of feral cat control program would be est at \$120,000 p/ann for this companies current clients
Mike Cox	6 months	6	These proposals will only be achieved if they are policed.
Mike Webster			
Mike Pimm			
Miranda Coffey	8-12 weeks to coincide with sterilisation	With sterilisation	
Mort Wignall			
Mrs Wilkinson	first few months		any cost will make people think twice before getting a cat

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Mundaring	3 months	3	
Nancy Dowling	6 weeks	6 weeks	Yes
Nancy O'Hearn			
Nancy Tang	3 months for T&C (same as dogs) MC at sterilisation	With sterilisation	
Natalie Bell	3 months	3	
Natalie Mason			
Natalie Rogers	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Natasha Atkins	6 weeks	6 weeks	
Nedlands	3 months	3	data collection, MC and registration to be administered by vets.
Nick Bursey			
Nicky Kain	6 months	6	T&C unreliable should remain optional. MC is a lifetime solution.
Nicole Stewart			
Niel Mitchell			
Nigel Bancroft	6 months	6	MC has its purpose but doesn't allow LG to keep owner records and would not be able to send out renewal notices.
Norma Coley	As soon as humanely possible	asap	don't know
Northam			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Olena Shulyayeva	Same as rego. 5months	5	
Oliver Sellars			
Olivia Moriae			
Owen Thomas			
P Watts	5 months	5	
Paddy Strano	ASAP	asap	Not sure
Pam Masters	sterilisation	with sterilisation	
Pamela Lanigan	at point of sale		in Vic MC has become a way to report people for cat welfare concerns.
Pamela Townsend			
Pascoe Durtanovich	12 weeks	3	media campaign
Patricia Baird	6 months	6	
Patricia Bensley	ASAP - kitten past baby stage	asap	stray cats traced back to owners
Patricia Lea			
Patricia Mead	early in life	asap	unless a start is made it will only get worse
Patricia Townsend	by 6 months. Kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	benefits outweigh costs. MC are widely used by rangers and vets. T&C provides immediate ID
Patricia Zanich	asap	asap	will take time to implement
Paul and Kerri Hodder	12 weeks onward	3	
Paul Gamblin			
Paul Loring	ASAP	ASAP	Same as dogs
Paul McManus			
Paul Nayler	MC @ 8wks or upon sale. T&C immediate	8 weeks	Easy to ID and return losts cats. Prosecute offenders who do not register cats and ID owners who mistreat cats.
Paul Smithson			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Paula Gee	6 months. Kittens 3 months	3	benefits outweigh cost. Benefits cat, owners and community
Pauline Cope	6 months	6	
Penny Lee	3 months	3	
Peter Abetz			
Peter Carrie	3 months	3	will place cost and resource restraints on LG. Will never be full cost recovery. Have no cat issue in our community, why should ratepayers rates increase? Benefits could be reduction of number of unidentifiable cats (although not an issue in our community)
Peter de Groot			
Peter Louden	at weaning		
Peter Marsh			
Peter Nicholson	3 months (same as dogs)	3	When caught on your property (urinating etc) can be taken back to owner and appropriate action taken
Peter Sazanov			
Peter Watson	asap	asap	
Peter White	at weaning		
Phil Avery	3 months	3	
Phil Cleaver			
Phil Hopwood	Should not be required		
Phil Tucak			
Philip Goad	2 years	2 years	



RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Phillip Rowe	3 months	3	each Ranger will need reader for MC - expensive. Production of tags, printing of stationary
Plantagenet			
R.J Wainwright	before breeding age		long term benefit in MC
Raana Scott	3 months	3	Allow rangers to trap feral cats without collars or mircochips. Collars can be easily lost. Microchipping imporatant to allow for defense if someone claims ownership of uncollared cat.
Rainer Ropke	MC implanted at time of sterilisation. T&C asap	With sterilisation	costs/benefits - no achieve objectives - yes
Rani Stewart	3 months	3	Promote responsible cat ownership. Responsible owners will tag and collar at own expense. Regulate cost of microchipping, ensure Vets don't take advantage.
Ray Errington	3 months		Multi private MC providers is an issue and will increase costs to LG's. A single Govt database accesible to authorised officers is needed.
Raymond Hammond	MC before sale		Breeder should be responsible for cost of MC and T&C and each LG responsible for the control of their database
Raymond Mell			
Raymond Phillip Giblett	6 months	6	if cats caught on others property and have tag and address can be returned with a warning, second offence cat to be taken to shire and fined
Rebecca Simmonson	12 weeks or as determined by a Vet	3	Cost will deter irresponsible owners. T&C unecesary and ineffective.
Renee Cusworth	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Collars - \$6, Tags \$10. Cost to monitor and police. MC Scanners are already widely used. MC permanent ID. T&C allows immediate ID and reunites losts pets.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Rhonda Summersby	1 week	1 week	MC cost will stop people from MC their pets
Ric Turner			
Richard Harris	3 months	3	MC, T&C ensure ID. Cats not ID to be siezed and either re homed or euthanased.
Rick Walduck	8 weeks or prior to sale	8 weeks	Costs are minimal and once off. Accredited national database. Benefits returning lost pets and lessen admin costs to council for management and welfare of euthanasia and vet expenses.
Ricky Burges			
Rob Hanbury			
Rob Schorer			
Robun Stibbs	6 months	6	
Robyn Griffin			
Robyn Wright			
Rod Cleary			
Rodney and Sofia Carson	on acquisition		All helps. Missing cats able to be returned
Roger B Perkins	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Rolf Gentsch			
Ron Innes	MC from birth after legislation enacted		Financial assistance available for first 12 months. Initial funding required to notify and educate owners. In time all cats should be microchipped.
Ron McDavitt	3 months	3	If domestic cat problem is under control then chance of eradicating feral cats.
Ron Scantlebury	12 weeks or point of sale	3	
Rory Chapple			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Rory Crossland			
Rosalie Corbellini	asap after birth or at time of sterilisation	asap	
Rosalind Robinson	MC asap, no later than 12 weeks. T&C 4 months as not good for kittens to wear	3	T&C and MC cost but not expensive. T&C allow others to know cat is owned. MC is permanent ID
Rose van der Waag	ASAP without causing pain to new borns	asap	Travel to Vets in Country areas is a real cost. Waiting times to see Vets. Consider this with new temporary strategies for first 6 months. Use of cats around sheds on farms for rodent control needs addressing
Rosemary Donald	3 months	3	MC and T&C assists greatly to recover lost or injured pets and to ID owner.
Roslyn Thompson	4 months	4	benefit outweighs costs. Cat can be returned quickly. ID encourages responsible ownership as owners are accountable.
Roz Davies			
Sally Kalejs	6 months max	6	Cost would not be excessive for responsible owners. Allows lost cats to be returned quickly. Neglected cats can be traced back to owners.
Sandra Baraiolo	6 months	6	
Sandra Gent	six / twelve	6-Jan	one off procedure and is affordable. MC allows lost cats to be returned. T&C allows neighbours to contact owners.
Sandra Hughes			
Sandra Leach	5-6 months at time of sterilisation	with sterilisation	Costs are negligible, a responsible cat owner would already be doing this. The benefit is cat can be ID and returned to owner.
Sanne Anderson			
Sara La Macchia			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Sara Johnston			
Sarah House	2 months	2	
Sasha Davies	3 months	3	
Shane O'Neill			
Shannon MacPherson	T&C - 3 months. MC dependent on Vet advice	3	
Sharin Oliver			
Sharon Blackman	3 months	3	
Sheila Cockbain			
Sheila Cowan	6 months	6	T&C and MC cost but the benefit outweighs it. Vets and rangers already use scanners. Lost cats and problem cats can be ID and returned
Sheila Howat	3 months as per Dogs	3	MC one off, permanent and safe. T&C can be risky and get lost
Sheila Pragnell	as soon as they leave their mother		
Shelagh White Robin Hawken			
Sheree Edmondson	3 months	3	Tags approximately \$5,000 p/yr MC scanners \$1,000 each
Sheri Metternick-Jones	3 months	3	allows ID of owner. Able to issue fines for nuisance cats
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale	3 months	3	ID of owned cats to determine status of cat
Shire of Collie	same as of sterilisation (12 weeks)	3	Agree with cost and benefits for MC as per Consult Paper. MC preferred method as T&C have disbenefits that outweigh additional benefits.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>Shirley Tilbury</b>	3 months	3	No quantifiable costs/benefits. Yes will achieved policy objectives.
<b>Sirhowy Andrea Noel</b>	from ownership		
<b>Sondra Noonan</b>	4 months	4	
<b>Sonja Rose</b>	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	Benefits outweigh costs. T&C cheap and provide immediate ID. MC are permanent ID and often included in purchase price of kittens. MC scanners already widely used. Cost to police
<b>South Perth</b>	3 months	3	Current arrangements for rego and MC are cost intensive - multiple private MC databases. Multiple LG rego databases which require annual handling of rego arrangements. Inconsistency in legislative arrangements through Dog LLs operating across LG jurisdictions. Onerous for animal owners and LG offers to interrogate various databases to ID owners. In short - red tape and costly. Recommend - central database, one off rego fee at time of MC. Admin arrangements inc - Data collection, MC and rego arrangements to be legislated for admin through Vets. Service fee to cover admin cost. MC compulsory but units supplied at market price. State, LG, regulatory agencies (animal welfare, Police) and Vets have access to database info. Vets to check, maintain accuracy of rego database during check ups - database amendment fee. Database to provide statistics on maintenance activities by Vets and provide legislation admin payments to reimburse for admin costs. Admin arrangements for Database need to be cognisant of privacy arrangements. Transitional arrangements legislated for implications to Dog Act and other companion animals. Status of
<b>Stef Haywood</b>			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>Stephanie Slanzi</b>			Difficult for regional owners to met MC requirements as at present only vets are able to implant and there are limited vets in regional WA. Changes to Vet Surgeons Act 1964 would need to be made to allow other authorised implanters.
<b>Steve Cummings</b>	10 weeks	10 weeks	
<b>Steve Vanstan</b>	8 weeks	8 weeks	
<b>Stewart Ford</b>	All ages - kittens MC prior to sale		Cats can be easily returned. Itinerant cats identified quickly and removed.
<b>Stewart Lyon</b>	6 months	6	Cost to have MC inserted, all responsible pet owners should already be doing T&C. T&C can fall off and cats get out of them whereas MC allows permanent ID
<b>Stuart David Hynd</b>			
<b>Sue Gleave</b>	3 months same as dogs	3	stop uneducated owners hoarding cats which has huge impact on wildlife. If there are costs invovled in cat ownership, may deter them.
<b>Sue Lantzke</b>			
<b>Sue McGuire</b>			
<b>Susan Game</b>	6 months	6	
<b>Suzanne Gulikers</b>	3 months or at time of sterilisation	3	
<b>Suzanne Holder</b>	6 months old, MC 12 weeks	3	Benefits - allows owners to be reunited with lost cats. Costs - Vets need to have equipment to scan
<b>Suzanne Peak</b>			

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
T Atkinson			
Tammy Wilson	ASAP	asap	T&C pulled off by cats. Cats with no collar arent necessarily unowned. Therefore all cats must be MC.
Tara Reid	8 weeks	8 weeks	
Teresa Lees			
Teresa McCarthy	6 months, kittens from 3months	6	benefits outweigh costs
Teresa Moy	1 year	12 months	
Thalia O'Sullivan	6 months	6	
Thomas Alan			
Tim Brokenshire	4-6 months or before sale	6	assume councils will be give powers to impound and fine for wandering, owners will be required to confine
Tim Clynych	3 months - in line with Dog Act	3	Will be initial costs which may burden owners and minor on going annual costs for registration but overall benefits will benefit community
Tim Jones	3 months	3	System set up, cat holding pens, registration tags, scanners for MC, staffing hours, printing
Tim Watson			
Toni Shapland			
Tony Douglas Brown			
Tony Williams	MC at 12 weeks. T&C at 4 months	3	Lost cats being reunited sooner. Less chance for vexations removal of owned cats
Tracey Joynson	6 months	6	Benefits outweigh costs. Cats can be ID quicker and reunited. Owners who dump cats will be brought to justice, disputes over ownership will be solved quickly
Traci Myers	12wks - 6months	3-6 months	MC is permanent. Animal based enterprises already use scanners. T&C allow immediate ID.

RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
Tracy Wealleans	6 months	6	
Trevor Hein	3 months	3	
Ursula Adams	when owned		
Ursula Blankenburg	at sale		cats can be returned to owners
Uta Wicke			
Valerie F Mitchell	3 months	3	MC is worth the cost, lost cats can be reunited
Vaness Roberts			
Vanessa Bowden			
Vicki Thompson	6 months, although kittens can be MC from 12 weeks	6	
Victoria Murphy	6 months. Kittens MC at 12 weeks	6	
Virginia Hardaker	6 months	6	T&C and MC cost as does policing. Scanners are currently used as is so no extra cost. T&C provide immediate ID. MC provides solid proof of ownership.
W Depiazzi	asap	asap	
Warren Bow	3 months	3	
Wayne Clarke	Prior to reaching fertility. Some feral cats breed at 8-10 wks		Difficult to quantify cost. Benefit to our native fauna will be immense. May curtail dumping of kittens into bushland.
Wendy Brown			
Wendy Crawford	8-10 wks. Old enough to go outside	8 weeks	May inhibit cat cruelty if its easily seen cat is not stray
Wendy J Dallywater	4 weeks	4 weeks	not all LG's have staff to enforce or equipment to scan MC
William John Robinson	1 month	1	



RESPONDENT	4 WHAT AGE SHOULD IDENTIFICATION BE REQUIRED?		5 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?
	Age 2	Age	
<b>William Shanklin</b>	4-6 weeks	6 weeks	Operation costs of maintaining single central database and coordinating with a limited number of MC manufacturers to ensure no duplicates of numbers. Minimise development and operational costs by looking at existing, successful licensing databases.
<b>William Strong</b>	12 weeks	3	Benefits outweigh costs
<b>Winifred Bencich</b>	6 months max	6	benefits outweigh costs. T&C \$15-\$18 and provide instant ID. MC cost are reasonable.
<b>Xanthi Christidis</b>	3-6 months of age	3-Jun	Cost - policing. Collars and engraving (minimal) Benefits - MC cant be removed, is proof of ownership if cat stolen or abandoned. MC scanners used by ranger, vet and same scanners can be used for cats at no extra cost. T&C gives immediate ID. Owners can be traced and made accountable for nuisances.
<b>Xianwa Niu</b>	12 weeks	3	benefits far outweigh costs
<b>Yvette Vittorio</b>	6 months. Kittens can be MC from 3 months	6	benefits outweigh costs. ID encourages responsible ownership as they are able to be held accountable. Less strays, lost cats can be reunited.
<b>Yvonne Johnson</b>			

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
A Steegstra	Vet clinics do compulsory microchip for small fee/gov subsidy then no prob	Make compulsory or face a fine as for dogs.
A Taylor		
Adam Hansen		
Adlington Fitzgerald	No	
Afrovite Nicholson	intergrate databases	
Alannah Marsh	no	owners on low income or pensioner to be assisted as much as possible
Alison Angel		
Alison Driver		
Allen Cooper		
Allison Reid	single WA wide system developed	
Amanda McLennan	State Govt to be keeper of a single MC database statewide. Vet/rangers/etc to have access via internet	
Amy Johnson		
Andre Marais	Yes. Overcome it by electronic/software innovation	Negative ecological impact of cats on native species is not understood by Govt or public or this type of of legilsation would have been implemented long ago.
Andrew Eaton		
Andrew Hawdon		
Angela Barns	Centralise database needed - minimise risk of not being able to locate owner	Issue of semi owned (being fed without being 'owned') should be highlighted and high on priority list along with cost of euthanasia
Angela Tan	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	
Anika Bricknell	fee associated with signing up to database companies to access info.	
Ann Cuthbert		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Ann Devereaux	Yes causes problems. i.e forgetting to look at one of the databases, extra costs, some agencies only having access to one database.	
Ann MacGeehan		
Ann Marie Lander	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with	
Ann Noble	Central database	
Ann Pover		
Ann Proctor		
Annalisa Barrington		ID collar - vet approved. Some vets will refuse MC procedure if cat is too old
Anne Banks-McAllister		sterilised, mC and registered to a central database
Anne and Dave Stroud		
Anne Kent		
Anne Tumak		
Annemarie Lennon	No	Cats need to be controlled
Anon		
Anonymous	central database needed	discount for pensioners
Anonymous	No	
Anonymous		
Anonymous		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Anonymous		
Anonymous		
Anonymous Group		
Arlene Somerville		
Arthur Thompson		
Astrid Herlihy		
Audrey Gorman	Do MC numbers ID which database animal is registered to?	
B Buchanan		
B Mottram	same as cattle. On TD tag for whole life.	boundary fence collars
B Newman	Owners should keep a copy of MC information to provide to LG's when necessary	MC only done under anaesthesia
Barbara Ball	No. MC numbers identify with register the details are with.	Lost cat can be returned to owner quicker by being MC and registered
Barbara Getty		
Barbara Marshall		
Barbara Morphet		
Barbara Triggs	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	ID will achieve objectives. Owners are tracable and accountable. Less strays.
Barbara Woods		
Barbara Zuegg	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with, however single database will streamline process	

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?</b>	<b>7 OTHER COMMENTS</b>
<b>Barry &amp; Jean L.M. Hicks</b>	single database	help pensioners understand what is happening. Financial help for needy members of society.
<b>Belinda Forbes</b>		
<b>Bella Bamford</b>		
<b>Belle Connor</b>		
<b>Ben Lullfitz</b>		
<b>Bernard Simpson</b>		
<b>Bernice Bernard</b>		
<b>Betsy Cordon Cokram</b>	yes. DLG to establish single database	
<b>Betty and Brian Thoroughgood</b>		
<b>Beverly Bridgland</b>	current dog registration system is problematic when dogs are found over jurisdictions. Must provide regulations for sharing info. A cat register maintained by LG will ensure any revenue is directly proportional to number of cats in district. State Govt could like all databases to a central registry and the sharing of info managed by the Stae	The City supports subject to the State providing funding and administration. MC should have specific standard of type of chip to be used.
<b>Beverly Spencer</b>		
<b>Biddy Myres</b>		
<b>Bill Adams</b>		
<b>Blake Hill</b>		
<b>Bob Jeffreys</b>	single central. One info access point	
<b>Bob Maumill</b>		
<b>Bonnie James</b>	Create an over-arching search service which accesses existing databases - implement standards and constraints for existing and future databases	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Boyd Elizabeth		
Breanne Brown		
Brian Aldrich	databases are increasing and scanners are widely available	T&C allows on the spot ID
Brian and Sheila Peet	Not a problem that cannot be overcome	pointless having any regulation that is not enforced. Cannot rely on rangers, perhaps it could be privatised with on the spot fines \$200 and if it goes to court \$2000
Brian Blechynden	Central database	
Brian Callander	No as dogs currently have no problem with multiple databases.	seizure, impoundment and nuisance cats are not addressed in Consult Paper.
Brian French	Only one state wide database	Similar legislation to dogs should be applied
Brian Mead		
Brian Ottley	state based database	
Bronwyn Bell	possibly as cats wander and a common system where info is shared is best	MC may be too expensive for pensioners and low income earners - they should not be disadvantaged.
C Ayling	Don't know	
Carissa Hunt	www.petaddress.com.au will find where any MC are registered in Aus.	MC only work if owners update details. When registration is due, remind people to update.
Carl Gosper		
Carla Beattie	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with	
Carol Biddulph		
Carol Hudson	Should not be a problem, databases identifiable from MC numbers	I monitor lost pet ads in local paper - often with cats there is no ID and cannot reunite with owner.
Carol Richards		
Carol Young	Is it possible for LG to establish a single register	Cats treated same as dogs - compulsory ID and rego

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?</b>	<b>7 OTHER COMMENTS</b>
<b>Carol-Ann Grazier</b>	Central state based data system that Rangers can log into or phone	Chipping or sterilisation done when cats have annual injections
<b>Carole Galli</b>	universal readers available	
<b>Carole Paterson</b>	no	
<b>Caroline Franklin</b>	Yes, one central database	
<b>Carolyn Waterhouse</b>		
<b>Carolyn Webley-Hurrell</b>		
<b>Cassandra Houghton</b>	No. MC numbers identify with register the details are with. DLG could establish a single register to streamline process - where authorised persons has pin and can log on to access database	
<b>Cat Alliance of Australia</b>		must be done at point of sale. Its documented that once a cat leaves a breeder, even when the MC is paid for, the cat is not returned to be MC.
<b>Cat Owners Association</b>	privacy issues	liabilities

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Cat Sterilisation Society		
Caterina McKenzie	Central database simplify matters	Long time coming
Cathering Gray		
Cathy Josephs		
Cecil Joseph Leopold		



RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Cecil Wells		
Charmain Heath		
Charmaine Jeffrey		
Cheree Anrep		
Cherry Leonard		
Cheryl Bettridge		
Cheryl Spencer		
Chris Harvey	only one system for WA	
Chris Kojan	obviously. Assuming each LG has its own database. Each database can be copied automatically weekly and submitted to a central database/archive. Should be accessible for query puposes eg to locate a stray outside their LG and monitor the success of the scheme.	
Christina Smith	No. appropriate database ID on MC	
Christine Bourne		
Christine Randall	Same as dogs	Keep it simple. Dog legislation does not require dogs to be microchipped. Its optional.
Christine Randall	Yes. Do same as dogs with private companies operating databases	Cat owners resistance to MC could lead to failure of policy
Christine Yurovich	yes they should be amalgamated	laws do not make responsible owners. Cats should not leave seller until MC.
Chrstine Jones	one, australia wide database run by the federal Govt needed	don't put addition levy on MC as its already expensive.

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>City of Canning</b>	yes difficult. One central database managed and owned by State Govt, allowing each LG access would remedy problem. LG responsible to update and maintain their own	
<b>City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA</b>	Further research needed. Database should have oversight by State Govt. Not controlled by private enterprise. At present time - Dog MC and rego arrangements is cost, resource and admin inefficient. Will create further admin red tape to implement additional and multiple rego and MC databases related to cats. New legislation provides opportunity to overcome and improve inefficiencies.	Revenue raised by MC, rego/ID be held by State Govt. LG compensated for impounding and managing of impounded cats. Consider LG submitting periodic returns to State Govt (if they are administering and collecting rego fees) identifying the number of animals impounded/euthanased. LG are provided with per/event reimbursement from rego fees collected.
<b>Claire Gich</b>		
<b>Clarissa Calucci</b>	No it shouldn't	MC is the fastest way of finding a lost pet and it is permanent
<b>Clive Cayford</b>		
<b>Col Hartnett</b>		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>Colin Fairclough</b>	so long as the data is same and updated. A small % of taxes/rates could help run database.	2nd time cats caught - owners incur hefty fine.3rd time - euthanased. Only MC and sterilised cats from deceased estates should be rescued. All other cats delivered to shelter to be euthanased. DEC to broaden feral baiting program to deal with feral cats.
<b>Colin Hanley</b>		
<b>Corinne</b>		
<b>Craig Douglas</b>		
<b>Cristy Burne</b>	no need for multiple databases a 'cloud' computing solution employed to keep all data in same virtual space	
<b>Cynthia Pickering</b>		
<b>D M Hutchinson</b>		
<b>D&amp;J Hallard</b>	Combine	
<b>D. Singor-Turner</b>	it is used in Africa for large animals and in WA for birds.	It all comes down to owner being responsible
<b>D. Stan</b>		
<b>Daniel Ranger</b>	Virbec or similar (as with Dogs) Use same software that exists for dogs	Requirement for collars at all times. Similar penalties for dog found with no T&C
<b>Daniel Simms</b>		
<b>Daphne Shaw</b>	each LG has own database with identifying prefix	either MC or T&C due to costs entailed. Reg and sterilisation compulsory.
<b>Dave and Justine Spencer</b>		
<b>David Mather</b>		
<b>Dawn Baccini</b>		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Dawn Lowe		
Dawn Watson		Legislation should have been brought up years ago
Dean and Angela Kelly		
Dean Unsworth	databases managed by State Govt not private companies	
Debbie Mascaro	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with. Although it wouldn't be difficult to merge into one register and provide internet based register that allows authorised persons access	lost cat can be returned to owner quicker and encourages responsible ownership
Debbie Naylor		
Deidre Foster	Link all databases or rego papers - state where database is held and who chipped animal. Or have central database linked through LG dept.	Concerns - increased dumping of cats from financially disadvantaged families who cannot afford.
Deidre Main		
Del Zimmermann		
Demelza Dravnieks		Collars can be dangerous and I would support MC and a collar with bells where possible
Dennis and Colleen McDonald	No	
Des Aitkin		
Diane Matthews		No alternative to proceeding if Govts are to address responsibilities to conserving State/National natural assest
Diane Papenfus	effort should be made to link all databases or include links to other databases across Aus. Investigate efficacy of Dog Act and its database management	
Dianne Harwood		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Dianne Hunter	I understand that one system in particular is accesable 24hrs. Authorities should publicise a short list of the databases.	
Dianne Nason		
Dianne Sawyer	No. MC numbers identify with register the details are with. A single register could streamline the process.	
Don Coney	not if internet directory supplied	
Donna Cranley	MC numbers ID which database they are registred with, although single database would simplify process	
Donna Gilbert		
Doris Campbell		does not support compulsory ID.
Doris Johnson		
Doug Holmes	no	
Down E Rutter		
E Bekink		
East Fremantle		submission similar to Gosnells
Eda Brackenreg		
Eduardo Farate		
Elena Aza Ponce	no as each registration number identifies which register its with. Optimul solution would be a single register establish by DLG	
Elizabeth Bailey	Amalgamate and have one system for simplicity. Existing systems identify databases by numbers contained on the chip	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Ellie Brusasco	No as long as its easy for Vets etc to access all database but would be better if had a central database	
Elsa Dabbas		
Emer O'Gara		
Emma Brown		
Eric Ayers	LGA's will have to purchase multiple scanner readers unless State Govt can ensure MC companies will supply info through one central agency	Proposed legislation needs to define powers to seize and impound if cats wandering is an offence
Errol James		
Estelle Burrows		
Esther Scott		
Evelyn and Bill McLarty		
Faye Dalton		
Fiona Pond	Only one MC per cat - should be no overlaps	
Frances Stanley	If legislation requires single database to be administered by body (WALGA, State Govt) then issue of multiple databases could be overcome. Use same database that records dogs MC to reduce replication and database management costs.	Support introduction of both MC and T&C - two actions will assist in encouraging responsible cat ownership and reduce the amount of stray and nuisance cats.
Frank Lawrence	yes mutiple databases cause problems, if not managed correctly. LG have to purchase mutiple scanners unless State Govt can ensure all MC companies will supply info through one central agency or database	define powers to seize and impound cats and if cats wandering will be an offence
Frank Peczka		
Frog Doctor		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Gale MacLachlan	MC numbers make it clear which database its revelant to	
Garry Edgar		
Gary and Claudine Westacott		
Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls	interaction and cross referencing	
Gaye Reeves		
Gaylene Proud		
Gayrth Santen		
Geoff Barrett		
Geoffrey Harris		
George Gatenby		
Geraldton-Greenough		one off centrally managed registration/ID/sterilisation system in form of MC. Submission similar to Gosnells
Gillian Tedder		
Glen Stockley	no. database technology easily capable.	cats being ID allow owners to be ID
Glennis McDonald	modern technology should not be difficult for central database where Vets can log in and register. Once set up should not be onerous for a Govt body to maintain along with their other systems	I hope legislaion is passed, too many unwanted pets are abadoned.
Graeme Bride		
Graham Eogson	No	
Grecian Sandwell	each data base should be easily identified	
Greg Street		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Gregg Hardwood	yes a problem with mutliple databases run for profit. They need to be blended into one common WA or national database	
Guat		
Guy Jordan Collins	Centralised database for State	Collars go missing/fall off
Hamilton Stott		
Harriet Olney		
Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher		
Hazel Hughes	Yes. Councils should have universal Iso international reader and subscribe to all registries. Educate owners to keep up to date with details.	Only Vets should humanely euthanase an animal , not rangers
Heather Old		
Heidi Sowerby	No. MC numbers ID which register they are with.	
Helen and Terry Cooke		
Helen Barnes	Yes. State Govt and LG to establish single database. State Govt to be responsible for it but linked to computer systems of LG's.	
Helen Griffiths		
Helen Lovitt-Raison	central database accesible by registered MC agencies	
Helen McMillan	Govt to nominate and fund 1 database	
Helen Potkura	Hard with multiple databases, either needs to be one only or they need to be linked.	
Helen Vos		



RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Helena Mills		
Helena Zielinska		
Herbie Whittall		
Hilary Johnston	Central database is preferable as people move. So no need to re register.	
Howard Duff	prefer one	cat laws to mirror dog laws
Ian Hartley	No different than livestock industry. Eg. NLIS	Native fauna under predation. Changes in legislation will not make it so easy to own a cat. Make people think twice.
Ian Watson	Central database	
Ian Knox	No problem with multiple databases. Centralisation should be a long term strategy.	
Ieva Bergman		cats not allowed to roam to not use T&C as it can be dangerous.
Ilona Jaksic		
Ione Atkinson		time lapse for pensioners who have an indoor cat who doesn't wander, therefore doesn't need MC
J Grebert		
J Rolandi	if owner keeps hardcopy of MC info it can be provided to another LG if owner moves or cat is transferred to new owner	Vet advised MC only to be implanted under anasthesia.
J.E Soutar		
Jackie Carbro		
Jacky Lambert	Presume multiple databases can be listed on a single website. MC indicates where to find information	
Jacqueline Morgan		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Jan Savage	LG will need funding for State to share MC info databases. Otherwise LG will struggle to have capacity to set up databases in first instance and then to meet expectation to share database	
Jane Wedgwood		
Janelle Graham		
Janet Adams	No but process could be streamlined if single register via a website was available for fast access by authorised persons	only 'cat safe' collars should be approved for sale
Janet Elwood		
Janet Robson	provide a single entry website to all databases	
Janet Williams	Best option is a central database administered by local vet clinics	
Janette Lionels		
Janice Fletcher	No. as long as each LG allows access to necessary people. Although central database would be ideal.	
Janice Moy		
Jannette Ruth Haight		
Jasmin Galati	No. MC numbers identify with register the details are with. DLG could establish a single register to streamline process - where authorised persons has pin and can log on to access database	
Jay Innes	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with. Although a single register could streamline the process	develop a website where an authorised person with a pin can log in and access register

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
JE Pearce	No	
Jean Barclay		cats spread disease
Jean D'Alonzo	All databases intergrated or have multi-search capability that searches all databases at once.	MC subsidised for low income earners to prevent dumping
Jeanette Spiller	No	Vets fees should be lowered so more owners will seek treatment
Jehangir R Mehta	no	T&C can get lost so owners should restrict carts from going outdoors, in particular at night.
Jelena May		
Jemma Sivyer		
Jennifer Gates		
Jennifer Irvine		
Jennifer Le Quesne		
Jennifer Sparrows	Setup of universal WA database	
Jessica Sheppard		
Jill Kennedy	single register beneficial	
Jill Thomas		dog registration is hard to enforce, cat will be even harder. There should be no pet shops
Jim Anderson	no, not if the owner cares for their pets welfare	comes down to owners being responsible. As usual minority spoil it.
Jim Brazil	No. RFID chips have almost no chance of duplication.	
Jim Lewin		
Jim Maclean	there are mutiple databases for dogs and it doesn't present a major problem. Single database would be ideal, although mutiple databases unlikely to cause a problem	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Jim McInerney		
Jo Donnellan		any measure that links a cat back to their owner is a good move.
Jo Gunning	No	
Joan Hatton		
Joan Payne	State Govt to be responsible for a singl MC database	T&C and MC assist rangers in administering the Act. Not easy to get close to cat, T&C allow effective means of firstly ID.
Joanne Quelch		
Joanne Wakefield	MC have a unique number	cats can be returned.
Joe Froud		
Joe Potter		
John and Michelle Tan	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	
John Collingridge		
John Keyworth		
John McKinney		
John Mitchell		
John Richardson	Not a problem with MC database for cats	cost of implementing and administering database and cost of enforcement
John Rippey		
John Schindler	State Govt to enter all data into central database	encourage confinement
John White		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>Johnathon Noonan</b>	as a dog owner the current MC regime doesn't present a problem	
<b>Jon Behrens</b>	No. MC number should be coupled with tattoo of the state initial in cats ear	
<b>Josette Hill</b>		
<b>Joshua Mildenthal</b>	Only one data based for WA.	Owns cats over dogs due to less money needing to be spent. Legislation should be phased in to allow for the unforeseen costs
<b>Joy Verrinder</b>		allow LG officers, pet shop staff, breeders, pound and shelter staff etc to complete a training course so they can implant a MC. Educate owners to update. - reminder text messages, fridge magnets with contact details of MC companies etc
<b>Judith Beer</b>		My local vet will not MC an elderly cat without anaesthesia which brings the cost to \$69.
<b>Judy Clarke</b>		
<b>Judy Giles</b>		
<b>Judy Kozak</b>	No. MC numbers identify with register the details are with. DLG could establish a single register to streamline process - where authorised persons has pin and can log on to access database	
<b>Julia B Loubser</b>	No problem if databases are coordinated	MC should only be done by Vets and subsidised for seniors and low income earners
<b>Julia Brennan</b>	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with. Alternatively a single unified register of all records. Shared by organisations and allowing info to be given to appropriate persons, could be established.	
<b>Julianne Waldock</b>		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Julie Badger		
Julie Crewe		
Julie Hickling		
Justine Ward		
Kanak Ranjan Ray	national database similar to dogs. RSPCA should be able to do nationally with charges providing funding	
Kara Breitenback		
Karen Dent	Yes. Moving house presents a problem of updating the database and not knowing which one. A lot of people wont chase it up. One central database that all MC databases are linked to.	
Karen McEniry		
Karen Price		
Karen Whitney	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registred with. DLG could establish single register to streamline process	
Karl		
Kate Taylor		Stop selling of kittens in pet shops, making it harder for people to buy on impulse.
Kate Sutherland		
Kath Jacka	3 zones - central, North west and State west	
Katherine Gaschk	Better if supported by a database that was streamlined from existing databases over a period of time	
Katie Nelson	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registred with.	Collar should be reflective and have a safety snap clasp.

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Katy Jaksic	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	
Kaziah Dawes	Have a nation live system	
Keiran McNamara		
Keith Anderson		
Kelly Roman		Compulsory MC unreasonable for cats confined.
Kerrie McKennay	multiple databases means longer time to ID cats. Have on database	
Kerry Luscombe		
Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards	One database ideal. Currently each chip has an organisation ID.	
Kestri Seksel	Requires a centralised database, other states have found an issue with privacy laws - lack of access will hamper process. The system is reliant on owners updating details - if they dont update it creates an inaccurate database.	encourage MC but not enforce. T&C is simply, inexpensive and will allow ID of cats and allow them to be returned to owners.
Kevin Davidson	yes so standardise the databases	
Kim Hardaker	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	
Kim Muggridge		
Kim Stannard Brown	establish a single database or master register to ID which MC register was used	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Kimberley Post		
Kira Tracey		
Kirsten Tullis		
Kirsty Connell		
KL Parry	Why should it be a problem? It isn't for dogs.	MC is not urgent. Sterilisation is
Kris Warren		
Kristy Rumble		
L Allison	Central database needed (security sentinel could set it up)	License the owner rather than the animal
Laurel Stones		
Laurina Bullen	Owners could be charged with responsibility for keeping details up to date via online databases	
Lee Fontanini	state wide database so if people move info is accessible	photo ID of each cat on register
Lee Rowley	multiple databases not a big issue. Proposal for LG to undertake registration will create more databases, which is not desirable. Prefer a central database inc MC details and a single entity be responsible for operation and management. Allow access to vets, welfare organisation, rangers, LG's etc via secure logon protocols. If LG's had to operate system the result will be residents would be subsidising process as the cost would not be recovered from registration fees alone.	
Leigh Smith	Yes. Keep all databases linked	
Len Abbatt		
Leonie Vianna	tattoo to denote which company	
Lesley Brocker		
Lesley Cheheney		
Lexi Jury		



RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Liddell Williams		impedement is relying on owners to update details. If cat is inherited passivel at present MC details cannot be changed without previous owners consent. Perhaps Law can allow if cat is homeless or owner is not contactable for period of time then details on MC be deleted.
Lillian Young	definitely not	MC ID cats immediately
Linda Davies		
Linda Johnson		
Linda Moffat Ray	national database similar to dogs.	
Ling Hoffman		
Lisa Anstis	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	
Lisa Conn		
Lisa Stevens		
Lisette Vis		
Liz Allison		
Liz Bushby	One regional body looking after records for multi shires. Owners to advise LG of change of address.	unsterilised cats and irresponsible owners cause negative impacts. Many people leave cats out 24 hours. Owners should take responsibility.
Lorna Stewart		
Lorraine June Judge		
Lucie Nicholls		
Lucille Fisher		
Lucy Simon	single register or website to avoid problems.	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>Lukas Baker</b>	merge databases into one or require cats to be listed according to LG	
<b>Lyn Windram</b>	As per city of Gosnells comment - current arrangements for registration and MC are disparate resource and cost intensive and ineffective across jurisdictions: multiple privately operated MC databases, Multiple LG registration databases which require annual/triannual handling of registration arrangements.	
<b>Lynda Pragnell</b>	additional staffing, streamline systems	
<b>Lynn MacLaren</b>		
<b>Lynne Davis</b>	One National database preferred.	
<b>M Bacich</b>		
<b>Madalene Wallace</b>	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with	
<b>Marcus Good</b>	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with. Alternatively a single unified register of all records. Shared by organisations and allowing info to be given to appropriate persons, could be established.	
<b>Marcus Owen</b>	Country areas will not cause prob as neighbouring shires share info	Shire cannot charge fees for MC and sterilisation as it cant physically do it. Looking at number of cats in Shire rego fees will no where near cover costs of - Ranger services, MC equipment, establishment of pounds in small towns and animal welfare compliance. Shire is more concerned about feral cat problem than stray

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Margaret Anne Ryan	Yes, need a universal database	Cans found wandering should be rehomed not destroyed
Margaret Bush		
Margaret Huggins		
Margaret Pearce		
Margaret Philson		
Margaret Young	Minor problems, similar to Dog MC databases	
Margot Tobin	MC numbers ID which database they are registered with	helps recover lost pets
Maria McAdam		
Marilyn Clark Murphy		
Marion Vince	Yes. Central database Australia wide needed	night curfew
Mark Hackleton		
Mark O'Donnell		
Mark Studd	No. MC numbers ID which register is applicable. Database could be streamlined in future.	
Mark Thompson		
Marra Apgar		Cats housed indoors and in cat runs not required to wear TC if they are MC. Only unrestrained outside cats required to wear TC. In rural areas - no MC. Educate on keeping cats indoors.
Mary Thompkins		

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?</b>	<b>7 OTHER COMMENTS</b>
<b>Mary Townsend</b>	No DLG could establish single register to streamline process	
<b>Matthew Whyte</b>		
<b>Max Bazzica</b>		
<b>Megan Dare</b>	yes - how does an owner know which database they are registered with? One database, nation wide is best solution, however implementation costs would be high.	
<b>Megan Longwill</b>	Yes. Which database does ranger or vet check? Some databases charge a fee for registration and updating an animals record	tested subsidy scheme for first 12 months of legislation to help low income earners comply
<b>Melissa Cundy</b>	MC numbers ID which database they are registered with	
<b>Melissa Smith</b>	Multiple databases cause problems. Centralised through Central Animal Records since they have highest success of animal returns and ease of updating addresses and owner details via their website.	Spoke with Darrelle today about primary concern of current owners. Will chipping and rego be confidential in particular for owners in rental properties who say that have no pets. Confidentiality needs to be highlighted so owners don't fear this.
<b>Merv Williams</b>		
<b>Michael Duckett</b>		
<b>Michael Fitzgerald</b>		
<b>Michael Mills-Borley</b>	Yes as no coordinated approach. Have national database included in Act	
<b>Michael O'Connor</b>		
<b>Michael Warwick</b>		
<b>Michaela Collingwood</b>	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with. There are 2 reputable MC companies in Australia	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Michaela Newman		
Michelle Clarke		
Michelle Crowe		
Michelle Pierce	No. The documentation you get when you MC your cat provides the registry name and contact details and if you visit your normal vet they use the same registry. Multiple databases wont be a problem provided people keep paperwork	
Michelle Prince		
Mick O'Regan	There are currently at least 5 privately operated MC databases. A single one controlled by State Govt will be more effective.	
Mick Wainwright		
Mike Butcher	Yes. Databases must be avail to those who undertake feral cat control - not just vets and rangers.	4.1.1.1 of paper should include professionals involved in feral cat control.
Mike Cox	Yes - Don't know	
Mike Webster		
Mike Pimm		
Miranda Coffey	Vet practice with multiple databases adds time to process. Some types of chip require a certain reader. Standardising readers and chips would be ideal. Although providing LG and other organisations with sufficient breadth of readers and education regarding checking of databases would be sufficient.	its crucial that chip info is linked to some other form of traceable ID of the owner ie drivers licence. To remove the risk of owners not updating details. A chip with no traceable owner is useless.
Mort Wignall		
Mrs Wilkinson	all databases to be accessible by police and cat sancturies and other such organisations	it works for dogs, can work for cats

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Mundaring	Yes. Centralised needed	yearly reminders sent to update details
Nancy Dowling	No	
Nancy O'Hearn		
Nancy Tang	No problem for dogs, why should it be for cats? Database already exists. Perhaps create a search engine accessible via LG which integrates all databases	
Natalie Bell	all vets to feed info into govt database from which MC and registration documentation offered	
Natalie Mason	Yes a problem	
Natalie Rogers		
Natasha Atkins	1	bells on collars
Nedlands	central database controlled by state	revenue held by state and give LG compensation for impoundment etc
Nick Bursey		
Nicky Kain	Liaise with local vets to find out info about scanners in LG and if they read all chips. Regular scanner session with vets visiting LG and rangers borrowing required scanner. Procedures developed with checking databases with a signed declaration before cat is euthanased. LG legally liable if mistakes made.	
Nicole Stewart		
Niel Mitchell		
Nigel Bancroft	Database is kept in Eastern States and not compatible with LG programs and database for renewal	
Norma Coley	compare with current cost of MC databases for dogs which is currently optional at the cost of owners	anything to reduce cat destruction is a valuable option regardless of cost
Northam	central database for sterilised, MC and registered animal	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Olena Shulyayeva		
Oliver Sellars		
Olivia Moriae		
Owen Thomas		
P Watts	one database	
Paddy Strano	Not sure	
Pam Masters		
Pamela Lanigan		
Pamela Townsend		
Pascoe Durtanovich	need one. Run by RSPCA. Funded by State Govt	
Patricia Baird		
Patricia Bensley	No problem if set up properly in first place	
Patricia Lea		
Patricia Mead		
Patricia Townsend	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with	Mc gives owners peace of mind.
Patricia Zanich		
Paul and Kerri Hodder	intergrate databases	
Paul Gamblin		
Paul Loring	Single database	Link microchip address to change in utilities or rates
Paul McManus		very expensive to enforce. Owners need to be educated not forced.
Paul Nayler	Yes. Better Govt cooperation/coordination	
Paul Smithson		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Paula Gee	No. MC numbers ID which register the details are with	
Pauline Cope		cat enclosures in peoples garden
Penny Lee		
Peter Abetz		
Peter Carrie	Yes. State Govt needs to have centralised database and resources to perate the system - not a private enterprise.	if legislation is forced on us then all revenue raised by State can be given to LG to compensate for impounding costs
Peter de Groot		
Peter Louden		
Peter Marsh		
Peter Nicholson	No	
Peter Sazanov		
Peter Watson	no	
Peter White		
Phil Avery		
Phil Cleaver		
Phil Hopwood	If databases are searched online, wouldn't matter if there were several. If MC cats becomes compulsory a centralised database of all records would be large and expensive. Searching it may not be any quicker than searching a few smallers ones.	The cost to community of compulsory ID cannot be justified
Phil Tucak		
Philip Goad	1	



RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Phillip Rowe	For ID purpose using same database as dogs would be fine	Cat collar to have ID tag with owners details and contact number
Plantagenet		
R.J Wainwright	yes cost to input to database and cost of checking for lost cats	
Raana Scott	State govt based database	Microchipping and collars compulsory for dogs. If it works for dogs why not cats.
Rainer Ropke	yes. Either one database or consolidated database and one scanner can read all	Owners should be reminded periodically as they might move.
Rani Stewart	Only aware of 1 that is recognised Australia wide at the moment	
Ray Errington	current MC providers and separate databases make it difficult to obtain correct info as single scanner doesn't read all MC.	proposal could be resource and cost intensive being ultimately ineffective across LG's. A centralised database with a one off lifetime rego fee at the time of registration/MC.
Raymond Hammond	no as long as each LG allows access to the necessary people	T&C compulsory upon legislation. MC to all cats born after
Raymond Mell		
Raymond Phillip Giblett		cat traps to be available from LG at a low rental rate
Rebecca Simmonson	Statewide central register needed.	
Renee Cusworth	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>Rhonda Summersby</b>	State database with links to a national database. State checked first and if nothing then national checked incase animal moved interstate	Councils must support MC. Eg. City of Stirling Rangres not interested in roaming cats now. Will they support program by picking up strays?
<b>Ric Turner</b>		
<b>Richard Harris</b>	Yes. 1 database needed specifically for cats.	
<b>Rick Walduck</b>	speaking with experience; does not need legislation strict audit management to ensure quality deliver. Multiple databases work as our operators check all registers.	
<b>Ricky Burges</b>		
<b>Rob Hanbury</b>		
<b>Rob Schorer</b>		
<b>Robun Stibbs</b>		
<b>Robyn Griffin</b>		
<b>Robyn Wright</b>		
<b>Rod Cleary</b>		most cats have T&C. MC doesn't achieve anything but cost
<b>Rodney and Sofia Carson</b>	Prefer central system. Best system is using LG area and Cat Haven.	
<b>Roger B Perkins</b>	central WA or Aus wide	
<b>Rolf Gentsch</b>		
<b>Ron Innes</b>	Not an issue.	
<b>Ron McDavitt</b>	Regulate to overcome. Use police department database who also issue licences.	Implement legislation with speed.
<b>Ron Scantlebury</b>	as far as dogs go vets complete paperwork and send to sydney for downloading	MC for dogs and cats introduced simultaneously
<b>Rory Chapple</b>	state wide system with regional and LG sub system	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Rory Crossland		
Rosalie Corbellini		
Rosalind Robinson	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	MC is permanent ID and ensures cats reunited to owners. City of Swan has appalling approach to cat management with 80% of impounded cats euthanased. MC will reduce this.
Rose van der Waag	Ways of managing with IT experts	
Rosemary Donald	MC should be conducted by qual. Vet under sterile conditions. Owner details kept on present existing database. MC is large as is needle. Should be done by professional.	Pensioners and Concession car holders discount.
Roslyn Thompson	MC numbers ID which database they are registered with	Legislation will ensure there are less irresponsible cat owners.
Roz Davies		
Sally Kalejs	LG could streamline the database with some software alterations.	Will go a long way to stop dumping
Sandra Baraiolo		
Sandra Gent		
Sandra Hughes		promote not force. Come with life time registration
Sandra Leach	LG should receive revenue and hold the database the same as is for dogs. This will require additional resrouces and where will the LG get the funds from?	T&C can be hard as some cats arent even allowed outside. Allowing people to trap other's cats should not be allowed.
Sanne Anderson		
Sara La Macchia		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Sara Johnston		
Sarah House	Central database	
Sasha Davies		
Shane O'Neill		
Shannon MacPherson	Yes existing issues with multiple databases. Overcome by implementing 1 central database.	
Sharin Oliver		
Sharon Blackman		
Sheila Cockbain		
Sheila Cowan	I don't think so. Either a single database or the MC contains details of which database they are on.	This is the first step in controlling cat populations
Sheila Howat	No. follow successful guidelines for dogs	
Sheila Pragnell		
Shelagh White Robin Hawken		
Sheree Edmondson	Yes. LG will need to buy multiple MC readers.	seizure, impoundment and nuisance cats are not addressed in Consult Paper.
Sheri Metternick-Jones	statewide database	
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale	Has potential of a failur in the ID of an unowned cat. Has been instances of failure of these systems regarding dogs. LG Cat registration database should include a search field for MC info. T&C is important to provide a visual ID.	current arrangement for registration and MC are varied and cost intensive and ineffective across jurisdictions.
Shire of Collie	Yes causes problem. Private organisations are not prevented from charging a fee to supply info. Owner should supply detail to LG when registering cat and LG can establish one database	

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?</b>	<b>7 OTHER COMMENTS</b>
<b>Shirley Tilbury</b>	No problem.	
<b>Sirhowy Andrea Noel</b>	all vets, council rangers and RSPCA to have microchipping data bases	all identification good idea, stop irresponsible pet ownership.
<b>Sondra Noonan</b>		
<b>Sonja Rose</b>	No. MC numbers ID which register they are with.	
<b>South Perth</b>	Further research needed. Database should have oversight by State Govt. Not controlled by private enterprise. At present time - Dog MC and rego arrangements is cost, resource and admin inefficient. Will create further admin red tape to implement additional and multiple rego and MC databases related to cats. New legislation provides opportunity to overcome and improve inefficiencies.	Revenue raised by MC, rego/ID be held by State Govt. LG compensated for impounding and managing of impounded cats. Consider LG submitting periodic returns to State Govt (if they are administering and collecting rego fees) identifying the number of animals impounded/euthanased. LG are provided with per/event reimbursement from rego fees collected.
<b>Stef Haywood</b>		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>Stephanie Slanzi</b>	Yes. There are currently at least 5 databases inc Central Animal Records, Australasian Animal Registry, Petsafe Database and National Pet Registry. These are not linked. Databases are not consistent across LG's. MC cannot be read by different equipment across suburbs/LG's.	
<b>Steve Cummings</b>	single	
<b>Steve Vanstan</b>	One common database preferred although current multiple databases not a significant problem as there is a website that directs the user to correct database by entering MC number	The Vet Surgeons Act 1964 should be changed to allow other authorised MC implanters (Rangers) in particularly in regional areas
<b>Stewart Ford</b>	Single state database which consolidates current MC records	Heartened to see legislation that tragets feral cat prob. Fully support it.
<b>Stewart Lyon</b>	Depends on admin but if correct info is stored on chip and all registers use the same software then easy. If its an issue the Govt could have single register and allow access by Vets, Rangers etc	
<b>Stuart David Hynd</b>		
<b>Sue Gleave</b>	no same as dogs.	
<b>Sue Lantzke</b>		
<b>Sue McGuire</b>		
<b>Susan Game</b>		
<b>Suzanne Gulikers</b>	Should be held by LG where cat is registered	
<b>Suzanne Holder</b>		MC and registration inc option for lifetime registration should be mandatory. T&C are less useful.
<b>Suzanne Peak</b>		

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
T Atkinson		
Tammy Wilson	Yes. If multiple databases which one to use?	Vets only allowed to insert MC as chips and needles are huge - can tear skin, require suturing and other complications.
Tara Reid		bells on collars
Teresa Lees		
Teresa McCarthy	no problem	
Teresa Moy		
Thalia O'Sullivan		
Thomas Alan		
Tim Brokenshire	one database at state level	appears councils who have current cat LL havent been approached for info on implementation etc.
Tim Clynych	Multiple MC databases is problem as has been proven with Dogs. Standard database such as CAR (central animal records) should be used	
Tim Jones	National database supported and operated at Federal Govt level - free access to LG	Rangers not qualified to perform MC
Tim Watson		
Toni Shapland		
Tony Douglas Brown		
Tony Williams	No - easy access to all databases for those who need to access them	
Tracey Joynson	No a different number system could be used for each database. Or a single Govt run database could be created.	
Traci Myers	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registered with.	

RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
Tracy Wealleans		
Trevor Hein		
Ursula Adams	no so long as the program is linke to all LG's systems	
Ursula Blankenburg		
Uta Wicke		
Valerie F Mitchell	single register would be best	
Vaness Roberts		
Vanessa Bowden		
Vicki Thompson		
Victoria Murphy		
Virginia Hardaker	No. MC numbers ID which database they are registied with. Although single register or website would streamline process	
W Depiazzi		
Warren Bow		
Wayne Clarke	Don't see an issue. Chip could contain a pre fix designating where it originated (like country number plates on cars). Each LG can be issued with a prefix	I own pedigree cats and have always been conscious of where they are, in particular at night when I enclose them.
Wendy Brown		
Wendy Crawford	All databases intergrated or have multi-search capability that searches all databases at once.	MC subsidised for low income earners to prevent dumping
Wendy J Dallywater	central system needed	
William John Robinson	Yes. Databases should be controlled by LG. a move to another LG would require re-registration	



RESPONDENT	6 MULTIPLE MICROCHIP DATABASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	7 OTHER COMMENTS
<b>William Shanklin</b>	No need or benefit to have multiple. Single centra database with controlled lines of access. NLIS - National Livestock Identification Scheme, allows birth to death tracking of animals.	Simple to use central database scheme is too difficult, contact me for more info. If it is to be cost effective and simple to administer should not be re invented from scratch.
<b>William Strong</b>		
<b>Winifred Bencich</b>	No. all done by computer	ID will allow nuisance cats to be ID and their owners traced
<b>Xanthi Christidis</b>	MC numbers ID which register they are with. DLG could have single register to simple process, where authorised person can access with password	
<b>Xianwa Niu</b>		
<b>Yvette Vittorio</b>	MC numbers ID which database they are registered with, although single database would simplify process maintained by authorised personnel.	
<b>Yvonne Johnson</b>		



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Ann Devereaux	Yes			2 years i.e 2 times council rates				Yes - Individual councils can choose OR same as dogs
Ann MacGeehan								
Ann Marie Lander	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Ann Noble	Yes	Yes		12 months	No	Yes	No	6 years
Ann Pover	No							
Ann Proctor								
Annalisa Barrington								
Anne Banks-McAllister								
Anne and Dave Stroud								
Anne Kent	Yes	Yes		1 year				
Anne Tumak	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Annemarie Lennon	Yes	Yes				Yes		
Anon	No							
Anonymous	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Anonymous	Yes	Yes		6 months				Yes
Anonymous	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Anonymous	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Anonymous								
Anonymous	Yes	Yes		3-6 months		Yes		
Anonymous Group								
Arlene Somerville								
Arthur Thompson								
Astrid Herlihy	No							
Audrey Gorman	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
<b>B Buchanan</b>									
<b>B Mottram</b>	No	Yes	No			Yes	Yes		
<b>B Newman</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes	Yes		
<b>Barbara Ball</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year		Yes	Yes		
<b>Barbara Getty</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes	Yes	
<b>Barbara Marshall</b>								Yes	
<b>Barbara Morphet</b>									
<b>Barbara Triggs</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year		Yes	Yes		
<b>Barbara Woods</b>									
<b>Barbara Zuegg</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year			Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
Barry & Jean L.M. Hicks	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Belinda Forbes	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bella Bamford									
Belle Connor									
Ben Lullfitz									
Bernard Simpson	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes	Yes	
Bernice Bernard									
Betsy Cordon Cokram	Yes	No	Yes	5 years			Yes		
Betty and Brian Thoroughgood									
Beverley Bridgland	Yes					Yes	Yes		
Beverly Spencer									
Biddy Myres	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes		
Bill Adams	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes		
Blake Hill									
Bob Jeffreys	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bob Maumill									
Bonnie James	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Boyd Elizabeth	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Breanne Brown	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Brian Aldrich	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes			
Brian and Sheila Peet	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Brian Blechynden	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 years			Yes	
Brian Callander	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Brian French	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes	
Brian Mead	Yes	Yes		12 months		Yes	Yes	
Brian Ottley	Yes	Yes		6-12 months			Yes	
Bronwyn Bell	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes		
C Ayling	Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes	
Carissa Hunt	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Carl Gosper								
Carla Beattie	Yes	No	Yes	1 year			Yes	
Carol Biddulph	Yes	Yes						

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes					
Carol Hudson	Yes	No	Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes		
Carol Richards								
Carol Young	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Carol-Ann Grazier	Yes	Yes		12 months	No	No	Yes	
Carole Galli	No							
Carole Paterson	Yes	Yes		asap			Yes	
Caroline Franklin	No				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carolyn Waterhouse	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes		
Carolyn Webley-Hurrell	Yes	Yes		12 months		Yes		
Cassandra Houghton	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes	Yes	
Cat Alliance of Australia								
Cat Owners Association	No							



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
Cat Sterilisation Society	No								
Caterina McKenzie	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes		
Cathering Gray									
Cathy Josephs									
Cecil Joseph Leopold	Yes	Yes		as long as it takes to complete 100%	Yes or same as dogs				
Cecil Wells	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes			
Charmain Heath	No						Yes		
Charmaine Jeffrey									
Cheree Anrep									
Cherry Leonard	Yes								
Cheryl Bettridge	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Cheryl Spencer	No	No	No						
Chris Harvey	Yes	Yes	No	1 year	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Chris Kojan	Yes	Yes		1 year					Yes
Christina Smith	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
Christine Bourne	Yes	Yes		3 years, exempt for those born before 2005			Yes		
Christine Randall	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes			
Christine Randall	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes			

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Christine Yurovich	No	No	No	achieves nothing				
Chrstine Jones	No	No	No				Yes	
City of Canning	Yes see.q15			3 months	Yes - for unsterilised ie. Breeders		Yes - for sterilised pets	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA	Yes			after extensive education/advert campaing by State Govt, scheduled to lead up and coincide with intro of legislation			Yes	
Claire Gich	Yes		Yes	1-2 years			Yes	
Clarissa Calucci	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		Yes	Yes	
Clive Cayford	Yes	Yes		12-18 months		Yes	Yes	
Col Hartnett								



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Daniel Ranger	Yes	Yes		immediately for those born after legislation. Others 6 months or prescribed date as is Dog Act	Yes	Yes		
Daniel Simms								
Daphne Shaw	Yes	Yes		6 -12 mnths	Yes	Yes		
Dave and Justine Spencer								
David Mather								
Dawn Baccini	No	No	No					
Dawn Lowe								
Dawn Watson	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Dean and Angela Kelly								
Dean Unsworth	Yes	Yes		6 months after education campaign by State Govt	Yes	Yes	recording of MC info	
Debbie Mascaro	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes		
Debbie Naylor								

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
<b>Deidre Foster</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes	
<b>Deidre Main</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
<b>Del Zimmermann</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1-2 years		Yes		
<b>Demelza Dravnieks</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year			Yes	Yes
<b>Dennis and Colleen McDonald</b>	Yes	Yes					Yes	
<b>Des Aitkin</b>	Yes	Yes						
<b>Diane Matthews</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		Yes		Yes. Similar to dog act
<b>Diane Papenfus</b>	Yes	Yes		12-18 months	No	Yes		
<b>Dianne Harwood</b>	Yes	Yes			Yes - for unsterilised ie. Breeders		Yes - for sterilised pets	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Dianne Hunter	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes	Yes		
Dianne Nason								
Dianne Sawyer	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Don Coney	Yes	Yes				Yes		
Donna Cranley	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Donna Gilbert	Yes	Yes		1-2 years		Yes		
Doris Campbell								
Doris Johnson	Yes	Yes	No	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Doug Holmes	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Down E Rutter								
E Bekink	Yes	Yes					Yes	





## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Eric Ayers	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes		
Errol James	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Estelle Burrows	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes			
Esther Scott	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes	
Evelyn and Bill McLarty								
Faye Dalton								
Fiona Pond	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes	Yes	
Frances Stanley	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes	Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Frank Lawrence	Yes	Yes		amnesty period. 12 months			Yes	
Frank Peczka								
Frog Doctor	Yes							
Gale MacLachlan	Yes	No	Yes	1 year			Yes	
Garry Edgar								
Gary and Claudine Westacott								
Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Gaye Reeves								
Gaylene Proud	Yes	Yes				Yes		
Gayrth Santen								
Geoff Barrett								
Geoffrey Harris								
George Gatenby								
Geraldton-Greenough	Yes			after extensive advert/education campaign by State Govt			Yes as one off reg/MC	
Gillian Tedder								

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
<b>Glen Stockley</b>	Yes	Yes		immediate			Yes	
<b>Glennis McDonald</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		Yes	Yes	
<b>Graeme Bride</b>								
<b>Graham Eogson</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
<b>Grecian Sandwell</b>	Yes	Yes		immediately			Yes	
<b>Greg Street</b>	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Gregg Hardwood</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes	Yes		
<b>Guat</b>								
<b>Guy Jordan Collins</b>	Yes	Yes				Yes		
<b>Hamilton Stott</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes	
<b>Harriet Olney</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes	
<b>Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher</b>	Yes	Yes			Yes			
<b>Hazel Hughes</b>	No		Yes				Yes	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
Heather Old									
Heidi Sowerby	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
Helen and Terry Cooke	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes		
Helen Barnes	Yes	Yes		6-12 months			Yes	5 years	
Helen Griffiths	Yes	Yes					Yes		
Helen Lovitt-Raison	Yes	Yes		ASAP		Yes		Yes	
Helen McMillan	Yes			6-12 months	Yes	Yes			
Helen Potkura	No	No	No	If it must be done then over a year but very difficult to police if only those born after legislation introduced	Yes	Yes			
Helen Vos	Yes	Yes		1 year			Yes		
Helena Mills									
Helena Zielinska									
Herbie Whittall									
Hilary Johnston	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Howard Duff	Yes	Yes		6 months plus	Yes	Yes			
Ian Hartley	Yes	Yes		3 months then charge	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Ian Watson	Yes	Yes					Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes		Yes	Yes		
Ian Knox	Yes	No	Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes		
Ieva Bergman	Yes	Yes						
Ilona Jaksic	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Ione Atkinson								
J Grebert	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes	
J Rolandi	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes	Yes		
J.E Soutar								
Jackie Carbro	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Jacky Lambert	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Jacqueline Morgan	Yes	Yes		1 yr	Yes			
Jan Savage	Yes		Yes				Yes	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes					
Jane Wedgwood	Yes	No	Yes	immediate	Yes	Yes		
Janelle Graham								
Janet Adams	Yes	No	Yes	12 months				
Janet Elwood								
Janet Robson	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Janet Williams	No	No	No					
Janette Lionels	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes			
Janice Fletcher	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Janice Moy	Yes	Yes		asap	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Jannette Ruth Haight	Yes	Yes		1 year			Yes	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes					
Jasmin Galati	Yes	No	Yes	1 year amnesty for non compliance	Yes	Yes		
Jay Innes	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
JE Pearce	Yes	No	Yes	1 year		Yes	Yes	
Jean Barclay	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Jean D'Alonzo	Yes	Yes		6 months, after awareness campaign			Yes	
Jeanette Spiller	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes	
Jehangir R Mehta	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Jelena May	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes		
Jemma Sivyer	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Jennifer Gates	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes		
Jennifer Irvine	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			
Jennifer Le Quesne								
Jennifer Sparrows	Yes	Yes		2-3 years		Yes	Yes	
Jessica Sheppard	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes	Yes	





## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes		Yes	Yes		
John and Michelle Tan	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
John Collingridge								
John Keyworth								
John McKinney	Yes			3 months	Yes	Yes		Yes
John Mitchell								
John Richardson	Yes	Yes					Yes	
John Rippey								
John Schindler	Yes			6 months		Yes		
John White								
Johnathon Noonan	Yes	Yes		12 months before removal/fines/trapping	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Jon Behrens	Yes	Yes	No	6 months			Yes	
Josette Hill								
Joshua Mildenthal	Yes			3-6 months			Yes	



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Julie Hickling	No	No	No	never				
Justine Ward								
Kanak Ranjan Ray	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Kara Breitenback	Yes	Yes		3 months or less	Yes	Yes		
Karen Dent	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Karen McEniry								
Karen Price	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Karen Whitney	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Karl								
Kate Taylor	Yes		Yes					
Kate Sutherland								
Kath Jacka	Yes	Yes	No	1 year		Yes	Yes	
Katherine Gaschk	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes		Yes	Yes		
<b>Katie Nelson</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
<b>Katy Jaksic</b>	Yes		Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes		
<b>Kaziah Dawes</b>	Yes		Yes	12 months			Yes	
<b>Keiran McNamara</b>								
<b>Keith Anderson</b>	Yes	Yes		24 months		Yes		
<b>Kelly Roman</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes	No	
<b>Kerrie McKennay</b>	Yes	Yes					Yes	
<b>Kerry Luscombe</b>								
<b>Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards</b>	Yes		Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Kestri Seksel	No							
Kevin Davidson	No	No	No	not in favour				
Kim Hardaker	Yes	No	Yes	12 months		Yes		
Kim Muggridge	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Kim Stannard Brown	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
Kimberley Post	Yes	Yes							
Kira Tracey	Yes	Yes					Yes		
Kirsten Tullis	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes		
Kirsty Connell	No	No	No				Yes	Yes	Yes
KL Parry	Yes	Yes		immediately			Yes		
Kris Warren									
Kristy Rumble	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
L Allison	Yes	No	Yes	6 months	Yes	Yes			
Laurel Stones									
Laurina Bullen	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes			
Lee Fontanini	Yes	Yes		6 months					same as dogs



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
					Yes	Yes	Yes	owners choice
Linda Moffat Ray	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	owners choice
Ling Hoffman								
Lisa Anstis	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Lisa Conn								
Lisa Stevens								
Lisette Vis								
Liz Allison								
Liz Bushby	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	have options
Lorna Stewart	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes		
Lorraine June Judge								
Lucie Nicholls								
Lucille Fisher	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Lucy Simon	Yes	Yes		1 year plus			Yes	
Lukas Baker	Yes	Yes		immediately	Yes	Yes		



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Lyn Windram	No							
Lynda Pragnell	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Lynn MacLaren	Yes	Yes		1 year for retrospective. Those born after 1 month	Yes	Yes		5 years
Lynne Davis	No	No	No	Not necessary to reg all cats				
M Bacich								
Madalene Wallace	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Marcus Good	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Marcus Owen	Yes	Yes		five year period following education/advert campaign by State Govt. in line with lead up and intro of legislation	Yes	Yes		



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Mary Townsend	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Matthew Whyte	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Max Bazzica								
Megan Dare	Yes	Yes		2 years	Yes	Yes		
Megan Longwill	Yes	Yes				Yes		
Melissa Cundy	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Melissa Smith	Yes	Yes		Unsure				Yes - depends on stability of house
Merv Williams								

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Michael Duckett	No	Yes					Yes	
Michael Fitzgerald	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Michael Mills-Borley	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes	Yes		
Michael O'Connor								
Michael Warwick								
Michaela Collingwood	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Michaela Newman	No							
Michelle Clarke								
Michelle Crowe								
Michelle Pierce	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes	Yes		
Michelle Prince	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes	Yes		
Mick O'Regan	No	No	No					
Mick Wainwright								
Mike Butcher	Yes	Yes		6 months			Yes	
Mike Cox	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes		
Mike Webster								
Mike Pimm	No							

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
					Yes	Yes		
Miranda Coffey	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Mort Wignall								
Mrs Wilkinson	Yes		Yes			Yes		
Mundaring	Yes with MC			after education campaign by State	Yes	Yes		
Nancy Dowling	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Nancy O'Hearn								
Nancy Tang	Yes	Yes		3 months	Yes	Yes		
Natalie Bell	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes	
Natalie Mason	Yes		Yes		Yes			
Natalie Rogers	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Natasha Atkins	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Nedlands	Yes	Yes		1 year			Yes	
Nick Bursey								
Nicky Kain	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Nicole Stewart								
Niel Mitchell								
Nigel Bancroft	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes			

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Norma Coley	Yes	Yes		time is of the essence - immediately			Yes	
Northam	Yes			after extensive advert/education campaign by State Govt			Yes	same as dog act
Olena Shulyayeva	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes		
Oliver Sellars								
Olivia Moriae								
Owen Thomas								
P Watts	No	No	No	MC enough			Yes	
Paddy Strano	Yes		Yes	6-12 months		Yes	Yes	
Pam Masters	Yes	Yes		2 years		Yes		
Pamela Lanigan	No	No	Yes				Yes	
Pamela Townsend	Yes							
Pascoe Durtanovich	Yes	Yes		3-6 months with a final date set	Yes	Yes		
Patricia Baird	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Patricia Bensley	Yes	Yes		One year	Yes		Yes	
Patricia Lea								
Patricia Mead	Yes	Yes		immediate	Yes			

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes					
Patricia Townsend	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Patricia Zanich	Yes	Yes		immediate	Yes			
Paul and Kerri Hodder	Yes	Yes		12 months			Yes	
Paul Gamblin	Yes							
Paul Loring	Yes	Yes				Yes		
Paul McManus								
Paul Nayler	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes		
Paul Smithson								
Paula Gee	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Pauline Cope	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Penny Lee	No	Yes	No	1 year	Yes	Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
Peter Abetz	Yes								
Peter Carrie	Yes	Yes		after extensive advert/education campaign by State Govt			Yes		
Peter de Groot									
Peter Louden	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Peter Marsh									
Peter Nicholson	Yes	Yes					Yes		
Peter Sazanov	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes		
Peter Watson	Yes	Yes				Yes			
Peter White	Yes	Yes		3 months			Yes		
Phil Avery	Yes	Yes		18 months		Yes	Yes		
Phil Cleaver	Yes	Yes		asap			Yes	Yes	
Phil Hopwood	No	No	No	Never					
Phil Tucak									
Philip Goad	No	No	Yes	2 years			Yes		
Phillip Rowe	Yes	Yes		6 months		Yes			



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
Plantagenet							Yes	
R.J Wainwright	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes	Yes	
Raana Scott	Yes	Yes		3 months	Yes	Yes		
Rainer Ropke	Yes	Yes		12 months	Yes	Yes		
Rani Stewart	Yes		Yes	12 months		Yes	Yes	
Ray Errington	Yes	Yes		12 months education lead in time			Yes	
Raymond Hammond	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes		
Raymond Mell	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Raymond Phillip Giblett	No							





## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes		Yes	Yes		
Sally Kalejs	Yes	No	Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes		
Sandra Baraiolo	No		Yes				Yes	
Sandra Gent	No		Yes					No - don't agree
Sandra Hughes	No							
Sandra Leach	Yes	Yes		3 years		Yes		
Sanne Anderson								
Sara La Macchia								
Sara Johnston								
Sarah House	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	No	
Sasha Davies	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		Yes	Yes	
Shane O'Neill								
Shannon MacPherson	No			6-12 months	Yes	Yes	No	
Sharin Oliver								
Sharon Blackman	Yes	Yes		6 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Sheila Cockbain	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Sheila Cowan	Yes		Yes	1 year		Yes		

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
					No	Yes		
Sheila Howat	Yes	Yes			No	Yes		
Sheila Pragnell	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Shelagh White Robin Hawken	No							
Sheree Edmondson	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes		
Sheri Metternick-Jones	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale	Yes	Yes		3 years	Yes	Yes		
Shire of Collie	Yes	Yes		12-18 months	Yes	Yes		
Shirley Tilbury	Yes	Yes			Yes			
Sirhowy Andrea Noel	Yes	Yes	Yes	common sense period			Yes	
Sondra Noonan	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes	Yes	

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
		No	Yes		Yes	Yes		
Sonja Rose	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
South Perth	Yes			after education and advertising campaign by State Govt.			Yes	
Stef Haywood								
Stephanie Slanzi	No							
Steve Cummings	Yes	Yes		2 years	Yes			Yes



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
		No	Yes						
<b>Teresa McCarthy</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year		Yes			
<b>Teresa Moy</b>	Yes	Yes		2-5 years					length of ownership
<b>Thalia O'Sullivan</b>	No							Yes	
<b>Thomas Alan</b>									
<b>Tim Brokenshire</b>	Yes			after extensive advert/education	Yes	Yes			
<b>Tim Clynych</b>	Yes	Yes		no phase in	Yes - as per dog act	Yes - as per dog act			
<b>Tim Jones</b>	Yes	No	No	12 months	Yes	Yes			
<b>Tim Watson</b>									
<b>Toni Shapland</b>									
<b>Tony Douglas Brown</b>									
<b>Tony Williams</b>	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes			
<b>Tracey Joynson</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
<b>Traci Myers</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
<b>Tracy Wealleans</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year		Yes			
<b>Trevor Hein</b>	Yes	Yes		asap				Yes	
<b>Ursula Adams</b>	Yes	Yes				Yes			



## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?				
<b>Ursula Blankenburg</b>	No	No	No				Yes		
<b>Uta Wicke</b>									
<b>Valerie F Mitchell</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes			
<b>Vaness Roberts</b>									
<b>Vanessa Bowden</b>	Yes								
<b>Vicki Thompson</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
<b>Victoria Murphy</b>	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes			
<b>Virginia Hardaker</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	Yes	Yes			
<b>W Depiazzi</b>	Yes		Yes				Yes		
<b>Warren Bow</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes			
<b>Wayne Clarke</b>	Yes	Yes					Yes		
<b>Wendy Brown</b>									
<b>Wendy Crawford</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months, after awareness campaign			Yes		
<b>Wendy J Dallywater</b>	Yes			6 month			in the form of MC		
<b>William John Robinson</b>	Yes	Yes				Yes			

## Registration of Cats with Local Governments (Q8-15)

RESPONDENT	8 SUPPORT?	9 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY REGISTRATION BE INTRODUCED?			10 HOW LONG SHOULD REGISTRATION PERIOD BE?			
William Shanklin	Yes	Yes			Yes			
William Strong	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Winifred Bencich	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Xanthi Christidis	Yes	No	Yes	1 year	Yes	Yes		
Xianwa Niu	Yes	Yes		1 year		Yes	Yes	
Yvette Vittorio	Yes	No	Yes	12 months	Yes	Yes		
Yvonne Johnson								

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
		Yes/No	Purpose
A Steegstra	\$20 s \$80 u/s	Yes	Sterilised cats
A Taylor			
Adam Hansen			
Adlington Fitzgerald		Yes	
Afrovite Nicholson			
Alannah Marsh	\$5 p/yr to keep affordable for all	Yes	half the rate for unemployed and pensioners
Alison Angel			
Alison Driver			
Allen Cooper			
Allison Reid	sterilised \$100 p/year. Unsterilised \$200 p/year	Yes	
Amanda McLennan	\$10 sterilised p/yr. Unsterilised \$30 p/yr	Yes	discounts for sterilisation, MC and longer term registration.
Amy Johnson			
Andre Marais	Govt subsidised	No	
Andrew Eaton		Yes	pensioners
Andrew Hawdon			
Angela Barns		Yes	for those with multiple cats and low income earners
Angela Tan	low or free for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	to encourage compliance and sterilisation
Anika Bricknell			
Ann Cuthbert			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Ann Devereaux	same as dogs	Yes	If sterilised, MC and kept confined (enclosure)
Ann MacGeehan			
Ann Marie Lander	sterilised low or free	Yes	to provide an incentive to sterilise
Ann Noble	Same as dogs	Yes	Pensioners for max 2 cats. Over 2 full rate
Ann Pover			
Ann Proctor			
Annalisa Barrington			
Anne Banks-McAllister	Fee costed after consultation with MC companies and state Govt. Lifetime rego fee structure to inc - (Companion Animal) - sterilisation, MC, rego to central database, Vet admin fee. (Breeding Animal) - MC, lifetime rego to central database, vet admin fee		
Anne and Dave Stroud			
Anne Kent			
Anne Tumak	\$50	Yes	pensioners

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Annemarie Lennon			low fees or free for sterilised will encourage people to sterilise
Anon			
Anonymous	after initial Govt subsidy should be on a cost recovery basis	Yes	50% for pensioners
Anonymous	Same as dogs	Yes	Discount for pensioners - not fair that those on low income are not able to enjoy companionship
Anonymous			
Anonymous		Yes	pensioners
Anonymous			
Anonymous			
Anonymous Group			
Arlene Somerville			
Arthur Thompson			
Astrid Herlihy			
Audrey Gorman	sterilised free as incentive	Yes	low income earners to encourage compliance

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
B Buchanan			
B Mottram			
B Newman	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners and low income earners
Barbara Ball	sterilised low or free as an incentive	Yes	discounts encourage compliance and help pensioners and low income earners to keep pets
Barbara Getty	\$10	Yes	to encourage people to register as some will have financial difficulties - try to avoid people dumping cats.
Barbara Marshall			
Barbara Morphet			
Barbara Triggs	low for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	LG to run subsidised sterilisation campaigns once or twice yearly
Barbara Woods			
Barbara Zuegg	low or free as incentive to sterilise	Yes	discounts encourage compliance

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Barry & Jean L.M. Hicks	lowest acceptable fee with reference to admin costs	No	
Belinda Forbes	\$15 p/yr sterilised. \$30 + p/yr unsterilised	No	If cannot afford low rego fee - cant afford to care for a cat
Bella Bamford			
Belle Connor			
Ben Lullfitz			
Bernard Simpson	\$20	Yes	pensioners and health care card holders
Bernice Bernard			
Betsy Cordon Cokram	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	discount for sterilised, pensioners
Betty and Brian Thoroughgood			
Beverley Bridgland	position required from council		if sterilisation compulsory discounts are irrelevant. Cat registration should lower due to compulsory MC and cats not using parks etc which require 'doggy bags'
Beverly Spencer			
Biddy Myres	\$10 for 3 yrs sterilised. \$60p/yr for unsterilised - only for licenced breeders.	Yes	Concession
Bill Adams			
Blake Hill			
Bob Jeffreys	lifetime \$100. review annually		
Bob Maumill			
Bonnie James	\$10 sterilised p/yr or \$50 lifetime. Unsterilised \$50 p/yr	Yes	during sterilisation transition period reduced registration fees should be offered to encourage sterilisation

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Boyd Elizabeth			
Breanne Brown			
Brian Aldrich	as low as poss	Yes	to encourage compliance
Brian and Sheila Peet	\$200 sterilised. \$500 unsterilised	No	
Brian Blechynden	\$30 or \$150	Yes	Encourage sterilisation, health care card discounts
Brian Callander			
Brian French	free for sterilised. \$3,000 for unsterilied ( a strong incentive needed)	Yes	free for sterilised. \$3,000 for unsterilied ( a strong incentive needed)
Brian Mead	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners
Brian Ottley	sterilised \$20. unsterilised \$500	Yes	for phase in period or state of legilsation
Bronwyn Bell	fees based on admin costs. Should not be profitable.	Yes	pensioners and low income earners who show proof such as a health care card
C Ayling	No fee for sterilised	Yes	No fee for sterilised
Carissa Hunt	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners
Carl Gosper			
Carla Beattie	low for sterilised or free to provide incentive	Yes	
Carol Biddulph			



RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Carol Hudson	low fee for sterilised. Higher for unsterilised	Yes	Discounts to low income
Carol Richards			
Carol Young	fee for sterilised should be low or free	Yes	pensioners of sterilised cats. Discounts encourage people to comply
Carol-Ann Grazier	same as dogs	No	Same as dogs
Carole Galli			
Carole Paterson	same as dogs	No	
Caroline Franklin		No	
Carolyn Waterhouse			
Carolyn Webley-Hurrell	same as dogs	Yes	for seniors and pensioners
Cassandra Houghton	low as incentive	Yes	Concession discount, sterilised cats significantly cheaper
Cat Alliance of Australia			
Cat Owners Association			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Cat Sterilisation Society			
Caterina McKenzie	same as dog	Yes	for sterilisation
Cathering Gray			
Cathy Josephs			
Cecil Joseph Leopold	Sterilised \$15 Unsterilised \$35	No	
Cecil Wells			
Charmain Heath			
Charmaine Jeffrey			
Cheree Anrep			
Cherry Leonard			
Cheryl Bettridge			
Cheryl Spencer			
Chris Harvey	same as dogs	No	possibly if all cats are sterilised
Chris Kojan	\$20 p/cat. \$10 for pensioners	Yes	Yes to encourage compliance within 3 months once the phasing period has expired.
Christina Smith	same as dogs	Yes	to encourage compliance despite financial circumstances
Christine Bourne			
Christine Randall	Same as dogs		Same rule applies as dogs
Christine Randall	same as dogs	No	same as dogs

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Christine Yurovich			
Christine Jones	Nil. But if a cost must be involved \$50 sterilised. \$250 unsterilised.	Yes	discounts with proof of sterilisation, MC and T&C
City of Canning	to be calculated	Yes	pensioners 50% discount

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA</b>	Fee costed after consultation with MC companies and state Govt. Lifetime rego fee structure to inc - (Companion Animal) - sterilisation, MC, rego to central database, Vet admin fee. (Breeding Animal) - MC, lifetime rego to central database, vet admin fee	No	One lifetime fee. All cats to be sterilised except registered breeders. Provide installments for low income.
<b>Claire Gich</b>			
<b>Clarissa Calucci</b>	sterilised \$20. unsterilised \$160	No	
<b>Clive Cayford</b>			
<b>Col Hartnett</b>			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>Colin Fairclough</b>	cheap. With high penalties for breaches such as unsterilised females	Yes	sterilised cats owned by pensioners or those who have disabilities
<b>Colin Hanley</b>			
<b>Corinne</b>			
<b>Craig Douglas</b>	\$50		
<b>Cristy Burne</b>	same as dogs		same as dogs
<b>Cynthia Pickering</b>	Sterilised - same as dog. Unsterilised - considerably more	Yes	Rego within first 6 months of rego to be free. Good incentive. Discounts for pensioners and mental health patients who benefit from having cat as pet
<b>D M Hutchinson</b>			
<b>D&amp;J Hallard</b>	no unsterilised cats except for registered breeders. If unsterilised and not a breeder then \$250 plus forced sterilisation. \$100 for sterilised.		
<b>D. Singor-Turner</b>	\$20	Yes	low income earners
<b>D. Stan</b>			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Daniel Ranger	\$30 p/yr unsterilised, \$15 p/yr. sterilised. Double costs for 3 yrs	Yes	approved cat runs and containment pens. Pensioner and concension
Daniel Simms			
Daphne Shaw	sterilised - \$10 p/yr, \$25 p/3yr. Unsterilised - only breeders, \$20 p/yr, \$35 p/3yr	No	fee is small and acceptable to all owners. Discounts may apply to breeders according to number and quality of conditions on inspection
Dave and Justine Spencer			
David Mather			
Dawn Baccini			
Dawn Lowe			
Dawn Watson	\$30 sterilised. \$150 unsterilised.	No	
Dean and Angela Kelly			
Dean Unsworth	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners
Debbie Mascaro	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	discounts will enourage compliance. Discounts for sterilised
Debbie Naylor			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>Deidre Foster</b>	\$12 sterilised. \$50 unsterilised	Yes	Pensioners, unemployed etc. Financially disadvantaged put on a payment plan or tack onto rates.
<b>Deidre Main</b>			
<b>Del Zimmermann</b>	sterilised - free or \$30 lifetime. Unsterilised \$100 p/year	Yes	for sterilisation at 12-20 weeks = free registration
<b>Demelza Dravnieks</b>	\$50 for sterilised for life. Unsterilised should not be able to register	Yes	If having to re register due to moving and have already previously registered at old address. If previous cat has died within a year of registration (and the fee is longer than a year)
<b>Dennis and Colleen McDonald</b>	\$30	No	
<b>Des Aitkin</b>			
<b>Diane Matthews</b>	-	Yes	if registered before cut off date. To pensioners.
<b>Diane Papenfus</b>	\$100 sterilised. \$200 unsterilised	Yes	sterilised. Confined cats.
<b>Dianne Harwood</b>		Yes	low income earners - no excuse to not pay

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Dianne Hunter	same as dogs	Yes	same as dogs
Dianne Nason			
Dianne Sawyer	sterilised low as an incentive	Yes	Discounts encourage owners
Don Coney		Yes	pensioners
Donna Cranley	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	low income earners. Discounts for sterilised cats to encourage.
Donna Gilbert			
Doris Campbell			
Doris Johnson		Yes	
Doug Holmes	\$10	Yes	low income earners to ensure they can afford it
Down E Rutter			
E Bekink			



RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
East Fremantle			
Eda Brackenreg			
Eduardo Farate			
Elena Aza Ponce	low as an incentive.	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
Elizabeth Bailey	lower for sterilised cats	Yes	If initial fee is free for sterilised cats more people will register
Ellie Brusasco	sterilised \$10. unsterilised \$20	Yes	if sterilised
Elsa Dabbas	sterilised \$12, unsterilised \$25	Yes	Sterilisation protects cats. People wishing to breed should pay costs
Emer O'Gara		Yes	
Emma Brown			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>Eric Ayers</b>	Sterilised 1 yr- \$20. 3 yrs \$50 Unsterilised 1 yr \$50. 3 yrs \$110 If sterilisation is compulsory this should inc points of sale from pet shops, breeders etc	Yes	Pensioners, low income receive discounts. Unless provisions provided most of these people will not comply. Pensioners be the same as requirements under the Rates and Charges (Rebates and Deferments) Act 1992
<b>Errol James</b>	very low	Yes	
<b>Estelle Burrows</b>	In line with Dog rego so cats will not be seen as the "cheap option"	No	Maybe only for aging pets for owners on low income
<b>Esther Scott</b>	\$30	Yes	sterilised cats
<b>Evelyn and Bill McLarty</b>			
<b>Faye Dalton</b>			
<b>Fiona Pond</b>	\$30	Yes	to encourage owners to register - money should not be an obstacle to registration
<b>Frances Stanley</b>	same fee structure for sterilised and unsterilised dogs	Yes	discounts for sterilised, confined cats, multiple registrations (i.e 3 years)

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Frank Lawrence	Sterilised \$30 lifetime. Unsterilised \$50 lifetime.	Yes	pensioners and health care card holders should receive discounts, as if provisions not provided they wont comply. Registration fees for them should be 50% of others
Frank Peczka			
Frog Doctor			
Gale MacLachlan	as low as feasible to encourage compliance	Yes	to encourage compliance
Garry Edgar			
Gary and Claudine Westacott			
Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls			
Gaye Reeves			
Gaylene Proud	\$20-\$40	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
Gayrth Santen			
Geoff Barrett			
Geoffrey Harris			
George Gatenby			
Geraldton-Greenough			
Gillian Tedder			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Glen Stockley	same as dogs.	Yes	pensioners, paid by installments
Glennis McDonald	\$10 sterilised. \$50 unsterilised	Yes	Discounts for pensioners and breeders
Graeme Bride			
Graham Eogson	sterilised low or free	Yes	encourages compliance
Grecian Sandwell	\$230 - \$250	Yes	owners of cats who are caged or kept in a run and therefore cannot harm fauna or cause nuisances
Greg Street	\$25 sterilised. \$100 unsterilised.	Yes	sterilised cats
Gregg Hardwood	\$50 sterilised for 3 yrs \$150 unsterilised for 3 yrs	Yes	\$20 for 3 years for pensioners with sterilised cats
Guat			
Guy Jordan Collins	\$60-\$80 3 years		
Hamilton Stott			
Harriet Olney			
Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher			
Hazel Hughes	to cover cost of admin and policing	Yes	for members of FCCWA. Pensioners and low income earners

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Heather Old			
Heidi Sowerby	sterilised fee low or free	Yes	discounts to encourage sterilisation
Helen and Terry Cooke			
Helen Barnes	same as dogs. Allow for cost recovery	Yes	pensioners
Helen Griffiths			
Helen Lovitt-Raison	sterilised \$50. unsterilised \$500	Yes	multiple registration discounts. Costs higher for breeders
Helen McMillan	unsterilised breeding cats higher than sterilised	Yes	pensioners and low income earners
Helen Potkura	\$10 and \$50 over 3 years	No	
Helen Vos		No	
Helena Mills			
Helena Zielinska			
Herbie Whittall			
Hilary Johnston	\$150	No	
Howard Duff			
Ian Hartley	\$50 lifetime sterilised. \$50 p/yr unsterilised.	Yes	Pensioners, Health Care Card holders, Registered Breeders
Ian Watson	Unster cats higher	No	Should be encouraged. Fees automatically included in microchipping process

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Ian Knox	\$25	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
Ieva Bergman			
Ilona Jaksic			
Ione Atkinson			
J Grebert	same as dogs	Yes	Incentive to have animal sterilised
J Rolandi	same as dogs.	Yes	low income earners and pensioners
J.E Soutar			
Jackie Carbro			
Jacky Lambert	as low as possible. Breeders to pay more	Yes	pensioners (aged and disability), concession card holders, Seniors card. It must be 'do-able' for disadvantaged people.
Jacqueline Morgan	Sterilised \$10 p/yr. Unsterilised \$50 p/yr	No	If you cannot afford the cost you should not be a pet owner
Jan Savage	undecided. Reality is cost will fall on LG's	No	

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Jane Wedgwood	minimal to encourage owners	Yes	multiple cats (to a limit)
Janelle Graham			
Janet Adams	as low as tenable to cover admin costs	Yes	Significant discounts for steirlised cats as an incentive
Janet Elwood			
Janet Robson		Yes	discounts for sterilised
Janet Williams	If there is MC then no need for registration. Unnecesary money grab.		
Janette Lionels			
Janice Fletcher	\$25 for sterilised. \$35 unsterilised	Yes	to encourage compliance. Discounted registration for sterilised cats
Janice Moy	\$10 sterilised. \$20 unsterilised	Yes	longer registration periods. Pensioners
Jannette Ruth Haight			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>Jasmin Galati</b>	fee for sterilised should be low or free as an incentive	Yes	discounts may encourage compliance
<b>Jay Innes</b>	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	to encourage compliance, to remain affordable for those with several cats and low income earners.
<b>JE Pearce</b>	low for sterilised cats	Yes	
<b>Jean Barclay</b>		Yes	
<b>Jean D'Alonzo</b>	\$10 sterilised. \$30 unsterilised	Yes	Low income - disabled, pensioners who need companionship - many health benefits to owning pet
<b>Jeanette Spiller</b>	\$10 p/yr	Yes	
<b>Jehangir R Mehta</b>	similar to that charged at Cat haven	Yes	encourages compliance. Will put an end to illegal breeding and sales
<b>Jelena May</b>			
<b>Jemma Sivyer</b>			
<b>Jennifer Gates</b>	\$20 for 3 years for sterilised. \$50 unsterilised	Yes	discounts encourage owners to comply. Only registered breeders allowed unsterilised cats
<b>Jennifer Irvine</b>			
<b>Jennifer Le Quesne</b>			
<b>Jennifer Sparrows</b>	lifetime - \$200	Yes	Sterilisation (10-15%) and multiple cats (5%)
<b>Jessica Sheppard</b>			



RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Jill Kennedy	free or very low	Yes	for those in need
Jill Thomas	\$40 for 3 years sterilised \$80 for 3 years unsterilised	Yes	when buying more than one cat, in particular older cat
Jim Anderson	similar to other states and the dog act	Yes	elderly and pensioners for monetary reasons
Jim Brazil	\$450	Yes	to current owners of cats only
Jim Lewin			
Jim Maclean	small fee. \$15 p/yr or \$50 for 5 yrs	No	no need if fee is low. However registration free for first year as an incentive
Jim McInerney			
Jo Donnellan	same as dogs	Yes	reduction for sterilised cats. Discounts for seniors and health care card holders
Jo Gunning	\$80	Yes	pensioners
Joan Hatton			
Joan Payne	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners - although not for unsterilised cats
Joanne Quelch			
Joanne Wakefield	free or low	Yes	as an incentive
Joe Froudist			
Joe Potter			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
John and Michelle Tan	low for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
John Collingridge			
John Keyworth			
John McKinney			
John Mitchell			
John Richardson	as per NSW \$40.00 sterilised. \$150 one off fee unsterilised	No	Legislation standardisation = registration standardisation - with pensioners discount as per dog act
John Rippey			
John Schindler	\$100 unsterilised. \$50 sterilised.	Yes	pensioners
John White			
Johnathon Noonan	sterilised much cheaper	Yes	sterilised, pensioners, aged and disability. NOT for unemployed people who breed cats to generate income
Jon Behrens	\$20	No	low income earners should not be given discounts as if they cannot afford registration then they cannot afford to sustain proper care of cat
Josette Hill			
Joshua Mildenthal	Differ between counsels	Yes	Reward people for adhering to legislation.

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Joy Verrinder			
Judith Beer	same as dogs	Yes	longer registration period = cheaper costs
Judy Clarke		Yes	sterilisation
Judy Giles			
Judy Kozak	sterilised low as an incentive	Yes	discounts encourage owners
Julia B Loubser	same as dogs	Yes	low income earners, seniors to encourage compliance regardless of financial circumstance
Julia Brennan	low or free as incentive to sterilise	Yes	to encourage sterilisation
Julianne Waldock	less than \$20. as stated in Consult paper it could cost up to \$180 for purchase a cat from Cat Haven	Yes	to encourage compliance
Julie Badger	same as dogs	No	
Julie Crewe			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Julie Hickling			
Justine Ward			
Kanak Ranjan Ray	sterilised \$6 p/yr. \$10 for 3 yrs. Unsterilised \$1000 one off	Yes	responsible ownership
Kara Breitenback	sterilised \$5 annually. Unsterilised \$30 annually	Yes	for those have can prove financial burden or for service animals
Karen Dent	same as dogs	Yes	Pensioner and for sterilised and MC cats
Karen McEniry			
Karen Price			
Karen Whitney	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	discount for sterilised, pensioners
Karl			
Kate Taylor			
Kate Sutherland			
Kath Jacka	sterilised. \$7 p/yr or \$10 for 3yr. Unsterilised 10\$ p/yr or \$15 for 3yrs	Yes	pensioners. low income earners so long as cat is already owned
Katherine Gaschk	same as dogs	Yes	discounts for sterilisation. If cat is contained within an enclosure.

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Katie Nelson	cheap for sterilised	Yes	discounts encourage compliance. Discount for older cats and discounts for kittens
Katy Jaksic	low or free as incentive to sterilise	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
Kaziah Dawes	\$12 - sterilised \$24 - unsterilised	Yes	Elderly, registered breeders, shelters - RSPCA, Cat Haven etc
Keiran McNamara			
Keith Anderson		No	
Kelly Roman	same as dogs	Yes	sterilisation, confined cats, pensioners
Kerrie McKennay		Yes	
Kerry Luscombe			
Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards	low or free for sterilised. High for unsterilised	Yes	pensioners, students, for cats adopted rather than purchased (proof from RSPCA etc) encourage public to adopt. Higher fee for pedigree cats.

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Kestri Seksel			
Kevin Davidson			LG's would have to offer them
Kim Hardaker	low or free as incentive to sterilise	Yes	for multiple cat owners
Kim Muggridge			
Kim Stannard Brown	low to encourage sterilisation	Yes	encourages compliance. Discounts for pensioners and multiple pet households or give vouchers out that are redeemable for pet food, flea treatment etc

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Kimberley Post			
Kira Tracey	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners
Kirsten Tullis			
Kirsty Connell			
KL Parry	same as dogs	No	Generally no, however for pensioners or low income earners discounts could apply
Kris Warren			
Kristy Rumble			
L Allison	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	low fees for sterilised will encourage people to sterilise
Laurel Stones			
Laurina Bullen	In line with dog rego to cover admin cost	No	
Lee Fontanini	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners and a discount to all for first registration

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Lee Rowley	must be more than current Dog fees to gain support. The past 15yrs have proved dog registration fees do not cover admin, enforcement costs. Result is community is subsidising dog ownership	No	limited discounts for pensioners.
Leigh Smith	sterilised \$15 for 3 yrs. Unsterilised \$50 p/yr	Yes	registered breeders, pensioners, those with cat enclosures
Len Abbatt			
Leonie Vianna			
Lesley Brocker			
Lesley Cheheney	low to encourage sterilisation	Yes	for sterilised cats
Lexi Jury		Yes	
Liddell Williams			
Lillian Young	free or low	Yes	
Linda Davies			
Linda Johnson			



RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Linda Moffat Ray	sterilised \$6 p/yr. \$10 for 3 yrs. Unsterilised \$1000 one off (owner has to be a breeder)	Yes	responsible ownership
Ling Hoffman			
Lisa Anstis	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	to encourage sterilisation
Lisa Conn			
Lisa Stevens			
Lisette Vis			
Liz Allison			
Liz Bushby	Same fee applied to dogs	Yes	In introduction period allow discounts as incentives. Permanent discount for pensioners. A discount for combined registration of animals - cat and dog, to encourage people to register all their animals.
Lorna Stewart			
Lorraine June Judge			
Lucie Nicholls			
Lucille Fisher	\$10/\$50		
Lucy Simon	low for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	low income earners, pensioners to encourage compliance
Lukas Baker	similar to dogs	Yes	sterilised, de clawed and long term registration cheaper

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Lyn Windram			
Lynda Pragnell	same as dog	Yes	sterilised cats, pensioners - like dog registration
Lynn MacLaren			
Lynne Davis	If enforced, no fee - more likely to succeed		No fee charged
M Bacich			
Madalene Wallace	minimal fee to encourage sterilisation	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
Marcus Good	low or free for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	discounts for sterilised as an incentive
Marcus Owen	same as dog rego		same as dogs

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Margaret Anne Ryan	\$30 u/s \$18 s	Yes	50% concession
Margaret Bush			
Margaret Huggins			
Margaret Pearce			
Margaret Philson			
Margaret Young	same as dogs if not more as cats are allowed to roam	Yes	elderly
Margot Tobin	sterilised cats nominal fee as incentive	Yes	low income earners.
Maria McAdam			
Marilyn Clark Murphy	lower for sterilised to encourage. Some parity with dog registration.	Yes	
Marion Vince	sterilised \$5 p/yr or \$10 for 3yrs. Unsterilised \$10 p/yr or \$30 for 3yrs	Yes	sterilised cats
Mark Hackleton			
Mark O'Donnell			
Mark Studd	low as an incentive to sterilise	Yes	discounts will encourage compliance.
Mark Thompson			
Marra Apgar	similar to dogs	Yes	discount for sterilised cats. Discount for those who work in the animal welfare industry.
Mary Thompkins			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>Mary Townsend</b>	sterilised low as an incentive	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
<b>Matthew Whyte</b>	same as dogs	Yes	Where it will encourage compliance in a staged/phased basis
<b>Max Bazzica</b>			
<b>Megan Dare</b>	\$30 sterilised. \$200 unsterilised	Yes	more than one animal already registered. Reward owners who do the right thing. Registered breeders to have reduced fee but others who don't sterilise have a high cost. Any kittens sold to be sterilised prior.
<b>Megan Longwill</b>	\$10 p/year or \$25 for 3 years	Yes	discounts for sterilised and MC cats. Means tested discounts offered by LG to low income earners for first 12 months of legislation
<b>Melissa Cundy</b>	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
<b>Melissa Smith</b>	\$20 for sterilised. Non breeder, unsterilised - expensive	Yes	To encourage public to register and ensure safety of animal in the event of an escape. Discount should also apply as a registered cat means less cost to govt or pound due to speedy return to owner
<b>Merv Williams</b>			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Michael Duckett			
Michael Fitzgerald			
Michael Mills-Borley	Same as dogs	Yes	Same as dogs. Pensioner fees
Michael O'Connor			
Michael Warwick			
Michaela Collingwood	low or free for sterilised	Yes	discounts will encourage compliance.
Michaela Newman			
Michelle Clarke			
Michelle Crowe			
Michelle Pierce	\$2 p/year. Shouldn't be any unsterilised	Yes	registration keeps tabs on who owns a cat and makes it easier to issue fines.
Michelle Prince	similar to dog	Yes	Longer term reg. and if sterilised received discount
Mick O'Regan		No	in accordance with Tasmanian Govt Legislation, which has no compulsory registration, as it was identified that with MC there is no need for registration in relation to control of cats
Mick Wainwright			
Mike Butcher	\$10 and \$25	Yes	Pensioners, for companion animals
Mike Cox	\$10 / \$30 p/yr	No	
Mike Webster			
Mike Pimm			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Miranda Coffey	\$45 p/yr sterilised. \$100 p/yr unsterilised.	Yes	discounts foster public attitude that sterilisation is a key to responsible pet ownership.
Mort Wignall			
Mrs Wilkinson	same or slightly cheaper than dogs	Yes	concession
Mundaring			
Nancy Dowling	\$5 sterilised. \$30 unsterilised	Yes	to help
Nancy O'Hearn			
Nancy Tang	one scale of fees for dogs and cats	Yes	as per dog registration. Sterilised and pensioners have discounts.
Natalie Bell	\$10 sterilised. \$500 unsterilised.	No	
Natalie Mason			
Natalie Rogers	same as dogs	Yes	sterilisation
Natasha Atkins			
Nedlands			
Nick Bursey			
Nicky Kain	\$25 to increase later. Only breeders exempt from sterilisation and to pay same cost	Yes	multi cat households so long as MC and sterilised, low income earners. Seniors to be free.
Nicole Stewart			
Niel Mitchell			
Nigel Bancroft	as per Dog Act or \$30 p/yr	No	

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Norma Coley	minimal to encourage owners	Yes	initially to encourage existing owners to get onboard
Northam			
Olena Shulyayeva	same as dogs	Yes	discount for sterilised cats
Oliver Sellars			
Olivia Moriae			
Owen Thomas			
P Watts			unsterilised to re register each year
Paddy Strano	sterilised half the cost of unsterilised	Yes	Discounts to owners of sterilised cats to offset the cost of sterilisation
Pam Masters			
Pamela Lanigan			
Pamela Townsend			
Pascoe Durtanovich			
Patricia Baird	low or free for sterilised	Yes	discounts for sterilised to encourage low income earners
Patricia Bensley	same as dog	Yes	same as dogs. Discount for sterilised. Only breeders can have unsterilised and they pay full rego.
Patricia Lea			
Patricia Mead	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners, longer registration periods

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Patricia Townsend	low for sterilised or free to provide incentive	Yes	Because there will be far less kittens without homes, less cat fights, reduce dumping.
Patricia Zanich	same as dogs	Yes	pensioners, breeders
Paul and Kerri Hodder	as low as feasible for affordability and implementation	Yes	low income earners
Paul Gamblin			
Paul Loring	Free	No	
Paul McManus			
Paul Nayler	\$20 - \$40	Yes	pensioners, seniors
Paul Smithson			
Paula Gee	low to encourage compliance	Yes	as an incentive. To ensure low income earners can afford it aswell as pensioners.
Pauline Cope		Yes	to encourage compliance
Penny Lee			



RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Peter Abetz			
Peter Carrie	consult with LG before cost is decided, cost recovery	No	however allow installment payments
Peter de Groot			
Peter Louden	\$25 sterilised. \$250 unsterilised	Yes	for sterilisation
Peter Marsh			
Peter Nicholson	same as dogs	Yes	same as dogs
Peter Sazanov			
Peter Watson	\$50 and \$200	Yes	free for a pensioner who has one sterilised and MC cat
Peter White	unsterilised to cost double	Yes	rebate the cost of sterilisation. Rebate registered breeders
Phil Avery			
Phil Cleaver			
Phil Hopwood	No fee if they are not required to be registered		n/a
Phil Tucak			
Philip Goad			
Phillip Rowe	same as dogs - keep it simple	Yes	Pensioner discount. Sterilised cat discount

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Plantagenet			
R.J Wainwright	unsterilised cats to be triple. Breeders to have a special fee	Yes	pensioners
Raana Scott	Sterilised \$15p/yr or \$30 for 3yrs. Unsterilised \$80p/yr	Yes	Sterilised cats get a considerable reduction in reg costs.
Rainer Ropke	only sterilised allowed to register \$10 p/yr	No	\$10 is affordable
Rani Stewart	\$25-50	Yes	Pensioners, Seniors, Concession cards.
Ray Errington	similar to Dog Act fees, but one off only	No	if LG's are expected to administer database then a similar fee to Dog Act and discounts for pensioners and health care card recipient.
Raymond Hammond	\$25 sterilised. \$25 unsterilised.	Yes	to encourage compliance. Discounts for sterilised cats as an incentive
Raymond Mell			
Raymond Phillip Giblett			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Rebecca Simmonson	sterilised \$25. unsterilised \$75	Yes	6 months free registration if cat purchased from animal shelter organisations or approved breeders. Do not support Pet stores. Discounts to seniors, pensioners etc. An initial discount to current owners of sterilised cats.
Renee Cusworth	\$10 - \$10 or free to encourage sterilisatoin	Yes	discounts encourage complaince
Rhonda Summersby	\$20 p/household - sterilised or unsterilised. \$10p/household - pensioners	Yes	Pensioners and unemployed
Ric Turner			
Richard Harris			
Rick Walduck	see Vic legislation. Set up differential fees to encourage sterilisation	Yes	pensioners, health care and disability card holders. Not breed societies
Ricky Burges	set at full cost recovery		
Rob Hanbury			
Rob Schorer			
Robun Stibbs	\$20		
Robyn Griffin			
Robyn Wright			
Rod Cleary			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Rodney and Sofia Carson	\$20	Yes	Pensioners
Roger B Perkins			
Rolf Gentsch			
Ron Innes	Considerably higher for unsterilised cats	Yes	Financial assistance available for first 12 months
Ron McDavitt	same as dogs	Yes	Pensioners, Seniors, same as State government subsidies.
Ron Scantlebury			
Rory Chapple	\$20 sterilised. \$50 unsterilised.	Yes	encourage compliance
Rory Crossland			
Rosalie Corbellini	\$100 - all cats should be sterilised (except those owned by registered breeders)	Yes	Elderly and disabled. Pets are vital tool in keeping these people involved in life. Cheaper than dogs. If cats too expensive then turn these people off owning them.
Rosalind Robinson	same as dogs. Cheaper for sterilised and longer registration periods	Yes	same as dogs. Pensioners and health care card holders
Rose van der Waag	\$300 - annual fee for cat breeders. \$30 for owners of unsterilised	Yes	Pensioners free if have approved cat run for sterilised cat. Sterilisation and cat run free for pensioners. Owners of sterilised cats with approved cat run pay one off rego fee then free yearly update of rego
Rosemary Donald	Affordable for all in community	Yes	Pensioners and Concession Card Holders where compulsory registration will cause hardship.
Roslyn Thompson	\$10 sterilised. \$50 unsterilised	Yes	in the case of more than one cat p/household
Roz Davies			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Sally Kalejs	sterilised cats to have low fee as cost of sterilisation has been paid	Yes	to encourage compliance
Sandra Baraiolo			
Sandra Gent	unsterilised cats will not be registered	Yes	low income earners. Any cat found unsterilised should sterilised prior to being returned.
Sandra Hughes			
Sandra Leach	depends on LG requirements - similar to dogs	Yes	sterilised cheaper
Sanne Anderson			
Sara La Macchia			
Sara Johnston			
Sarah House	\$100	No	
Sasha Davies			
Shane O'Neill			
Shannon MacPherson	as per Dog Act or Dog Act review	Yes	as per Dog Act or Dog Act review
Sharin Oliver			
Sharon Blackman			
Sheila Cockbain	if sterilisation compulsory then there shouldn't be any unsterilised	Yes	those who need financial help
Sheila Cowan	\$30 for sterilised. \$100 for unsterilised.	Yes	to encourage compliance

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Sheila Howat	as per dogs	Yes	sterilisation, confined cats
Sheila Pragnell	same as dogs	Yes	to encourage sterilisation
Shelagh White Robin Hawken			
Sheree Edmondson	sterilised \$15 p/yr or \$30 for 3 yrs. Unsterilised \$5 p/yr or \$100 for 3 yrs	Yes	
Sheri Metternick-Jones	\$10 sterilised. \$100 unsterilised.	Yes	LG rebates for sterilisation and LG initiated subsidised MC
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale	similar fee as dogs	Yes	for bona fide pensioners and for sterilised cats
Shire of Collie	same as dog act but achieve cost recovery	Yes	same as dog act
Shirley Tilbury	\$10	Yes	Discount if cat kept enclosed in house and yard
Sirhowy Andrea Noel	\$15 to \$25	Yes	Low and affordable or people wont do it. Special discounts for low income, unemployed.
Sondra Noonan	\$10 p/yr for sterilised. \$50 p/yr for unsterilised.	Yes	old age pensioners and seniors card holders

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Sonja Rose	low or free for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	seniors, pensioners, and for sterilised cats
South Perth	Fee costed after consultation with MC companies and state Govt. Lifetime rego fee structure to inc - (Companion Animal) - sterilisation, MC, rego to central database, Vet admin fee. (Breeding Animal) - MC, lifetime rego to central database, vet admin fee	No	One lifetime fee. All cats to be sterilised except registered breeders. Provide installments for low income.
Stef Haywood			
Stephanie Slanzi			
Steve Cummings			

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Steve Vanstan	substantial premium for unsterilised		
Stewart Ford	Sterilised - free. Unsterilised \$50	Yes	Registration of sterilised cats free as an incentive
Stewart Lyon		Yes	the cheaper it is the more people will comply
Stuart David Hynd			
Sue Gleave	same as dogs. More expensive for unsterilised	Yes	pensioners, retrospective owners discount for first year or so
Sue Lantzke			
Sue McGuire			
Susan Game			
Suzanne Gulikers	\$10 lifetime for sterilised. \$50 for unsterilised.	Yes	
Suzanne Holder	sterilised low. Unsterilised 3 times the cost - with allowance for breeders	No	Where people show financial hardship and for multiple cat households a discount should apply as it encourages compliance.
Suzanne Peak			
T Atkinson			
Tammy Wilson	\$75 / same as dogs or MC could be lifetime rego	Yes	Pensioners
Tara Reid			
Teresa Lees			



RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Teresa McCarthy	low to encourage sterilisation	Yes	to encourage sterilisation
Teresa Moy			
Thalia O'Sullivan			
Thomas Alan			
Tim Brokenshire			
Tim Clynych	similar fees to dog act, providing separate fees for sterilised and unsterilised	Yes	discounts similar to those offered in dog act
Tim Jones	\$30 p/year - unsterilised. \$10 p/year sterilised	No	
Tim Watson			
Toni Shapland			
Tony Douglas Brown			
Tony Williams	same as dogs. A lot cheaper for sterilised	Yes	as an incentive to sterilise/register. For pensioners/low income earners
Tracey Joynson	minimal fee to encourage sterilisation	Yes	to encourage compliance
Traci Myers	low or free as incentive to sterilise	Yes	discounts encourage compliance
Tracy Wealleans	subsidised for sterilised cats	Yes	to encourage sterilisation
Trevor Hein			
Ursula Adams	\$50	No	

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
Ursula Blankenburg	no fee for sterilised cats	Yes	owners of unwanted, lost or abandoned cats they took in should be exempt
Uta Wicke			
Valerie F Mitchell		Yes	reduced costs for 3 years. Much cheaper for a sterilised cat. Discounts for pensioners
Vaness Roberts			
Vanessa Bowden			
Vicki Thompson			
Victoria Murphy			
Virginia Hardaker	low or free for sterilised as incentive	Yes	to encourage compliance
W Depiazzi	same as dogs	No	
Warren Bow			
Wayne Clarke	\$20	Yes	
Wendy Brown			
Wendy Crawford	\$10 sterilised. \$30 unsterilised	Yes	Low income - disabled, pensioners who need companionship - many health benefits to owning pet
Wendy J Dallywater			
William John Robinson	\$50 for admin plus Vet fees and chip	No	

RESPONDENT	11 WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE REGISTRATION FEE FOR STERILISED AND UNSTERILISED CATS?	12 SHOULD REGISTRATION DISCOUNTS BE OFFERED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE?	
<b>William Shanklin</b>	\$25 sterilised \$150 unsterilised with severe penalty (\$5k)	No	At \$25 rego contributes more than its individual development and implementation cost. For pensioners \$25 p/year is the least cost item for cat ownership. Breeders \$150 per cat p/year can be passed on as minor component of sale prices. Penalties for 2nd offence of non rego should be severe
<b>William Strong</b>	keep it low as an incentive	Yes	Pensioner and concession rate
<b>Winifred Bencich</b>	low or free for sterilised. Higher fees for breeders	Yes	to encourage compliance. Pensioners low or free
<b>Xanthi Christidis</b>	low or free as incentive	Yes	discounts encourage people to comply
<b>Xianwa Niu</b>	Unsure - it should be low	Yes	To encourage cat owners especially low income earners
<b>Yvette Vittorio</b>	low for sterilised as an incentive	Yes	rewarding 'goal' behaviour is encouraging cat owners.
<b>Yvonne Johnson</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
A Steegstra	As for dogs - LGs		
A Taylor			
Adam Hansen	single		
Adlington Fitzgerald	No		
Afrovite Nicholson			
Alannah Marsh			costs should not deter people from being able to own animals
Alison Angel			
Alison Driver			
Allen Cooper			
Allison Reid			
Amanda McLennan	State Govt to be keeper of a single MC database statewide. Vet/rangers/etc to have access via internet		
Amy Johnson			
Andre Marais	Yes. Overcome it by electronic/software innovation	Benefit of getting rid of feral cats is for future generations who may have an interest in native fauna.	
Andrew Eaton			
Andrew Hawdon			
Angela Barns			
Angela Tan	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of multiple registers unnecessary. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	further investigation by LG inc consultation with stakeholders needed.	
Anika Bricknell	after hours availability to be available		
Ann Cuthbert			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Ann Devereaux</b>	Yes causes problems. i.e forgetting to look at one of the databases, extra costs, some agencies only having access to one database.	Limited success - some owners responsible, some not. It's the owners who need th training!	
<b>Ann MacGeehan</b>			
<b>Ann Marie Lander</b>	Yes. Have only one register, 1 per council is not necessary as cat owners move.	DLG to consult with stakeholders. It will achieve objectives are registration encourages responsible ownership and the data collected via registration can help develop cat management strateriges.	sterilisation of older cats can be harmful ot their health and cause owners to dump before the law comes in.
<b>Ann Noble</b>		No T/C indicate not registered therefore illegal or feral	
<b>Ann Pover</b>			
<b>Ann Proctor</b>			
<b>Annalisa Barrington</b>			
<b>Anne Banks-McAllister</b>			
<b>Anne and Dave Stroud</b>			
<b>Anne Kent</b>			
<b>Anne Tumak</b>	collaboration via internet		cats to be treated same as dogs

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Annemarie Lennon</b>	Yes. One register practical and easy for admin and ID	LG to identify costs and benefits through consultation with Wildlife Refuges	If Govt is serious it will subsidise sterilisation for low income earners and pensioners
<b>Anon</b>			
<b>Anonymous</b>	use central database. MC and registrar to be contracted out on a 5 year basis to private companies. Tenders to be based on service provided and competitive costs		
<b>Anonymous</b>	State GOV to provide a state wide system that is used by all LG's. Tag numbers to ID council e.g all Joondalup cats start with W.	It will achieve policy. Owners need to be accountable. Those not willing to register will be discouraged from getting a cat.	
<b>Anonymous</b>	reg. only through LG and no prob with multiple databases	why is legislation being introduced here if Canberra reports lack of success since its legislation was introduced in 2001. Concerned about extra costs forced on LGs. 'User pays' rego and enforcement fees will not cover LG's cost. Tasmanian legislation does not call for registration.	Govt give funding support to low income families
<b>Anonymous</b>			
<b>Anonymous</b>			
<b>Anonymous</b>			
<b>Anonymous Group</b>			
<b>Arlene Somerville</b>			
<b>Arthur Thompson</b>			
<b>Astrid Herlihy</b>			
<b>Audrey Gorman</b>	Yes if databases cant ID animals from another database. If people move etc the animal needs to be tracked in another area	LG to investigate. Registration will achieve objectives by making owners accountable as they are identified, aswell as reducing cats p/household.	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>B Buchanan</b>			
<b>B Mottram</b>			MC enough. No need for registratio
<b>B Newman</b>	owners to notify LG of new address	allow cats to be returned and councils get revenue	exemptions should be made for the number of cats p/household, so long as they are kept in good conditions, sterilised and registered etc
<b>Barbara Ball</b>	Yes one register allows easier ID of cats and easier for rangers etc.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration is vital as it provides important statistics - Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	all cats should be sterilised and registered after the laws are introduced subject to ill health, age and people's circumstances.
<b>Barbara Getty</b>			
<b>Barbara Marshall</b>			
<b>Barbara Morphet</b>			
<b>Barbara Triggs</b>	Yes. Cats wander aross LG boundaries. Cost of multiple registers unecessary. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	DLG to investigate costs and benefits. Registration achieves objectives as it encourages responsible ownership as the owner is ID. The data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	
<b>Barbara Woods</b>			
<b>Barbara Zuegg</b>	Yes. Cats wander aross LG boundaries. Cost of multiple registers unecessary. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	DLG to investigate costs and benefits. Registration achieves objectives as it encourages responsible ownership as the owner is ID. The data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Barry &amp; Jean L.M. Hicks</b>	single database allied to various council rangers.	Standard cost for microchipping and sterilisation. People should know what costs are when entering scheme.	Well overdue. Pet shops should not be allowed to sell cats or rabbits that arent sterilised.
<b>Belinda Forbes</b>			
<b>Bella Bamford</b>			
<b>Belle Connor</b>			
<b>Ben Lullfitz</b>			
<b>Bernard Simpson</b>	one database needed		
<b>Bernice Bernard</b>			
<b>Betsy Cordon Cokram</b>	Yes. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	DLG to investigate with stakeholders. Registration achieves objectives by encouraging responsible ownership as they are ID. Research opportunities through collection of info from database.	
<b>Betty and Brian Thoroughgood</b>			
<b>Beverley Bridgland</b>	yes problems involved to multiple registration databases inc sharing info between enforcement agencies. A single database managed by State should be provided with after hours access		allow registration periods of dogs and cats to be combined. Consider similar inspection of register rights as in Dog Act.
<b>Beverly Spencer</b>			
<b>Biddy Myres</b>			
<b>Bill Adams</b>			
<b>Blake Hill</b>			
<b>Bob Jeffreys</b>	one office responsible to provide info to authorised agencies/personal		breeders must be licensed, pay annual fee with conditions
<b>Bob Maumill</b>			
<b>Bonnie James</b>	standards implemented so databases work together nicely	easier to keep track of hoarders	



RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Boyd Elizabeth			
Breanne Brown			
Brian Aldrich	Single state database allows prompt ID and costs less to administer	Govt to consult with stakeholders	
Brian and Sheila Peet		high fees will dissuade some from buying kittens as gifts for children etc	
Brian Blechynden	Yes		
Brian Callander	yes minor issues with searching outside district.	software development, mail outs, surveys to ensure compliance, trapping, pounds.	central registration database would require council access for intergration with GIS systems and statistical research.
Brian French	One state wide database	Problems will be reduced by 95% is legislation is passed and enforced	
Brian Mead			
Brian Ottley	state based database		
Bronwyn Bell	possibly as cats wander and a common system where info is shared is best		
C Ayling	Don't know	Don't know	
Carissa Hunt	www.petaddress.com.au will find where any MC are registered in Aus. Have a similar site for authorised persons		
Carl Gosper			
Carla Beattie	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move. One register makes ID of cats and admin easier.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration encourages responsible ownership as owners are identified.	
Carol Biddulph			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Carol Hudson</b>	Single database is desirable. People move from area to area. To re register is irritating and encourages non compliance. To search through databses would be an impossible task for LG	Difficult to provide quantifiable costs at this time. Proposal is fundamental in encourage repsonsible cat ownership and has benefit of readily-accessible data for cat management research.	If don't tackle registration now we will of lost an opportunity to really get on top of huge homeless and feral cat problem.
<b>Carol Richards</b>			
<b>Carol Young</b>	Need on register as people move, very annoying to have to re register each time.	benefits outweigh costs in long run. Registration imporant as it ID's the owner	
<b>Carol-Ann Grazier</b>	Multiple databases cause problem. WALGA could set up state based central data base that Rangers can access	Multiple databases cause problem. WALGA could set up state based central data base that Rangers can access	Registration put on the chip. No need for collar or tag.
<b>Carole Galli</b>			revenue raising, no need if have MC
<b>Carole Paterson</b>			
<b>Caroline Franklin</b>	One central database	One central database	Microchip/sterilised/tagged enough. No registration for cats indoors. If cat picked up by Ranger - owner to pay fine.
<b>Carolyn Waterhouse</b>			
<b>Carolyn Webley-Hurrell</b>			
<b>Cassandra Houghton</b>	Yes. Should be streamlined database similar to MC approach. People move, cats wander across LG boundaries.	Policy objectives will be achieved if incentives are offered. Registration and MC provides valuable research for cat management strategies.	
<b>Cat Alliance of Australia</b>			acknowledge the need for council revenue but will be impossible to enforce and will be up to the responsible pet owners to comply. Should be long term. i.e for life of pet and renewed at point of sale or adoption only
<b>Cat Owners Association</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Cat Sterilisation Society			
Caterina McKenzie	Central database simplify things		
Cathering Gray			
Cathy Josephs			
Cecil Joseph Leopold	No more than dogs ore vehicle rego. Refer to appropriate department.	if dogs can be controlled by compulsory registration then same scale of fees and operational costs should apply to cats	
Cecil Wells			
Charmain Heath			
Charmaine Jeffrey			
Cheree Anrep			
Cherry Leonard		If registration is used as a prosecution tool then owners are at a disadvantage. Registration of owners is an option using a state wide database of microchips. All breeders should be registered.	
Cheryl Bettridge			
Cheryl Spencer			
Chris Harvey	One system only for WA	benefits are that cats that are pests can be tracked to their owners	
Chris Kojan	central database backup will overcome problem	rangers can return lost cats to owners	cat owners to report cats that disappear or die so that the database can be amended.
Christina Smith	Yes. One register more efficient and would address problem of owners moving and cats wandering		
Christine Bourne			
Christine Randall	Use same system as dogs		Society accepted dog legislation. Use same principals. Keep it simple.
Christine Randall	same as dogs. Keep it simple.	these proposals will help achieve policy objective	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Christine Yurovich</b>	MC register allows people to move without having to re register		no need to register if have MC laws
<b>Christine Jones</b>	one, australia wide database, run by federal Govt.	cost to owners and no benefit except revenue for LG. Already paying high council rates - should cover costs of animal services. Resents paying dog registration fees on top of rates.	ACT and Tasmania manage without registration. If all animals are MC and people pay rates, registration not needed.
<b>City of Canning</b>	yes difficult. One central database managed and owned by State Govt, allowing each LG access would remedy problem. LG responsible to update and maintain their own. Link this with MC	costs will be responsibility of owners and breeders. Enforcement costs will fall on LG. has not yet been calculated but will be significant. Benefits will be that cat owners can be identified during policing. Long term benefits in reduction of unwanted cat population.	if State manages a central database and addresses the infrastructure requirements then City will be willing to become involved

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA</b>	Yes. MC process is admin and owned by private companies - at present no central database. Should be negotiations with organisations to produce central database	Rego by MC will get cats on database sooner rather than later and potentially are easily traceable. Rego process and costs that replicates Dog Act is not supported. Fees and charges for rego under Dog Act has not been reviewed for years. Reason advanced is that these costs discourage rego. Number of Dogs registered in the City has not increased in last 10 years - despite increase in population by approx. 20,000 persons since 2001. Past 5 years City has advertised in local newspaper, twice yearly, the need and benefit of registering dogs. Legislation and education needs to embed that animal not MC or registered will be treated as unowned and thus euthanased. Impact and costs to community and environment in continuing to administer animals outside legislated arrangements is unfair to those who have complied.	Rego/ID combined as one process, one off rego/id system in form of MC. If MC adopted as ID and rego - no need for cat to wear T&C. Cats not MC should be classed as unowned and subject to MC and sterilisation prior to rehoming and sale to recover costs or euthanasia. T&C unnecessary where MC is mandatory. No MC/regos equals unowned animal.
<b>Claire Gich</b>			
<b>Clarissa Calucci</b>	It can be a problem but owners should be responsible to re register or transfer to the new database		
<b>Clive Cayford</b>			
<b>Col Hartnett</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Colin Fairclough</b>	costs in running and maintaing database. NEVER let LG have control over databases - they are incompetent and will raise rates with no action.	costs in running and maintaing database. NEVER let LG have control over databases - they are incompetent and will raise rates with no action.	LG first layer of data, registration, policing etc but do not make them responsible for main frame database as it will fail. License cat owner instead of cat. Ban all cats in Australia.
<b>Colin Hanley</b>			
<b>Corinne</b>			Registration wont stop feral cat population. Revenue collecting excersise. Cant Police it.
<b>Craig Douglas</b>			
<b>Cristy Burne</b>	cloud/grid computing can provide distributed stakeholders with access to aggregated data		
<b>Cynthia Pickering</b>	If moving from one place to another cn provide proof of rego. Have time period where cat can be transferred across databases.	Reminds owner pet is for life. Allows reuniting with owner.	If cat is purchased through RSPCA, Cat Haven and other such organisation then rego free for life. Another incentive.
<b>D M Hutchinson</b>			
<b>D&amp;J Hallard</b>			
<b>D. Singor-Turner</b>		dogs are registered so why not cats. Should be restriction on number p/household	
<b>D. Stan</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Daniel Ranger	Use same software as dogs	Printing of tags (within rego fee) MC scanner (per ranger) Printing of forms - rego, cancellation, renewal/records	Night curfew - 6pm - 6am. Penalties incurred.
Daniel Simms			
Daphne Shaw	each LG has own prefix	Same basis as Dog Registration - payments made to LG.	Cats inspected - confirmation of sterilisation, produce certificates for finalisation of registration
Dave and Justine Spencer			
David Mather			
Dawn Baccini			Registration is not necessary with MC. Any cat found can be traced through MC would should be net worked. Registration only necessary for people wanting to breed. Breeders should register and pay fee.
Dawn Lowe			
Dawn Watson		Up to the owners. Cant imagine Shire knocking on doors to see if cat is registered.	
Dean and Angela Kelly			
Dean Unsworth	yes. Central database required	MC all cats to get cats on database so they are tracable.	registration in the form of MC
Debbie Mascaro	One database easier and quicker to admin and ID cats. Too costly for multiple - I come from computing industry.	Liase with stakeholders. The more time spent investigating the more streamlined the process. Data collected will be valuable in developing cat management strategies.	
Debbie Naylor			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Deidre Foster</b>	Central database linked through all LG dept.		
<b>Deidre Main</b>	state wide		
<b>Del Zimmermann</b>	One central database for WA		penalties could be waived if people sterilise their cats upon receiving infringement notice
<b>Demelza Dravnieks</b>	streamline into one database. A cat could accidently put to the death if the correct database wasn't checked.		Concerned legislation will allow cat haters to complain about neighbours cats and get them put down. If legislation allows power to capture cat then there should be clear guidelines on the treatment of captured cats
<b>Dennis and Colleen McDonald</b>	No		
<b>Des Aitkin</b>			
<b>Diane Matthews</b>		RIS covers it all. Registration wont be perfect but is a step in right direction. Will produce results that start to have impact on semi owned cats.	
<b>Diane Papenfus</b>	effort should be made to link all databases or include links to other databases across Aus. Investigate efficacy of Dog Act and its database management	data collected will allow target particular areas for education campaigns, provided assistance for shires, ID survery areas for evaluation purposes. Fees may deter ownership and increase responsible ownership	
<b>Dianne Harwood</b>			



RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Dianne Hunter</b>	I understand that one system in particular is accesable 24hrs. Authorities should publicise a short list of the databases.		confinement within property.
<b>Dianne Nason</b>			
<b>Dianne Sawyer</b>	Yes. Cats wander aross LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move. One register makes ID of cats and admin easier.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration encourages responsible ownership and data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	
<b>Don Coney</b>	probably makes council rangers jobs easier	Dept to investigate costs. Owners can be identified and surveys of cat population needs would follow.	
<b>Donna Cranley</b>	Yes. Cats wander aross LG boundaries. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	LG to investigate with stakeholders. Registration achieves objectives by encouraging responsible ownership as they are ID. Research opportunities through collection of info from database.	info would ID people not suitable to be cat owners i.e neglectful owners. The animal can be rescued before harm is done to them
<b>Donna Gilbert</b>			
<b>Doris Campbell</b>			Will not make much difference to the cat but will keep bureaucrats happy and perhaps revenue raised can go towards sterilisation.
<b>Doris Johnson</b>			
<b>Doug Holmes</b>	no		
<b>Down E Rutter</b>			
<b>E Bekink</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
East Fremantle			
Eda Brackenreg			
Eduardo Farate			
Elena Aza Ponce	Yes. People move and there is a high cost involved for multiple registers		
Elizabeth Bailey	One database will be simpler and easier to run	Cost of establishing and maintaining the database needs assessment. Benefits are that owners that have to register are more likely to look after the cat, own a limited number and if a stray wanders into their garden will try to find the owner rather than adopting it.	
Ellie Brusasco	Need a central database		Ranger must be able to log into registration database from home incase of after hours.
Elsa Dabbas	state database and transfer when moving - attached to post office moving forms	benefit of not so many unwanted cats	
Emer O'Gara			
Emma Brown			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Eric Ayers</b>	LGA's subject to high cost of development of software. Once established so long as info is available between LGA's (inc after hours) should reduce prob	To ID owners of registered, wandering or stray cats - cat would need to be caught. May involve trapping and the legislation will need to define powers of seizure and impounding. Initial and ongoing costs re: rego tags, mail outs, advertising and printing. Annual door knock programs conducted to ensure compliance is achieved - bear in mind some LG have discontinued these programs for Dog rego due to high costs	Renewal procedure will assist owners to comply with rego. Rego fees need to be realistic as current dog rego fees are completely inadequate
<b>Errol James</b>	need one register	LG to investigate. Proposal will achieve responsible ownership	
<b>Estelle Burrows</b>			
<b>Esther Scott</b>			
<b>Evelyn and Bill McLarty</b>			
<b>Faye Dalton</b>			
<b>Fiona Pond</b>	One MC per cat - no overlaps	Will achieve policy objectives. Cat owners fined if cat is found in nature reserve etc	
<b>Frances Stanley</b>	If legislation requires single database to be administered by body (WALGA, State Govt) then issue of multiple databases could be overcome. Use same database that records dogs MC to reduce replication and database management costs.	Provide equity between dog and cat owners, encourage responsible cat ownership as discounts could be given for sterilisation etc	Fully support registration

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Frank Lawrence	LG's subjected to high costs initially for development of software if existing software not incorporated. Once established so long as info is readily available between LG's inc availability of after hours contact should reduce probs	to ID owners of wandering cats, cat would need to be caught. This would involve trapping - legislation needs to define powers of seizure and impounding. Annual door knock programs conducted to ensure compliance. Bear in mind some LG's have discontinued such programs for dogs due to cost. purchase of Reg. Tags, cost of renewal notice mail outs, staffing, advertising and education campaigns, printing	
Frank Peczka			
Frog Doctor			
Gale MacLachlan			
Garry Edgar			
Gary and Claudine Westacott			
Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls			
Gaye Reeves			
Gaylene Proud	Yes. One registration base is best. People move, cats wander.		
Gayrth Santen			
Geoff Barrett			
Geoffrey Harris			
George Gatenby	have a state wide database - easier and cheaper.		
Geraldton-Greenough			
Gillian Tedder			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Glen Stockley</b>	no. database technology easily capable.		costs make owners more responsible.
<b>Glennis McDonald</b>	Not recommend multiple databases, only one should be maintained for the whole state with access for RSPCA, Vets and LG bodies	A working party of representatives i.e RSPCA, LG and other organisations should assess the benefits and costs. Policy is achievable and if implemented well could be cost effective, covering all aspects of the database and maintenance. It will only be the reduction in unwanted cats at the RSPCA and other places over time that prove the policy has worked.	
<b>Graeme Bride</b>			
<b>Graham Eogson</b>	Yes, centralised system needed		
<b>Grecian Sandwell</b>	make data bases easily recognised	cost of rego make owners more responsible and achieve policy objective	
<b>Greg Street</b>	No	fine to owners who allow cats to roam	
<b>Gregg Hardwood</b>	Yes will cause problems, central base needed	return lost cats. Reduce the number of free kittens available and increase value of cats	funding to cat welfare organisation to re home and sterilise cats
<b>Guat</b>			
<b>Guy Jordan Collins</b>	Centralised database		Registration high enough to deter people who think cats cheap and easy
<b>Hamilton Stott</b>			
<b>Harriet Olney</b>			
<b>Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher</b>			
<b>Hazel Hughes</b>	Yes each LG will have its own accounting, computing disciplines and confidentiality issues	majority do not see difference between registration and MC. Cost of registration along with other costs may cause people to dump cats. Will not achieve objectives.	Do not support, Comments are provided in case of

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Heather Old			
Heidi Sowerby	yes. Cats wander. 1 register best,	DLG to consult stakeholders	
Helen and Terry Cooke			
Helen Barnes	Yes. State Govt and LG to establish single database. State Govt to be responsible for it but linked to computer systems of LG's.	benefits inc protection of fauna, less suffering of strays, reducing nuisance cats	
Helen Griffiths			
Helen Lovitt-Raison	central registration database needed	will reduce irresponsible breeders and pet shops if set up correctly. Must police breeders.	
Helen McMillan	LG registration, same as dogs	costs to set up and personnel to input. Will results in less strays and associated problems.	
Helen Potkura	Council registration? National would be easier - one database	No	
Helen Vos			
Helena Mills			
Helena Zielinska			
Herbie Whittall			
Hilary Johnston	Central database preferred.	Not aware of any. Ask Shires already doing it.	
Howard Duff			
Ian Hartley	NLIS works in WA. Could work for the use of all animals in small farmlets. Contact DAFWA for more info.	If money is to be used by LG's to assist in employment of rangers and infrastructure to help enforce then will be easy to bring on board.	
Ian Watson	Central database	reduced feral cats and survival of wildlife	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Ian Knox	Yes. Cats wander, owners move. A central state wide database is desirable.	Registration benefits the owner, the cat and the community	
Ieva Bergman			
Ilona Jaksic			
Ione Atkinson			
J Grebert		Sterilisation will lead to reduction of strays and ferals.	
J Rolandi	if owner keeps hardcopy of MC info it can be provided to another LG if owner moves or cat is transferred to new owner	allow LG to raise revenue.	
J.E Soutar			
Jackie Carbro			
Jacky Lambert	Single, central database more efficient as people move	It should as it highlights responsibility of cat owners as they are more easily held accountable for the cats behaviours. Easier to ID problem areas from reliable statistics.	
Jacqueline Morgan			
Jan Savage		If this is policy, will State pay for LG for cat 'herding' at night when officers don't usually work? Will state pay for construction of holding cages at pounds? This Committee is away of imposts on LG from having to carry out so many roles. Concerned a law without appropriate resources is worst possible scenario.	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Jane Wedgwood	potentially	Great for all cats - owners can be found quickly and agree if they no longer wish to own cat.	
Janelle Graham			
Janet Adams	When people move they have to re register. One register would make admin easier and less costly all round	Costs can be offset by the use of a shared register. Benefits to LG are that registration encourages responsible ownership and removes necessity for LG rangers to attend to cat problems, another benefit for LG is that cat ownership record can be used to plan cat management strategies	
Janet Elwood			
Janet Robson	1 register for all LG areas in perth	easier to ID feral and dumped cats	
Janet Williams			do not agree with confinement.
Janette Lionels			
Janice Fletcher	no. each LG to have access to info. Central database would be ideal but who would run and update? Horse breeders MC foals and this runs smoothly. Privacy laws need to be adhered to.	initial set up costs expensive. Revenue raised should cover yealry upkeep of database. Legislation does not go far enough. Cats should be confined.	
Janice Moy		admin and ranger costs	
Jannette Ruth Haight			



RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Jasmin Galati</b>	Yes. Cats wander across multiple boundaries. Cost of establishing separate registers in unnecessary. One register allows easier ID of cats and smoother admin	costs and benefits should be thoroughly investigated through consultation with stake holders. Registration achieves policy objectives by encouraging responsible ownership. Data collected through registration will reflect things such as - number of cats p/household, un/sterillised, un/MC and allow for cat management strategies	
<b>Jay Innes</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	Registration achieves policy objectives by encouraging responsible ownership as owner is identified.	
<b>JE Pearce</b>	Yes. There should be one database	ensure owners do the right thing	
<b>Jean Barclay</b>		saves wildlife, reduced health problems related to cats eg. Ringworm	rangers to shoot feral cats
<b>Jean D'Alonzo</b>	Intergrate and multi-search engine	Reunite cats with owners if they go missing/move suburbs. Help councils know how many cats are owned in area.	
<b>Jeanette Spiller</b>	No		
<b>Jehangir R Mehta</b>	single register between LG's and rangers needed		
<b>Jelena May</b>			
<b>Jemma Sivyver</b>			
<b>Jennifer Gates</b>	One database as people move and cats get left behind or lost in the process. One database will function better and provide faster ID	LG should research thoroughly. Registration will develop responsible ownership. The money should go toward subsidised for sterilisation and MC	
<b>Jennifer Irvine</b>			
<b>Jennifer Le Quesne</b>			
<b>Jennifer Sparrows</b>			
<b>Jessica Sheppard</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Jill Kennedy	Cats wander so needs to be one register	will be costs but will encourage responsible ownership	
Jill Thomas	amalgamate so there is only one database	Benefits - less breeding. Extra cost for cat owners then only responsible people will own cats.	almost unenforceable with the amount of unsterilised cats in metro area
Jim Anderson	if it is not a problem for dogs and then cant see a problem for cats	owner made responsible	
Jim Brazil	No problem. Use the same system the chemis use for drug dispensing	Registration and MC need to be on one database	
Jim Lewin			
Jim Maclean	there are mutiple databases for dogs and it doesn't present a major problem. Single database would be ideal, although mutiple databases unlikely to cause a problem	if registration is free for first year it will build a sizable database of ownres which will assist in ensuring on going registration for future years	commend state govt on trying to resolve problem. State wide scheme is needed.
Jim McInerney		Registration serves no purpose	
Jo Donnellan			
Jo Gunning			
Joan Hatton			
Joan Payne	State Govt to be responsible for a singl MC database	cost to pet owners, although registration perfect way to achieve objectives	
Joanne Quelch			
Joanne Wakefield	If there is regional databases it could be confusing if cat strays. One register with access to all.	Minimal costs \$50 - MC. \$10 - T&C. Vets invest in scanners. All info can be referred to be LG for statistics.	
Joe Froudist			
Joe Potter			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>John and Michelle Tan</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of multiple registers unnecessary. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	DLG to investigate costs and benefits. Registration achieves objectives as it encourages responsible ownership as the owner is ID. The data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	
<b>John Collingridge</b>			
<b>John Keyworth</b>			
<b>John McKinney</b>			
<b>John Mitchell</b>			
<b>John Richardson</b>	multiple database no issue if all shires implement the same as to reduce costs to shires	information from the states have had cat legislation in place since 2008 eg QLD, NSQ and Tas would be beneficial to ascertain what costs and benefits associated with registration.	
<b>John Rippey</b>			
<b>John Schindler</b>	Yes. State Govt to create a central database, accessible to LG authorities etc		Assistance offered to construct cat runs to confine cats
<b>John White</b>			
<b>Johnathon Noonan</b>	no		
<b>Jon Behrens</b>	probably. Cross referencing the databases at approximately 1/2 the period required to wait before a cat is euthanased.		
<b>Josette Hill</b>			
<b>Joshua Mildenthal</b>	Only on databased for WA		

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Joy Verrinder</b>		can offer incentives to desex and raises cats profile to that of dogs	small levy on all residents with LG rate payments more effective than registration
<b>Judith Beer</b>		ensures missing cats can be reunited	
<b>Judy Clarke</b>			
<b>Judy Giles</b>			
<b>Judy Kozak</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move. One register makes ID of cats and admin easier.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration encourages responsible ownership and data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household	
<b>Julia B Loubser</b>	Databases should be coordinated. Vehicle registrations have multiple databases and work.		
<b>Julia Brennan</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of multiple registers unnecessary. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	DLG to investigate costs and benefits. Registration achieves objectives as it encourages responsible ownership as the owner is ID. The data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies	
<b>Julianne Waldock</b>		By tying registration to council earnings there will be pressure on councils to increase cost of registration which will discourage low income earners to register	
<b>Julie Badger</b>			
<b>Julie Crewe</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Julie Hickling	No I don't believe mandatory registration will be beneficial. Cats by nature cannot be fenced in and impounding would only be revenue raising.		I am a renter and am unable to erect a structure to enclose my cat to stop it roaming. I cannot see how impounding can be applied to cats as it is with dogs.
Justine Ward			
Kanak Ranjan Ray	no problem envisaged as there isnt a problem with dogs	breeder certificate to own an unsterilised cat is essential to prevent irresponsible breeding	
Kara Breitenback			households with more than 2 cats to pay higher registration fee and/or have a special licence to ensure proper care and environment for cats
Karen Dent			
Karen McEniry			
Karen Price			
Karen Whitney	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of multiple registers unnecessary. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	Registration achieves objectives by enforcing responsible ownership as the owner is identified. The data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	
Karl			
Kate Taylor			
Kate Sutherland			
Kath Jacka	3 zones - central, North west and State west		
Katherine Gaschk	streamlined over time		

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Katie Nelson</b>	Yes. Cats wander, owners move. One register to cover all of WA to make the job easier for rangers etc	Owner identified leads to responsible ownership. Info on how many cats p/household, number of cats unsterilised etc	Revenue to go toward cat shelters and improvement of facilities at RSPCA, Cat Haven etc
<b>Katy Jaksic</b>	Yes. Have on database		
<b>Kaziah Dawes</b>			
<b>Keiran McNamara</b>			
<b>Keith Anderson</b>			
<b>Kelly Roman</b>	create a search facility to query multiple databases.	registration will help limit cat ownership to only committed owners. Ongoing registration allows councils to communicate with cat owners and encourage responsible cat ownership	include photos of cats in registration database to assist in ID lost cats
<b>Kerrie McKennay</b>			
<b>Kerry Luscombe</b>			
<b>Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards</b>	multiple database cost more and are less efficient. Standardise system.		

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Kestri Seksel</b>		low compliance rates combined with difficulty of enforcement should be weighed against benefits. Workable model for cat management is ID not registration. ID provides the link between cat and owner allowing cats to be reunited and owners penalised for nuisance pets. Owners more likely to ID than register because of tangible benefits. Costs of registration scheme could exceed funds generated. Registration fee is pointless if it is absorbed in admin costs and not toward animal management. Animal management should not be self funding. It is a community service. Requirement should be any income generated by registration to go toward animal management	If registration is regulated then a consistency in registration requirements throughout WA will encourage compliance. Data could be shared amongst LG so owners of nuisance animals cannot avoid responsibility simply by changing address.
<b>Kevin Davidson</b>	registration bad idea	charges for cats would be lower than that of dogs. Dog fees as is do not cover LG costs so cat registration would be another imposte.	legislation to make MC compulsory and cats caught and ID would not be returned to owner until chipped and sterilised with fines paid. Not in favour of registration.
<b>Kim Hardaker</b>	Yes. Owners move and fail to update, cats wander and may not be registered in that area.	Council to investigate costs further. Benefit is it encourages responsible ownership.	
<b>Kim Muggridge</b>			
<b>Kim Stannard Brown</b>	one database easier to manage if people move house	costs need detailed research, but benefits would outweigh	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Kimberley Post			
Kira Tracey			
Kirsten Tullis			
Kirsty Connell			
KL Parry	Why should it be a problem? It isn't for dogs.	No extra costs involved. The owners are paying the fees - all costs are covered. The benefits are people are not likely to want to pay fees for cat and litter of kittens	
Kris Warren			
Kristy Rumble			
L Allison	Yes. Cats wander. Owners may move. One register - secure sentinel?	LG investigate costs by consulting interested parties	Govt funding for abandoned animals. Penalties for mistreating animals must be more severe. Euthanasia of healthy animals should not be allowed.
Laurel Stones			
Laurina Bullen	Owners responsibility to keep up to date with local council		
Lee Fontanini	state wide database so if people move info is accessible		night time curfew



RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Lee Rowley</b>	multiple databases not a big issue. Proposal for LG to undertake registration will create more databases, which is not desirable. Prefer a central database inc MC details and a single entity be responsible for operation and management. Allow access to vets, welfare organisation, rangers, LG's etc via secure logon protocols. If LG's had to operate system the result will be residents would be subsidising process as the cost would not be recovered from registration fees alone.	more cost effective if registration dealt with by singular body (State Govt or organisation such as RSPCA)	compulsory registration by LG not supported. Registration via centralised agency is supported.
<b>Leigh Smith</b>			
<b>Len Abbatt</b>			
<b>Leonie Vianna</b>			
<b>Lesley Brocker</b>			
<b>Lesley Cheheney</b>			
<b>Lexi Jury</b>			
<b>Liddell Williams</b>			in 2007 survey 85% of owners said they would register cats. Concerned that impounded cats will be used for scientific experiments as is the case in other Aus states. DLG should be clear on its stance on this issue.
<b>Lillian Young</b>	Yes too costly, only one necessary	LG should decide	
<b>Linda Davies</b>			
<b>Linda Johnson</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Linda Moffat Ray	Not necessarily, does not create problem with dogs	breeder certificate to own an unsterilised cat is essential to prevent irresponsible breeding	
Ling Hoffman			
Lisa Anstis	Yes. One register as cats wander and people move.		
Lisa Conn			
Lisa Stevens			
Lisette Vis			
Liz Allison			
Liz Bushby		Discourages people from buying on a whim. Ensures owners retrieve cats if impounded as LG can identify them. Discounts for sterilisation will encourage sterilisation. Provide money for increased education on responsible ownership.	Hopes stricter laws will mean people take pet ownership seriously
Lorna Stewart			
Lorraine June Judge			
Lucie Nicholls			
Lucille Fisher			
Lucy Simon	yes. Cats stray across LG boundaries, people move suburbs. One register easier.	cost in setting up database. Consult with stakeholders on this issue. Registration encourages responsible ownership and the info collected through database could develop cat management strategies.	
Lukas Baker			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Lyn Windram			City of Cockburn reserved in though in relation to registration due to policing, resourcing and follow up. Is there a need to register? Will MC and sterilisation assist with control on its own? Registration causes resistance as it is seen as revenue raising.
Lynda Pragnell	additional staffing, streamline systems	money save on not having to put them down and tracking them	
Lynn MacLaren			
Lynne Davis	Local Shires can run own database		Registration of cats another form of revenue mongering by Shires. If it is required should be no fee, the success rate will then be higher due to cat owners not scared of cost
M Bacich			
Madalene Wallace	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move. One register makes ID of cats and admin easier.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration encourages responsible ownership and data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	
Marcus Good	yes multiple databases costly. Cats wander, owners move and not update. One register easier.	Consult with stakeholders on this issue. Registration encourages responsible ownership and the info collected through database could develop cat management strategies.	
Marcus Owen	Country areas no prob as neighbouring shires share info	Costs are prohibitive for rural LG's as ratio of number of cats to revenue raised to cover costs is non comparable.	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Margaret Anne Ryan		Cost to low income earners by councils increasing rates	Cats not put down if seized, rather rehomed
Margaret Bush	yes confusing.		no need for registration AND MC
Margaret Huggins			
Margaret Pearce			
Margaret Philson			
Margaret Young	Minor problems, as with MC databases. It works OK for dogs.	makes people take responsibility for their pet. Reduces euthanasia rate of healthy cats and reduces unwanted litters.	
Margot Tobin	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Owners may move. One register easier	Focus needs to be on compulsory sterilisation	
Maria McAdam			
Marilyn Clark Murphy			
Marion Vince	Yes central database required	replacement of T&C	
Mark Hackleton			
Mark O'Donnell			
Mark Studd	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move. One register makes.	LG to investigate. Registration will achieve objectives by making owners accountable, cat management strategies could be developed based on database info	
Mark Thompson			
Marra Apgar			
Mary Thompkins			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Mary Townsend</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move. One register makes ID of cats and admin easier.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration encourages responsible ownership as owners are identified, also important research outcomes.	
<b>Matthew Whyte</b>		the ability to control and monitor domestic cats and their impact on the environment	
<b>Max Bazzica</b>			
<b>Megan Dare</b>	Yes is confusing for owners. One database, nation wide best solution. Partly subsidised by registration costs with State and Fed Govt paying the difference.	benefit of reuniting cats with owners. If cat is sterilised and registered then cost of \$30 p/yr. Money put toward assisting and educating community on cat ownership	
<b>Megan Longwill</b>	No. cat owners need to be educated to register their cat to relevant LG upon moving. Relinquishing LG can offer a refund of unused registration.	removal of nuisance cats.	
<b>Melissa Cundy</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Owners may move. One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	DLG to investigate with stakeholders. Registration achieves objectives by encouraging responsible ownership as they are ID. Research opportunities through collection of info from database.	
<b>Melissa Smith</b>	A concern for renters as they move around. Have databases for the following - 1) breeders. 2) owners with stable housing 3) owners who rent or move often.	registration allows cat population to be more accurately addressed, is beneficial to Shires and Departments. If policy is to achieve objectives more info needs to be forthcoming regarding fines and punishments and whether shelters will be subsidised to ensure technology is up to date	Pet shops must sell kittens with MC. Compulsory chipping enforced so no kitten can be sold without chip - will also weed out cruel cat mills
<b>Merv Williams</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Michael Duckett			no need for MC and registration
Michael Fitzgerald			
Michael Mills-Borley	Yes as no coordinated approach.		
Michael O'Connor			
Michael Warwick			
Michaela Collingwood	Yes too costly, only one necessary	LG investigate costs by consulting stakeholders	
Michaela Newman			
Michelle Clarke			
Michelle Crowe			
Michelle Pierce	no - same as MC databases, no problem so long as people keep their documents. However one database would be easier in the case of owners moving house.		
Michelle Prince			
Mick O'Regan	Compulsory registration will not achieve objective which is to reduce number of stray cats in WA. It will only identify responsible owners on a database	Benefits ID in consult paper are assumptions, as no clear evidence to date suggests proposed measures will achieve objectives.	as indicated in the outcomes of Animal Forum in South Australia - only responsible owners will register and they should not be financially disadvantaged for doing the right thing.
Mick Wainwright			
Mike Butcher	Yes. Database linked to MC database. Need to ID what is feral/stray v dom. Need access to state wide database as dealing with cats state wide. Contractors who deal with feral cat control need access	Yes. Costs with ID feral v dom are est at \$30 p/cat with approx 1500 feral dealt with p/yr by us across state	Some councils use contractors (like us) to undertake feral/stray control. Need access to database.
Mike Cox	Yes - Don't know	Don't know	
Mike Webster			
Mike Pimm			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Miranda Coffey</b>	yes they create more work. Central record that's accessible and updated by shelters and LG would be logical.	benefit of compulsory registration for shelter organisation is that it adds another resource to trace owners. Compulsory nature and cost adds weight to argument that the public should be prepared to accept that there are costs associated with responsible ownership.	MC provides non removable form of ID useful to both owners and LG as definitive ID. Registration provides further revenue for council to enforce legislation and reinforces to owners that pet ownership carries costs - thus MC and registration should be paired together.
<b>Mort Wignall</b>			
<b>Mrs Wilkinson</b>	no problem for dogs.	costs will encourage greater owner responsibility	
<b>Mundaring</b>			
<b>Nancy Dowling</b>			
<b>Nancy O'Hearn</b>			
<b>Nancy Tang</b>	No problem for dogs. Work cats into existing dog databases.		Confinement should be encouraged as is for dogs. Programs such as 'cat indoors' (USA) could be used as education models for WA.
<b>Natalie Bell</b>	central, govt run database		
<b>Natalie Mason</b>			
<b>Natalie Rogers</b>			
<b>Natasha Atkins</b>			
<b>Nedlands</b>			MC a one off registration/ID
<b>Nick Bursey</b>			
<b>Nicky Kain</b>	MC point to homebase if a cat crosses boundaries	90% who sterilise will comply	
<b>Nicole Stewart</b>			
<b>Niel Mitchell</b>			
<b>Nigel Bancroft</b>	Problem - which database gets updated. Overcome by having one database kept by LG	Establish a cost to cat ownership which will benefit in controlling disposal of cats and reduce breeding	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Norma Coley	Not known	Major benefit reduce feral cat pop - saves wildlife	Commend WA Govt. Hopes all Aus states will tackle prob
Northam			combine registration and MC
Olena Shulyayeva			
Oliver Sellars			
Olivia Moriae			
Owen Thomas			
P Watts			
Paddy Strano	Not sure	It will define the responsibility of the responsible owner, but would have no bearing on those irresponsible owners, but it's a start	
Pam Masters			
Pamela Lanigan			
Pamela Townsend			
Pascoe Durtanovich			
Patricia Baird	yes. Cats wander, owners move. One regisiter easier.		
Patricia Bensley	Set up properly in first place.	Stray cats easily traced back to owners. Councils know how many cats in area. Councils gain revenue.	limit of 2 cats per household - same as dogs. Cats confined - cat runs. Cats confined indoors at night.
Patricia Lea			
Patricia Mead			



RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Patricia Townsend</b>	Yes. One register allows easier ID, smoother admin, less costly. People move house.	DLG to investigate costs. Registration achieves objectives by enforcing responsible ownership as the owner is identified. The data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies eg. Cats p/household, geographic distribution, un/sterilised, un/MC	Registration is a must - cats are not a throw away item, they are for life.
<b>Patricia Zanich</b>	registration to run along same lines as dog registration	will keep LG informed on the approx number of cats in the city but council must enforce	
<b>Paul and Kerri Hodder</b>	Yes. Intergrate databases where possible.		
<b>Paul Gamblin</b>			no objection but doesn't really see a need
<b>Paul Loring</b>	Better to encourage registration by \$0 as LG role anyway		
<b>Paul McManus</b>			dog registration has created huge admin costs with very little income. Responsible owners register and pay with no protection from the dog license. The irresponsible owner doesn't register.
<b>Paul Nayler</b>	No. Better Govt cooperation/coordination		
<b>Paul Smithson</b>			
<b>Paula Gee</b>	Yes. Cats wander across LG boundaries. Cost of setting up multiple databases is expensive. Owners may move.	Registration promotes responsible ownership. Info gained can help develop cat management strategies.	
<b>Pauline Cope</b>			helps to abate nuisance cats invading neighbours. Pet shops to stop selling kittens and puppies.
<b>Penny Lee</b>			registration OR MC. Not both

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Peter Abetz			registered breeders to not pay a fee per cat but pay for a cattery. Catteries to have minimum standards.
Peter Carrie	No. can operate the same as dogs. Although centralised database by State Govt would be cost effective and lessen the burden	registration process that replicates Dog Act is supported if operated by a centralised agency with LG receiving costs recovery for enforcement	
Peter de Groot			
Peter Loudon		if seriously enforced can have worthwhile effect	
Peter Marsh			
Peter Nicholson	no		
Peter Sazanov			
Peter Watson		benefits more than enough	
Peter White			
Phil Avery			
Phil Cleaver			
Phil Hopwood	If databases are searched online, wouldn't matter if there were several. If MC cats becomes compulsory a centralised database of all records would be large and expensive. Searching it may not be any quicker than searching a few smaller ones.	Significant costs in administration of registration. Whether foreseen or quantifiable they are not justified. The cost of effective enforcement will be prohibitive.	
Phil Tucak			
Philip Goad			
Phillip Rowe	Use same database of dogs, for cats	each Ranger will need reader for MC - expensive. Production of tags, printing of stationary	Night curfew - 6pm - 6am

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Plantagenet			implement in stages. Metro then rural and then remote.
R.J Wainwright	not with present day computer programs	cost of data input and processing. Policy objectives only achieved through funding and support by Gov.t	
Raana Scott	Registered to a shire. Can transfer if they move.		
Rainer Ropke	each owner registers with LG. multiple cannot occur.	costs/benefits - no achieve objectives - yes	unsure if I support registration. 90% of cat owners sterilised and identify - if registration is introduced then its punishing 90% of owners. Irresponsible owners probably wont register anyway.
Rani Stewart	Local govt to feed their info into one master database. Registration and microchipping transferrable to other Shires	Minimum costs to cat owners to ensure proposal meets its objectives	
Ray Errington	If MC is compulsory prior to registration then those cats will be on Mc and traceable. Doubtful that registration fees will recoup the LG the overall cost to administer		
Raymond Hammond	no - if set up properly and each LG allows access to necessary people, although one database would be ideal, it will cost. Privacy laws need to be adhered to	registratoin allows for ID of all cat owners. Irresponsible owners can be penalised. Revenue collected should cover cost of maintaining database. Initial set up costs can be factored into yearly registration fee	
Raymond Mell			
Raymond Phillip Giblett			advertise the fact that cats caught on property will be taken to LG and owners will face a fine.

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Rebecca Simmonson</b>	Statewide central database needed as people move. What are other States doing?	Consider fees in light of costs incurred in maintaining a central database. Offer a fee waiver or discount period for 6-12 months for people who currently own a sterilised cat.	
<b>Renee Cusworth</b>	Cost of multiple registers unnecessary. . One register easier to admin and easier to ID cats.	costs to be ID by LG by liasing with stakeholders. Policy achieves objectives by encouraging responsible ownership.	
<b>Rhonda Summersby</b>	LG database that submits info to State database	Extra charges may result in kittens being dumped. If sterilisation costs were reduced this would help.	
<b>Ric Turner</b>			
<b>Richard Harris</b>			
<b>Rick Walduck</b>	no problem as each LG is required to maintain their own, linked with property ID's, rates etc and manage animals and income from registration. Do not follow NSW who set up life registration, they now are low on funds. Stay with annual fees until society will accept an animal management levy in their rates	provides needed funds for animal management (AM) that in rural areas do not manage to get revenue neutral. In WA due to lack of AM funding and large land mass AM organisations travel large distances to provide services, resulting in substantial costs. AM is an issue involving both pet owners and non pet owners and should be like any other service - library, pools, education etc that are applied across whole community.	consult with other states that have workable legislative models such as Vic and Qld and in a funding model the Dog and Cat Management Board SA. I can provide pro bono advice from the working coalface as I have done to Vic, Qld, Tas and SA. I have been requested to peruse Parliamentary draughtmen's drafts to say whether they are workable.
<b>Ricky Burges</b>			
<b>Rob Hanbury</b>			
<b>Rob Schorer</b>			
<b>Robun Stibbs</b>	no	no	
<b>Robyn Griffin</b>			
<b>Robyn Wright</b>			
<b>Rod Cleary</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Rodney and Sofia Carson</b>	Definitely. Should be Lg and Cat Welfare Society.	Maximum 2 cats p/household	Registration may be hard for pensioners
<b>Roger B Perkins</b>			
<b>Rolf Gentsch</b>			
<b>Ron Innes</b>	Can be managed with modern day data based systems.	Apart from initial phasing in period, education and publicity, all subsequent costs met by cat owner. Includes retrieval of stays, identification of owners and euthanasing unwanted strays.	
<b>Ron McDavitt</b>	Use input from Police Dept who also issue licenses.	If domestic cat problem is under control then chance of eradicating feral cats.	
<b>Ron Scantlebury</b>			
<b>Rory Chapple</b>			
<b>Rory Crossland</b>			
<b>Rosalie Corbellini</b>	Councils have own data base but linked to greater system.	Registration like dogs would help find lost pets owners etc	
<b>Rosalind Robinson</b>	Yes - one centralised registry (see Gold Coast Qld, where animal welfare league is the pound/registry - 500,00 population)	Less cats euthanased. Owners responsible through identification.	
<b>Rose van der Waag</b>	if we can manage car rego we can manage cat rego!		
<b>Rosemary Donald</b>	Yes will cause problem. Especially when people move.	Revenue raising objective for State and LG's. No real benefit to owners. Can already track cat through MC database.	
<b>Roslyn Thompson</b>	Yes. One register easier and more efficient.	responsible ownership is encouraged as owner can be ID	
<b>Roz Davies</b>			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Sally Kalejs	One registration easier if cats wander or move areas	Costs to be kept low. It will be a huge help in controlling irresponsible owners.	
Sandra Baraiolo			registration and MC confusing. Only need MC
Sandra Gent	Yes. Should be on database as cats wander and owners move.	Susidise sterilisation and MC for 5 years.	cost of registration better spent on subsidies for sterilisation and MC. will not achieve anything. Difficult to police.
Sandra Hughes			
Sandra Leach	Yes its confusing. LG should control database same as dogs		
Sanne Anderson			
Sara La Macchia			
Sara Johnston			
Sarah House	Central database		High fees to cover admin and act as deterrent
Sasha Davies			
Shane O'Neill			
Shannon MacPherson	same problems as currently occur with Dog registration. Overcome by a central database managed on behalf of state		do not support compulsory registration however due to financial implication have still provided comments. If registration is introduced would significantly impact City's administrative resources. Its estimated that the revenue earned will not cover costs unless fees were significant
Sharin Oliver			
Sharon Blackman			
Sheila Cockbain			
Sheila Cowan	cats wander, owners move - one register would be easier for admin and to ID cats	Cost of bureaucracy to register. Registration encourages responsible ownership as owners can be ID	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Sheila Howat</b>	as per dogs	registration, sterilisation and confinement is vital for WA fauna.	
<b>Sheila Pragnell</b>	Why should it cause a problem. Dog owners have to register, if they are responsible they sterilise and have them on a lead in public.		
<b>Shelagh White Robin Hawken</b>			responsible owners already sterilise and MC and its not fair to place an additional cost on them. Irresponsible owners will not comply anyway.
<b>Sheree Edmondson</b>	No. already exists for dogs and not an issue.	develop software - \$15,000. mail outs. Door knock program \$54,000 p/yr. trapping. Impound facilities	do not align cat registration with dog registratio - too busy
<b>Sheri Metternick-Jones</b>			
<b>Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale</b>	will cause increased workload for LG and require additional staffing for data management. Current situation for dog registration for registration and MC are resource and cost intensive and ineffective across jurisdiction	costs incurred by LG to purchase software, computers, readers and admin costs. Registration should achieve objectives. Legislation and education needs to embed that an animal not registered or MC will be treated as unowned. Impact and costs to community and environment to continue to administer animals outside legislation is not fair to those who have complied.	cat legislation is an opportunity to review and align legislation already in place for dogs and include further companion animals. An opportunity for consolidated legislation to be enacted to deliver a state wide approach to the admin of companion animals
<b>Shire of Collie</b>	agree with cost benefit as per Consult Paper	agree with cost benefit as per Consult Paper	fees to achieve full cost recovery
<b>Shirley Tilbury</b>	Yes. Have 1 only.		
<b>Sirhowy Andrea Noel</b>	link all databases	benefits to community worth the cost	
<b>Sondra Noonan</b>	LG could establish a statewide database		

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Sonja Rose</b>	Yes. Cats wander, owners move. Multiple databases are costly. Single registers easier for admin	DLG to investigate costs. Registration encourages responsible ownership and allows data to be collected to develop cat management strategies.	
<b>South Perth</b>	Yes. MC process is admin and owned by private companies - at present no central database. Should be negotiations with organisations to produce central database	Rego by MC will get cats on database sooner rather than later and potentially are easily traceable. Rego process and costs that replicates Dog Act is not supported. Fees and charges for rego under Dog Act has not been reviewed for years. Reason advanced is that these costs discourage rego. Number of Dogs registered in the City has not increased in last 10 years - despite increase in population by approx. 20,000 persons since 2001. Past 5 years City has advertised in local newspaper, twice yearly, the need and benefit of registering dogs. Legislation and education needs to embed that animal not MC or registered will be treated as unowned and thus euthanased. Impact and costs to community and environment in continuing to administer animals outside legislated arrangements is unfair to those who have complied.	Rego/ID combined as one process, one off rego/id system in form of MC. If MC adopted as ID and rego - no need for cat to wear T&C. Cats not MC should be classed as unowned and subject to MC and sterilisation prior to rehoming and sale to recover costs or euthanasia. T&C unnecessary where MC is mandatory. No MC/regu equals unowned animal.
<b>Stef Haywood</b>			
<b>Stephanie Slanzi</b>		cost would be a burden for Govt.	
<b>Steve Cummings</b>		free reg for first 12 months	



RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Steve Vanstan	common/central rego database for all LG's to use could be established. Online database could be expanded to inc statewide lost and found for cats and dogs where LG's put impounded cats on there providing owners with a site to look for lost pets		
Stewart Ford	Single state rego and ID database		
Stewart Lyon	As long as info can be accessed by authorised persons in another region there is no issue. Although it would be easier having one database	If cats must be registered then council will be able to ascertain numbers of cats in areas, numbers p/household etc. Info would be useful to Govt.	
Stuart David Hynd			
Sue Gleave	no. works for dogs.	more responsibility to be taken by owner if costs involved. As a wildlife carer I have to euthanase wildlife who have been severely injured by cats.	
Sue Lantzke			
Sue McGuire			
Susan Game			
Suzanne Gulikers			
Suzanne Holder			
Suzanne Peak			
T Atkinson			
Tammy Wilson	Yes. If multiple which one to use?		
Tara Reid			
Teresa Lees			

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Teresa McCarthy	one database will simplify	costs are involved but something must be done to stop euthanasia rates	
Teresa Moy			
Thalia O'Sullivan			
Thomas Alan			
Tim Brokenshire	state managed		
Tim Clynych	multiple rego bases will not cause prob as T&C and MC will ID address.	if kept in line with dog act then rego fees will not be onerous on cat owners, however registration will lead to respon cat ownership	
Tim Jones	Run parrallel systems as used for dog registration	System set up, cat holding pens, registration tags, scanners for MC, staffing hours, printing	Curfews at night to stop roaming. Traps not set during day hours, only night
Tim Watson			
Toni Shapland			
Tony Douglas Brown			
Tony Williams	Yes. Cats wander. Need one central registration database	income from registration could outweigh costs	
Tracey Joynson	Yes. There should be a main database	Costs should be investigated thoroughly and the appropriate people consulted. Registration will encourage people to take responsibility of their cats.	Registration will stop cat collectors. Limit p/household. Registration will aid in policing this should a limit be set out at a later date.
Traci Myers	Yes. Too many cross boundary issues.	LG should investigate through consultation of stakeholders	
Tracy Wealleans	one database needed		
Trevor Hein			
Ursula Adams	if datases are set up to link all LG the no problem	Yes proposal will met objectives.	

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Ursula Blankenburg			do not support registration, supports ID
Uta Wicke			
Valerie F Mitchell	Yes will cause problem. Especially when people move and cats wander. Cats are stolen and dumped far away		care should be taken in deciding cost as some owners will dump rather than pay. Hard to police. Sterilisation is the most important out of the 3 aspects of the proposal.
Vaness Roberts			
Vanessa Bowden			
Vicki Thompson			
Victoria Murphy			
Virginia Hardaker	Yes. Single register allows easier ID process	needs thorough investigation. Registers can be used to collect data.	
W Depiazzi			
Warren Bow			
Wayne Clarke	same as MC. Issue a prefix to each LG to identify where cat is from	same as MC. Benefit native fauna. Curtail dumping.	Where cats are found unregistered, impose a fine to deter ongoing instances. Proceeds from fines go to assist the setting up and admin of data bases.
Wendy Brown			
Wendy Crawford	Intergrate and multi-search engine	Reunite cats with owners if they go missing/move suburbs	
Wendy J Dallywater	central needed	central database. If someone is flagged as unfit owner, prevent them from owning again.	
William John Robinson	overcome by giving access to open databases		

RESPONDENT	13 MULTIPLE REGISTRATION BASES LIKELY TO CAUSE A PROBLEM?	14 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	15 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>William Shanklin</b>	Rego should be state wide and tied to animals MC do not allow multiple databases	benefit - enforcement of MC identification, cant be registered unless MC	Breeders to be licensed annually. Cats not allowed to be sold or transferred unless MC and registered. Breeders must MC and register to new owner prior to selling or they will risk de licensing. All income to be used on related expenditures (education programs, feral cat eradication etc) Set fee levels so overall 100% 'user pays'
<b>William Strong</b>	does not cause a problem	Legislation should just be bought in and costs as above	
<b>Winifred Bencich</b>	yes. One register easier to operate and maintain.	should alleviate strays and ID people who move and leave cat. Cost to LG should not be too high as admin can be merged to dog control laws	
<b>Xanthi Christidis</b>	Yes. Cats may wander through LG boundaries and people move house. Cost of establishing multiple databases is unecessary. One register easy and cheaper.	DLG should consult with stakeholders. Registration encourages responsible ownership and data collected via database can help develop cat management strategies	
<b>Xianwa Niu</b>	yes		
<b>Yvette Vittorio</b>	Yes. There will be some costs to set up registeres. Cats wander across boundaries, people move. Easier for admin if only one register.	Costs to be investigated. Benefits are owners are identifiable and thus responsible. Can be useful for census type data tracking.	may discourage hoarding
<b>Yvonne Johnson</b>			

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>A Steegstra</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		Exclude breeders from requirements
<b>A Taylor</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Adam Hansen</b>	Yes		Yes	1-2 years		
<b>Adlington Fitzgerald</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Afrovite Nicholson</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		Introduce cat curfer 7pm - 7am. Cats are a nuisance. Need to kept inside especially at night.
<b>Alannah Marsh</b>	Yes	Yes			Less kittens being put down, less trays	public should be made aware of need of sterilisation and registration of cats
<b>Alison Angel</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Alison Driver</b>						
<b>Allen Cooper</b>						
<b>Allison Reid</b>	Yes	Yes		18 months	benefits - discourages buying a cat for those not committed	
<b>Amanda McLennan</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Amy Johnson</b>						
<b>Andre Marais</b>	Yes	Yes		3 months	benefit for future generations who have an interest in native fauna	
<b>Andrew Eaton</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Andrew Hawdon</b>						
<b>Angela Barns</b>	Yes		Yes		sterilisation on an older cat is traumatic and cruel.	
<b>Angela Tan</b>	Yes		Yes		discounts for low income earners to encourage compliance. Sterilisation will meet objectives as it makes owners responsible and reduces strays and unwanted litters.	sterilisation of older cats is a risk to health. Not allowing adequate phase in time will result in dumping. A fair time period needs to be determine based on consultation with vets etc
<b>Anika Bricknell</b>	Yes	Yes			for enforcement all cats must be tattooed, not optional	
<b>Ann Cuthbert</b>						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Ann Devereaux	Yes		Yes	1 year	Yes, its necessary for pets to be sterilised to prevent unwanted litters and dumping of cats	
Ann MacGeehan						
Ann Marie Lander	Yes	No		fair time to allow the demand to be met	one off cost. Cost to police. DLG to develop strategies to help low income earners.	
Ann Noble	Yes	Yes		12 months		Difficult to keep unsterilised cats from straying
Ann Pover	Yes					
Ann Proctor						
Annalisa Barrington					limits unwanted kittens and may limit straying	discount sterilisation
Anne Banks-McAllister	Yes	Yes		6 months following advertising and education	Sterilisation costs bourne by owner. Cats re homed by LG subject to MC, sterilisation and sale to recover costs.	
Anne and Dave Stroud						
Anne Kent	Yes	Yes		1 year		
Anne Tumak	Yes	Yes				
Annemarie Lennon	Yes	Yes			It will target issue of overpopulation and feral unwanted cats.	abolish pet shops or strictly regulate them. Breeders have a code of ethics only to sell sterilised cats for pets
Anon	No					

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Anonymous	Yes	Yes		short period	reduction in cost and rates of euthanasia ( Canberra is an aberation I believe because of large Hoating population). Less dumping of unwanted litters	unlikely a solution to the feral cat population but is a pre requisite.
Anonymous	Yes	Yes		12 months	Breeders need to be regulated.	Cats roaming streets and going into others property, have become a menace. These measures will make people think twice about being a pet owner.
Anonymous	Yes	Yes			Additional costs to LG for cat impounding facilities	Sterilisation to be discount item. Discount if owner keeps cat contained indoors and outdoors.
Anonymous	Yes	Yes				
Anonymous						
Anonymous	Yes	Yes		3 months		
Anonymous Group						
Arlene Somerville						
Arthur Thompson						
Astrid Herlihy	Yes				subsidy	do not allow cat traps
Audrey Gorman	Yes		Yes	1 year	sterilisation is a must and one off cost. LG to offer financial assistance for the first 12 months of law to low income earners	
B Buchanan						
B Mottram	Yes	Yes				
B Newman	Yes	Yes		1 year	vets will benefit. Pet shops and breeders must be strictly regulated. Older cats are more costly to sterilise.	provisions for those who cannot afford should be made. Many people will dump cats.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Barbara Ball	Yes		Yes			If cats are in ill health and old and people are in financial hardship then exemptions should be made. Cat Haven do a wonderful job.
Barbara Getty	Yes	Yes		6 months	less cat fights, less roaming	
Barbara Marshall						
Barbara Morphet						
Barbara Triggs	Yes		Yes	6-12 months	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Low income earners to receive discounts from LG. Will reduce overpopulation and associated problems	
Barbara Woods						
Barbara Zuegg	Yes		Yes	1 year	once off and affordable. DLG to reduce costs for low income earners and pensioners. Infrastructure to police will cost	people may dump cats. Night curfew
Barry & Jean L.M. Hicks	Yes	Yes				Glad you are taking on this subject
Belinda Forbes	Yes		Yes	6 months		Sterilisation traumatic and risky for older cats. Weigh up risks with benefits. All kittens should be sterilised before going home.
Bella Bamford						
Belle Connor						
Ben Lullfitz						
Bernard Simpson	Yes	Yes		6 months		
Bernice Bernard						



## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Betsy Cordon Cokram</b>	Yes		Yes	5 years	sterilisation is once off and affordable. DLG to develop strategies to reduce costs for low income earners. Infrastructure to police is a cost. Achieves policy objectives	
<b>Betty and Brian Thoroughgood</b>						
<b>Beverley Bridgland</b>	Yes	Yes			at the age of 3 months. Fewer cats will be dumped so reduction in feral numbers.	
<b>Beverly Spencer</b>						
<b>Biddy Myres</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Bill Adams</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Blake Hill</b>						
<b>Bob Jeffreys</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		
<b>Bob Maumill</b>						
<b>Bonnie James</b>	Yes		Yes	all cats when sold		
<b>Boyd Elizabeth</b>	Yes	Yes		3 months		
<b>Breanne Brown</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Brian Aldrich</b>	Yes		Yes	allow vets to met demand	lead to reduction in euthanasia rates and strays	
<b>Brian and Sheila Peet</b>	Yes	Yes			benefits of sterilising is eventually there will be no cats left. Be wary of cats being bought in from other states.	
<b>Brian Blechynden</b>	Yes		Yes	lifetime	Stop being so easy to breed.	
<b>Brian Callander</b>	Yes		Yes	3 years	safety risk to rangers for checking if cat is sterilised	if only to those born after legislation then enforcement issues fo rangers.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Brian French</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Problems will be reduced by 95% is legislation is passed and enforced	
<b>Brian Mead</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	stop free kitten ads in local paper	
<b>Brian Ottley</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Yes, cats cost to the environment, public and Cat Haven etc is large	
<b>Bronwyn Bell</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		maybe an issue with sterilising older cats
<b>C Ayling</b>	Yes					
<b>Carissa Hunt</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months		subsidies for pensioners
<b>Carl Gosper</b>						
<b>Carla Beattie</b>	Yes		Yes		Sterilisation is a one off cost. DLG could develop strategies for low income earners. It will target the issue of overpopulation, improve cats health, reduce environment issues	
<b>Carol Biddulph</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Carol Hudson</b>	Yes	No	Yes	12 months. Compliance will place influx of surgery to vets and cat welfare orgs	Cat welfare organisations offer very affordable cost for sterilisation. It only has to be done once to be effective.	Time to call a halt to the huge numbers of unwanted kittens born year after year after year.
<b>Carol Richards</b>						
<b>Carol Young</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year - give vets time to met demand	Cost of sterilisation is a one off. Dicounts to low income earners and those with multiple cats. Policing is a cost. Benefits are great - fewer ferals, fewer dumped, less damage to wildlife.	ID, rego and sterilisation essential.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Carol-Ann Grazier</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months		
<b>Carole Galli</b>	Yes		Yes	12 weeks old		
<b>Carole Paterson</b>	Yes	Yes		asap		
<b>Caroline Franklin</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	Low cost sterilisation and micrchipping available to everyone for first 6 months of law introduction	
<b>Carolyn Waterhouse</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Carolyn Webley-Hurrell</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months		
<b>Cassandra Houghton</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year. Cats under a certain gae (perhaps 5) should be sterilised	Cost is one off and minimal. Concession discount available at some Vets and Cat Welfare Groups. Cost to police sterilisation. Compulsory sterilisation would achieve policy objectives as it would reduce number of unwanted cats.	
<b>Cat Alliance of Australia</b>				at point of sale	to be done at point of sale	most unwanted kittens come from first litter, prevent first litter then less and less kittens will be born. Strict control should be enforced so kittens cannot be sold through papers, pet shops, notice boards etc so as to not slip through the net and undo all the good work done.
<b>Cat Owners Association</b>	No					prices vary greatly from vet to vet. Possible price fixing. Liabilities - whos responsible if something goes wrong?
<b>Cat Sterilisation Society</b>	Yes					

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Caterina McKenzie</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years	some cats owners will dump instead of following new legislation	Cat sterilisation is a must as they cannot be confined like dogs.
<b>Cathering Gray</b>						
<b>Cathy Josephs</b>						
<b>Cecil Joseph Leopold</b>	Yes	Yes		as long as it takes to complete 100%		no more than 2 cats p/household
<b>Cecil Wells</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Charmain Heath</b>	Yes			12 months		
<b>Charmaine Jeffrey</b>						
<b>Cheree Anrep</b>						
<b>Cherry Leonard</b>	No				Originally our organisatoins aims but after experience with working with low income families we realise this is not possible for them. Legislation will not be effective with the 10% of cat owners who currently cannot or will not expend the money	Vets must sterilise at an earlier age 17-18 weeks as cats are coming into season earlier. There must be sterilisation subsidy's more widely available.
<b>Cheryl Bettridge</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Cheryl Spencer</b>	No					
<b>Chris Harvey</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	less stray cats and less breeding	
<b>Chris Kojan</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	management and admin costs. Benefits are that stray cats and owners can be ID and the native wildlife will be better protected.	
<b>Christina Smith</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	sterilisation is minor cost. Controls population - improves cat health, less strays etc	
<b>Christine Bourne</b>	No				uni studnets to provide cheaper service	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Christine Randall	No				Compulsory sterilisation not supported. Will not achieve policy objectives.	Keep it simple and fair. Apply similar laws as in the Dog Act.
Christine Randall	No				same as dogs	It would be great to have all cats sterilised, however it is proposals such as these that make policies fail
Christine Yurovich	Yes		Yes		councils to work with welfare groups to assist pensioners and low income earners. Assist rather than impound.	cats are different to dogs, do not want 'dog laws' for cats.
Chrstine Jones	Yes	Yes				reduce undesirable behaviour from male cats
City of Canning	Yes			6 months old (as per Vet advice)	costs should remain responsibility of owner. Benefits inc reducing unwanted cats who may then add to feral population. Eventual reduction in labour for LG regarding impound/rehome/euthanase	if does not become compulsory then apply a once off, lifetime fee for registration of sterilised cats and a renewable/annual fee for unsterilised cats. Therefore some monitoring of breeding population will be possible.
City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA	Yes	Yes		6 months following education and advertising.	Sterilisation costs bourne by owner. Cats re homed by LG subject to MC, sterilisation and sale to recover costs. Consultation Paper suggests compulsory sterilisation will reduce strays, unwanted and feral - reducing distress to animals themselves aswell as impact on native fauna and costs impacts for community	Cat Legislation provides opportunity to review and align Dog legislation. Include further companion animals - pet pigs, goats, chooks, rabbits and guinea pigs. In support of efficiency and effectiveness there is opportunity to consolidate legislation (inclusive of transitional arrangements) - to deliver consistency, improvement and reduction of red tape in state wide approach to the administration of companion animals
Claire Gich	Yes	Yes		1-2 years	breeder permit	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Clarissa Calucci</b>	Yes	Yes			less roaming, less kittens, less fights.	Working in animal industry we receive so many abandoned kittens/cats as well as ferals. With compulsory sterilisation the numbers will drop dramatically.
<b>Clive Cayford</b>	Yes					
<b>Col Hartnett</b>						
<b>Colin Fairclough</b>	Yes			1 year	Once legislation in place RSPCA offer reduced procedures and any profits returned to RSPCA.	Sterilisation should be discounted in the first phase and offer free registration and MC as an incentive. Late comers to pay full costs.
<b>Colin Hanley</b>						
<b>Corinne</b>						
<b>Craig Douglas</b>	Yes	Yes		immediately		
<b>Cristy Burne</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Cynthia Pickering</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	Unsterilised usually strays. They are easily purchased from pet shops. They are cheap	Compulsory sterilisation should be immediate requirement.
<b>D M Hutchinson</b>						
<b>D&amp;J Hallard</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>D. Singor-Turner</b>	Yes	Yes			is expensive however if people want a cat that is the price to pay	
<b>D. Stan</b>						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Daniel Ranger	Yes	Yes		6 months	Vet costs - to owner Disposal of waste - surgical equip Paperwork - proof of procedure MC and registration of MC's Training on implanting, registering, forms, enforcement/equipment usage MC readers - registration of reader with MC company	All cats to be sterilised unless registered breeder. Proposed licence available to all cat owners. State Govt offer subsidise half of costs for 1st year to encourage immediate sterilisation
Daniel Simms						
Daphne Shaw	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Owner bears cost of sterilisation. Owners of unsterilised to pay fine.	State Govt subsidy offered to owners of sterilised cats
Dave and Justine Spencer						
David Mather						
Dawn Baccini	Yes	Yes		3-6 months	Reduce number of kittens. Veterinary expenses but sizable subsidies are available. Will reduce number of unowned cats and euthanasia rates.	Euthanasing any perfectly healthy animal would be the worst thing any person should be expected to do. Although it is necessary without some control over numbers allowed to be born.
Dawn Lowe						
Dawn Watson	Yes	Yes			Sterilisation is a must. Too many unwanted cats, wandering around, catching wildlife.	
Dean and Angela Kelly						
Dean Unsworth	Yes					

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Debbie Mascaro</b>	Yes		Yes		administration of policing will cost. Sterilisation is affordable, a strategy could be developed to help low income earners. Benefits - target overpopulation, less stray and feral cats, fewer issues with fighting, better health and less euthanasia.	insisting on sterilisation for all may see an increase in dumping
<b>Debbie Naylor</b>						
<b>Deidre Foster</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Sterilisation drive will encourage people to partake and reduce dumping	Sterilisation drive - offer reduced rates to encourage people to have animal done. \$50 p/animal - payable over payment plan for disadvantaged plus bulk discount for owners.
<b>Deidre Main</b>	Yes		Yes	18 months at least		
<b>Del Zimmermann</b>	Yes	Yes		3 years	The pounds current cost of euthanasia will balance out any costs. Legislation will reduce euthanasia numbers	Exemptions from sterilisation for old cats or as advised by vets
<b>Demelza Dravnieks</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		I support sterilisation but don't want unsterilised cats automatically put down. Cat Haven should still try to re home if possible.
<b>Dennis and Colleen McDonald</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Des Aitkin</b>						



## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Diane Matthews</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		No option other than to act on issue. The 90% of cat owners who sterilise and the 13% of LG who have regulations need to be supported. Not doing so undermines the important level of commitment and understanding within community. Wildlife is essential for vitality of our bushlands that support the quality of our soils, air and water
<b>Diane Papenfus</b>	Yes	Yes		12-18 months		
<b>Dianne Harwood</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Dianne Hunter</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	owners to bear cost. Feral and unowned will be unable to breed with domestics. Less unwanted kittens means reduction in euthanasia rates.	
<b>Dianne Nason</b>						
<b>Dianne Sawyer</b>	Yes		Yes	fair time to allow the demand to be met	Sterilisation is once off and affordable. The DLG could assist low income earners. Sterilisation achieves policy objectives - reducing strays, euthanasia numbers and targets the issues of overpopulation	
<b>Don Coney</b>	Yes	Yes			legislation with education. Make owners socially responsible.	
<b>Donna Cranley</b>	No	Yes		1-3 years	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Costs reduced for low income earners. There will be a cost to police	some people will dump their cats if not given enough time
<b>Donna Gilbert</b>	Yes		Yes			

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Doris Campbell</b>						This could be achieved if only licenced animal shelters who sell kittens/cats charge up front for sterilisation. Outlaw advertising of 'free kittens' - the harder it is to get an animal, the more it is appreciated. Make a deal with Vets to accept unwanted kittens and offer free sterilisation to mother or tom cat.
<b>Doris Johnson</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Doug Holmes</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Down E Rutter</b>						
<b>E Bekink</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>East Fremantle</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Eda Brackenreg</b>	Yes					
<b>Eduardo Farate</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		
<b>Elena Aza Ponce</b>	Yes		Yes		Achieving objectives is supported by legislation as it improves cats health, reduces strays, fights and unwanted litters.	There are health issues with steirlising older cats - some may choose to abadon before sterilising
<b>Elizabeth Bailey</b>	Yes		Yes	3 years	Cost is one off. Benefits reduce unwanted, stray and feral cats. Less cruelty in dumping or drowning of unwanted kittens and less euthanasia.	
<b>Ellie Brusasco</b>	Yes		Yes	will take 15 years for all cats to be sterilised	unsterilised cats can produce 100's of kittens which contribute to unwanted litters.	stops dumping, downing of kittens

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Elsa Dabbas</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years - don't want to sterilise old cats	reduce number of unwanted cats, protect wildlife.	wildlife is precious
<b>Emer O'Gara</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Emma Brown</b>						
<b>Eric Ayers</b>	Yes	Yes			For LG to achieve objectives they will encounter costs and have to invest time in monitoring compliance. Cat owners need to supply proof of sterilisation in order to comply	Registered breeders exempt from sterilisation. All commercial sale outlets to be checked and provide proof of sterilisation. Failure to comply must carry adequate penalties. What about kittens sold that are too young to be sterilised? - Unrealistic to think all future owners will comply with sterilisation. What agency is responsible of checking these outlets if DLG Animal Welfare Unit is disbanded? Will it be handed to LG?
<b>Errol James</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	no other known costs. Will result in fewer strays and nuisance cats	
<b>Estelle Burrows</b>						
<b>Esther Scott</b>	No					expensive. Pensioners cannot afford and should not be denied companionship.
<b>Evelyn and Bill McLarty</b>						
<b>Faye Dalton</b>						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Fiona Pond</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	Stop breeding of unwanted kittens which may then be dumped and increase feral population. Benefit wildlife through reduction of feral cats.	
<b>Frances Stanley</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Reduction in nuisance cats (fighting, spraying, roaming) and number of strays. Reduce cats joining feral population and assist in reducing impacts to wildlife.	Fully support sterilisation for those who are not registered breeders
<b>Frank Lawrence</b>	Yes	Yes		Amnesty period. 12 months	LG's will encounter additional costs and have to invest time into monitoring compliance. Cat owners need to provide proof of sterilisation in writing.	registered breeders exempt from sterilisation. sterilisation should inc points of sale from pet stores, breeders, animal groups and pounds. all commercial sale outlets must be checked and provide proof of sterilisation, failure to comply carry penalties. Kittens under 12 wks not sold until sterilised
<b>Frank Peczka</b>						
<b>Frog Doctor</b>	Yes					
<b>Gale MacLachlan</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	sterilisation is not expensive but discounts for pensioners and others could be offered. Sterilisation improves cats health and reduces population of semi/feral cats	
<b>Garry Edgar</b>	No					Other states that have mandatory desexing show no improvement in euthanasia rates. In ACT the rates actually went up.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Gary and Claudine Westacott						
Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls	Yes	Yes				
Gaye Reeves						
Gaylene Proud	Yes	Yes				
Gayrth Santen						
Geoff Barrett						
Geoffrey Harris						
George Gatenby						
Geraldton-Greenough	Yes	Yes		6 months		
Gillian Tedder						
Glen Stockley	Yes	Yes		asap		
Glennis McDonald	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Once cat is sterilised which is a major cost, registration should be kept to a minimum. Monitoring that owners have complied is a cost, which will be a task for LG and agencies but in long term the workload will decrease	
Graeme Bride						
Graham Eogson	Yes		Yes		benefits cats health. Reduces unwanted litters.	strong penalties for non compliance
Grecian Sandwell	Yes	Yes			hope numbers will decline thus achieving policy objective	
Greg Street	Yes	Yes		6 months	prevents breeding with feral cats	
Gregg Hardwood	Yes			12 months plus	will increase value of kittens and reduce free kittens. Will lead to less strays, semi owned and feral cats	cats to become valued pets
Guat						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Guy Jordan Collins	Yes	Yes			Effective method to curb breeding	
Hamilton Stott	Yes	Yes		asap		
Harriet Olney	Yes	Yes				
Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher	Yes	Yes				
Hazel Hughes	Yes		Yes	1 year	financial support must be given to those who cannot afford. Costs for large breeders and those with multi cat households will increase. Concern that the genetic strength of domestic cat will be compromised. Proposal acknowledges other states with Cat laws have not been effective. Laws will be costly with limited effectiveness.	Liase with vets as to correct age for sterilisation.
Heather Old						
Heidi Sowerby	Yes		Yes			health issues with sterilising older cats. If retrospective may increase dumping.
Helen and Terry Cooke	Yes	Yes		6 months		
Helen Barnes	Yes	Yes		as soon as practicle	benefits to fauna and general community	
Helen Griffiths	No					
Helen Lovitt-Raison	Yes	Yes			less harrassment from stray tom cats	
Helen McMillan	Yes		Yes	6-12 months	less unwanted litters, less strays.	will moggies still be available?
Helen Potkura	Yes	Yes		1 year	Huge benefit to desexing all pet cats. Once again fear of mass dumping. Needs subsidised.	
Helen Vos	Yes	Yes		1 year		

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Helena Mills						
Helena Zielinska						
Herbie Whittall						
Hilary Johnston	Yes	Yes				
Howard Duff	Yes		Yes	6 months plus		
Ian Hartley	Yes	Yes		3 months	Male \$85. Female \$140. MC at time of surgery \$44 - later date is \$60. Cost will be at start of program but results will come immediately. If in first 3 months costs can be covered, owners will take up offer.	
Ian Watson	Yes	Yes			Exclusions for registered breeders. Must be policed	
Ian Knox	Yes		Yes		Sterilisation is once off and affordable.	Pet shops need to be targetted with sterilisation requirements.
Ieva Bergman	Yes	Yes				
Ilona Jaksic	Yes		Yes	1 year		
Ione Atkinson						offer subsidise for low income earners and pensioners
J Grebert	Yes	Yes				
J Rolandi	Yes	Yes		12 months	Vet costs may be more for older cats and there are health risks. Vets will benefit from added business. Reduction in unwanted litters, depending on compliance.	substantial cost reduction for low income earners needed
J.E Soutar						
Jackie Carbro	Yes	Yes				

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Jacky Lambert</b>	Yes		Yes	Vets advice should be followed.	Always costs, need to consider over all value. Benefits inc reduction of unwanted cats and reduction in nuisances to wildlife etc	cost of sterilisation should be as low as possible to encourage compliance.
<b>Jacqueline Morgan</b>	Yes	Yes		1 yr		
<b>Jan Savage</b>	Yes			asap recognising the size of our state for all cat non-breeders		registered breeders exempt from sterilisation
<b>Jane Wedgwood</b>	Yes		Yes	none	Limit number of unwanted kittens	
<b>Janelle Graham</b>						
<b>Janet Adams</b>	Yes		Yes	sterilising older cats may be detrimental to health - vets advice needed	Sterilisation is a one off cost and very affordable, some LG have already seen benefit in subsidising sterilisation so there is obviously a cost/benefit seen here	Sterilised cats make better companions. Unsterilised cats are more likely to annoy non cat owners with fighting and night time calling.
<b>Janet Elwood</b>						
<b>Janet Robson</b>	Yes		Yes		once off cost	
<b>Janet Williams</b>	Yes	Yes		3 months	Not cheap but prevents prolific and unwanted breeding. Tend to roam, fight and spray less	All my vet bills have been of a result of a fight with a particular tom cat. Repeat offenders (owners of them) should have to pay vet bills of victim cat.
<b>Janette Lionels</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		
<b>Janice Fletcher</b>	Yes		Yes	asap	sterilisation is expensive and may discourage owners to own a cat.	
<b>Janice Moy</b>	Yes	Yes		asap		



## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Jannette Ruth Haight	Yes	Yes		6 months		
Jasmin Galati	Yes		Yes		sterilisation is a one off cost and very affordable, infrastructure to police it is a cost. Achieves policy objectives by improving cat health, decreased conflict in community, fewer cats being euthanised and will target overpopulation of unwanted cats	Health issues with sterilising older cats
Jay Innes	Yes		Yes	a fair time period to prevent people dumping cats	sterilisation is a one off and affordable. Infrastructure to police is a cost. Strategies should be developed for low income earners	Sterilisation improves health, results in less feral and nuisance cats and will target overpopulation issue.
JE Pearce	Yes		Yes			
Jean Barclay	Yes	Yes				
Jean D'Alonzo	Yes		Yes	6 months, after awareness campaign	Reduce unwanted cats. Reduce dumping in bushland where feral pop will explode.	Inc sterilisation cost in price of cat. Cat sterilised before sold or pays upfront and books in at later date for sterilisation. Better management for retail outlets needed.
Jeanette Spiller	Yes	Yes				
Jehangir R Mehta	Yes	Yes		6 months		
Jelena May	Yes	Yes		2 years		
Jemma Sivyver	Yes		Yes	3 years		
Jennifer Gates	Yes		Yes	1 year	sterilisation is a one off cost and is a reasonable price. LG can encourage compliance by subsidising for low income earners. Will reduce stray population and euthanasia rates	
Jennifer Irvine	Yes	Yes				
Jennifer Le Quesne						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Jennifer Sparrows	Yes	Yes		2-3 years		Concern with breeders having market control and disappearance of "moggies"
Jessica Sheppard	Yes	Yes				
Jill Kennedy	Yes		Yes	time to allow vets to cope	prevent disease and unwanted cats. Significant costs involved in policing. Pensioners need financial support.	
Jill Thomas	Yes	Yes				Age limit when someone buys a cat or dog. Not allowed to buy during holiday season as a present.
Jim Anderson	Yes	Yes		asap	this is a necessary proposal and will achieve objectives	
Jim Brazil	Yes	Yes			eventually cat numbers will drop and complaints will be reduced	
Jim Lewin						
Jim Maclean	Yes	Yes		1 year	result in substantial depletion of unwanted litters. Currently owners often dump unwanted litters instead of bearing the cost of euthanasia. With less litters born, the number of new feral cats will reduce. State govt could provide subsidy's to assist sterilisation as cost may be a prohibiting factor for owners.	The Town of Vincent provides sterilisation subsidy and in the financial year of 10/11 will provide MC subsidy if done at time of sterilisation
Jim McInerney	No	No			Vets will benefit financially.	
Jo Donnellan	Yes			2 years	will foster responsible cat ownership and reduce feral cat numbers.	
Jo Gunning	Yes	Yes				
Joan Hatton	Yes				Sterilised at 3-4 months	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Joan Payne	Yes	Yes		6 months	one off cost which has enormous benefits	benefits to Govt agencies who are faced with large feral cat population. Restrict to 3 cats p/household
Joanne Quelch	Yes	Yes				
Joanne Wakefield	Yes		Yes		discount sterilisation encourages compliance. Reduce euthanasia rates. Improves cats health.	stops male cats straying
Joe Froudist						
Joe Potter						
John and Michelle Tan	Yes		Yes		sterilisation is once off and affordable. Low income earners to receive discounts from LG. Will reduce overpopulation and associated problems	detrimental health benefits to sterilise older cats.
John Collingridge						
John Keyworth						
John McKinney	Yes		Yes			
John Mitchell						
John Richardson	Yes	Yes			cost to owners with one or more cats may cause financial difficulty and be prohibitive causing them to dump. State Govt needs to work with Vets to promote efficiencies and economies of scale which should result in lower costs for cat owners	cost of sterilisation to owners
John Rippey						
John Schindler	Yes	Yes		6 months	over time will reduce feral and stray	
John White						
Johnathon Noonan	Yes	Yes		12 months		

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Jon Behrens</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	cost of sterilisation can be expensive. 50% of cost should be subsidised from money collected from registration fees of cats that are already sterilised.	
<b>Josette Hill</b>						
<b>Joshua Mildenthal</b>	Yes			6-12 months	Those who already have unsterilised cats may see it as yet another cost	Subsidised to allow discounts to those who sterilise within first few months of legislation.
<b>Joy Verrinder</b>	Yes				require all pounds/shelters etc to desex and MC all animals prior to sale. Desex at 8 weeks (safe and no long term effects) early age desexing training for Vets and education to public is needed	responsible breeding legislation. Require anyone wanting to breed to obtain a permit. Breeders have code of practice. Requires kittens to be desexed prior to sale at 10 weeks. Cost of desex and MC will be included in sale price.
<b>Judith Beer</b>	Yes		Yes	people need time to save	sterilisation is best for cats health. Many people will still ignore this even with legislation.	
<b>Judy Clarke</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Judy Giles</b>						
<b>Judy Kozak</b>	Yes		Yes	long time period. People may dump cats rather than sterilise	Cost - sterilisation if one off and affordable. Infrastructure to police sterilisation is a cost. Sterilisation will improve cat health, decrease conflict in communities, fewer stray and nuisance and decrease euthanasia	
<b>Julia B Loubser</b>	Yes		Yes	6 months		vets should offer discount sterilisation during phase in period.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Julia Brennan</b>	Yes		Yes	allow vets to met demand	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Low income earners to receive discounts. Enforcement will cost. Will reduce overpopulation and associated problems	sterilisation of older cats can be detrimental to health
<b>Julianne Waldock</b>	No					early sterilisation (8weeks) can result in health problems. Unless significant incentive or subsidy people will not comply and policing it will not be cost effective.
<b>Julie Badger</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Julie Crewe</b>						
<b>Julie Hickling</b>	Yes	Yes		immediately	reduction of feral cats would be beneficial	
<b>Justine Ward</b>						
<b>Kanak Ranjan Ray</b>	Yes	Yes		subject to age and health	breeder registration	
<b>Kara Breitenback</b>	Yes	Yes		3 months or less		discount on sterilisation for those who can prove financial hardship and service animals
<b>Karen Dent</b>	Yes	Yes			less abandoned and stray cats. Reduction of stress placed on animal welfare groups. Less abuse and underfed cats.	
<b>Karen McEniry</b>						
<b>Karen Price</b>	Yes	Yes		immediately		sterilisation at point of sale.
<b>Karen Whitney</b>	Yes	Yes		fair time to allow the demand to be met	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Costs reduced for low income earners. Achieves policy objectives	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Karl						
Kate Taylor						
Kate Sutherland						
Kath Jacka	Yes			1 year		
Katherine Gaschk	Yes	Yes			costs to owner are part of responsible ownership. Benefit to community and environment due to reduction in unwanted litters	cat laws should mirror dog laws.
Katie Nelson	Yes		Yes	fair time period to allow people to save	Prevents unwanted litters, improves cat health, reduces euthanasia rates, reduces feral cats	limits should be in place on how many litters breeders can have p/year. Breeders should be qualified.
Katy Jaksic	Yes		Yes		cheap and some organisations offer subsidies. Will reduce unwanted cats, feral cats and animal cruelty	may be detrimental to sterilise older cats
Kaziah Dawes	Yes	Yes		1 year	Compulsory sterilisation/regulation will severely inhibit unnecessary abandonment of unwanted kittens and restrict reckless breeding. Breeding should be left to registered, licenced breeders who maintain and comply with national standard of breeding code of ethics	
Keiran McNamara	Yes				regulation of licenced breeders	
Keith Anderson	Yes		Yes			Unreasonable to expect current owners to sterilise. Especially elderly cats.
Kelly Roman	Yes	Yes			improves health of cats	exemptions for senior cats as it can be a health risk. Measures to ensure that moggy cats continue.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Kerrie McKennay</b>	Yes		Yes		reduces cat numbers, reduces nuisances, reduces wandering cats	
<b>Kerry Luscombe</b>						
<b>Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards</b>	Yes		Yes		reduce uncontrolled breeding, euthanasia rates, roaming and nuisance. Increases the capacity for RSPCA etc to take care of animals in need, rather than feeding and fostering multitudes of kittens.	if its retrospective will increase abadonment. Subsidise low income earners
<b>Kestri Seksel</b>	No				Mandatory sterilisation not shown to be effective in other parts of Australia and the world. Only results in waste of animal management resources and creates a huge financial impost for LG's. Will do nothing to reduce number of unowned cats. Incrase costs of animal management within LG and reduce non compliance in areas of registration, MC and vaccinations.	Reliable data to be collected before decision made about sterilisation. Management strategies to be developed to manage stray and feral cats and offspring.
<b>Kevin Davidson</b>	Yes	Yes			will reduce unwanted kittens	
<b>Kim Hardaker</b>	Yes		Yes		one off cost. Benefits cats health. Reduces unwanted litters.	sterilisatoin may be harmful for older cats
<b>Kim Muggridge</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Kim Stannard Brown</b>	Yes		Yes	12 months	costs subsidised. Will result in less unwanted kittesn dumped.	
<b>Kimberley Post</b>						
<b>Kira Tracey</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Kirsten Tullis</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Kirsty Connell</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>KL Parry</b>	Yes	Yes		asap	MC, registration and sterilisation will solve problem of mass euthanasia. As well as residents not having their properties fowled and it is vital to stop the feral population from increasing	Containment of cat population is vital for our wildlife. Consider feral dog problem - registration hasn't stopped it but without legislation there would probably many more.
<b>Kris Warren</b>						
<b>Kristy Rumble</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		
<b>L Allison</b>	Yes		Yes	consult with vets	sterilisation a once off cost and affordable. Subsidies for lower income earners. Means fewer unwanted kittens, less problem with cats wandering	female and male cats must be the same price to sterilise as if they are different costs females tend to be bought less.
<b>Laurel Stones</b>						
<b>Laurina Bullen</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		
<b>Lee Fontanini</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Lee Rowley</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months max	Town of Cambridge, with Cat Haven, operates a Cat Sterilisation Subsidy scheme. Cat Haven charges Town \$130 to sterilise female cats and \$105 for males. Residents only pay \$40 for females and \$35 for males. The balance is subsidised by Town. In 09/10 financial year, only 4212 in subsidies were paid. If made compulsory will add to costs of running scheme but benefits outweigh the costs.	
<b>Leigh Smith</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		
<b>Len Abbatt</b>	No					
<b>Leonie Vianna</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		



## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Lesley Brocker						
Lesley Cheheney	Yes		Yes			
Lexi Jury	Yes		Yes			
Liddell Williams	Yes	Yes		immediate		Cat Haven offers \$50 sterilisation to low income earners. Cat Haven sterilises from 8 weeks old (1kg) but has surgical/anesthesia risks. 6 months is standard
Lillian Young	Yes		Yes	dangerous to sterilise old cats	Sterilisation a one off cost, pensioner and low income earners should apply for discount.	
Linda Davies						
Linda Johnson						
Linda Moffat Ray	Yes	Yes		subject to age and health	breeder registration	
Ling Hoffman						
Lisa Anstis	Yes		Yes		sterilisation is once off and affordable. Costs reduced for low income earners. Infrastructure to police is a cost. Achieves policy objectives	older cats should not be sterilised and some people may dump cats to avoid costs
Lisa Conn						
Lisa Stevens						
Lisette Vis						
Liz Allison	Yes					

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Liz Bushby</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Reduce backyard and accidental breeding, lessening number of unwanted kittens. In time will ensure cats are only bred by qualified breeders. Sterilisation of tom cats will reduce fighting and spraying.	Fully support increased controls being introduced. It is in cats best interest.
<b>Lorna Stewart</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Lorraine June Judge</b>						
<b>Lucie Nicholls</b>						worried about compulsory sterilisation, would it be possible if you wanted to let your cat breed just once?
<b>Lucille Fisher</b>	No					
<b>Lucy Simon</b>	Yes		Yes	fair time to allow the demand to be met	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Low income earners to receive discounts. Will reduce overpopulation and associated problems	
<b>Lukas Baker</b>	Yes	Yes			reduces overall number of cats only allowing registered breeders to have kittens for sale	
<b>Lyn Windram</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	as for Gosnells: cost borne by owner. Cats re homed by LG will be subject to MC, sterilisation and sale to recover costs.	
<b>Lynda Pragnell</b>	Yes	Yes			save money and heartache of putting them down	
<b>Lynn MacLaren</b>	Yes				required for all cats between 6 weeks old and 4 months old	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Lynne Davis</b>	Yes	No		1 year	All pets sterilised. Shire Offices to give vouchers for percentage of costs to make progame work. Not expected for family with 3 cats to suddenly find \$170 p/cat for sterilisation.	
<b>M Bacich</b>						
<b>Madalene Wallace</b>	Yes		Yes	fair time to allow the demand to be met	Sterilisation is a one off cost and affordable, it achieves policy objectives by improving cat health, decrease conflicts in community, decreasing environmental issues, fewer nuisance cats being euthanaised and it will target the issue of overpopulation.	
<b>Marcus Good</b>	Yes				sterilisation is once off and affordable. Improves health of animals, decrease unwanted litters, strays, euthanasia rates etc	sterilising older cats have health issues and cause people to dump their cats
<b>Marcus Owen</b>	Yes			minimal legal age - exemption for registered breeders	Sterilisation cost bourne by owner. Unclaimed impounded cat euthanaised.	
<b>Margaret Anne Ryan</b>	Yes		Yes	3 years	Cost to low income earners	Subsidies to low income earners
<b>Margaret Bush</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		
<b>Margaret Huggins</b>						
<b>Margaret Pearce</b>						
<b>Margaret Philson</b>	No			owners choice		cats provide mental health benefits to people as companions

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Margaret Young</b>	Yes	Yes			Reduce number of unwanted abandoned cats, reduces harm to wildlife and make people actually take care of their cats	
<b>Margot Tobin</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	reduction of unwanted animals. Sterilised cats are less likely to cause problems. Subsidies to be available for low income earners.	this should be priority to reduce large number of cats dumped and destroyed each year.
<b>Maria McAdam</b>						
<b>Marilyn Clark Murphy</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Marion Vince</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	cost of sterilisation. Reduces strays, euthanasia rates and cost. Reduces environmental impact.	cats over 7 years exempt. Council should subsidise
<b>Mark Hackleton</b>						
<b>Mark O'Donnell</b>						
<b>Mark Studd</b>	Yes		Yes	fair time to allow the demand to be met	one off cost and affordable. Policing it will be a cost. Strategies could be implemented to reduce costs. Sterilisation will greatly reduce unwanted kittens	
<b>Mark Thompson</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		
<b>Marra Apgar</b>	Yes		Yes		Non sterilised cats cause more nuisance - fighting and mating. Spread diseases. Owners would therefore have fewer costs to pay at Vets.	Rural authorities to be able to waive compulsory sterilisation where no regular Vet is present. Education on keeping cats indoors - graphic ads that show the harm cats do to wildlife. Concern for dom cats losing genetic variation is possible in long run - Cat breeders should be able to choose to breed moggies.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Mary Thompkins</b>						Must be enforced prior to 6 months of age as cats can be pregnant prior to this. Publication of agencies willing to assist cat owners with cost of desexing is vital.
<b>Mary Townsend</b>	Yes		Yes		Sterilisation is a one off cost. DLG could develop strategies for low income earners	
<b>Matthew Whyte</b>	Yes	Yes			the control of domestic cats that become feral and their effect on the environment	
<b>Max Bazzica</b>						
<b>Megan Dare</b>	Yes	Yes		1-2 yrs	vets to offer competitive prices for sterilisation, cost to include MC. Benefit of reducing euthanasia rates, impact on environment, nuisances.	
<b>Megan Longwill</b>	Yes	Yes			reduction of unwanted population and increase the health and wellbeing of cats	
<b>Melissa Cundy</b>	Yes		Yes	1-3 yrs to allow vets to meet demand	Cost of sterilisation a one off and affordable. Cost of infrastructure to police. Sterilisation achieves objectives - improves cat health, reduces environmental issues, nuisance and stray cats, and fewer cats euthanased.	
<b>Melissa Smith</b>	Yes	Yes		Depending on if an initial free sterilisation clinic is introduced	Lower stray/feral population. Free Cat Clinic should be introduced in conjunction with legislation. Allow 3 month grace period. Sterilisation is expensive.	High Wycombe Vet Clinic offers reduced cost to sterilisation of male cats every Tuesday. Approach clinics such as this for Free Cat Clinic.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Merv Williams</b>						
<b>Michael Duckett</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Michael Fitzgerald</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Michael Mills-Borley</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Not cheap, need some incentives from State. Most effective way to control cats along with education	
<b>Michael O'Connor</b>						
<b>Michael Warwick</b>						
<b>Michaela Collingwood</b>	Yes	Yes			Yes - costs will be minimal	
<b>Michaela Newman</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Michelle Clarke</b>						
<b>Michelle Crowe</b>						
<b>Michelle Pierce</b>	Yes		Yes	5 years	Reduction in the number of kittens dumped, registered breeders will be held accountable for the quality of care they provide	Sterilisation will drive up cost of kittens and reduce supply this needs to be monitored. Exhorbant prices are not fair. Regulations should be put in place for breeders.
<b>Michelle Prince</b>	Yes	Yes		Phased in		
<b>Mick O'Regan</b>	No		Yes	2-3 years	Compulsory sterilisation will assist councils in the following - reduction in wandering, reduction in litters, reduce the risk of infectious disease, reduce urination on others properties and scratch damage to cars and reduce noise complaints generated by mating at night	proposal has potential however research to data is not conclusive that sterilisation will reduce feral and unwanted cats. LG should promote responsible ownership, encouraging owners to sterilise and confine cats.
<b>Mick Wainwright</b>						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Mike Butcher</b>	Yes		Yes	12 months	Currently clients need feral cat control every 3 months costing \$3k - \$27k per program. This due to recruitment of strays into feral pop. Reduction in breeding of dom cat will reduce strays, in turn reduce ferals.	Believes stray and dom cat play an important role in maintenance of feral cat pop near towns.
<b>Mike Cox</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months		
<b>Mike Webster</b>						
<b>Mike Pimm</b>	Yes					
<b>Miranda Coffey</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	health of old cats could be compromised if sterilisation for them mandatory. However legislation is retrospective perhaps vets could issue medical base exemptions.	although compulsory sterilisation has shown not to reduce the semi owned population, any reduction in unwanted kittens can only be of benefit. Compulsory sterilisation is integral to shifting public mindset towards greater responsibility for their cats.
<b>Mort Wignall</b>						
<b>Mrs Wilkinson</b>	No				Do not support although fees should be higher for unsterilised cat to ensure only registered breeders have non sterilised	more regulation of breeders needed for dogs and cats
<b>Mundaring</b>						
<b>Nancy Dowling</b>	Yes	Yes			although expensive, it is a one off cost	the cost may deter irresponsible owners
<b>Nancy O'Hearn</b>						
<b>Nancy Tang</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	overall reduction of unwanted cats reducing pressure on animal welfare organisations.	
<b>Natalie Bell</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months		
<b>Natalie Mason</b>	No					

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Natalie Rogers</b>	Yes					
<b>Natasha Atkins</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Nedlands</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year		
<b>Nick Bursey</b>						
<b>Nicky Kain</b>	Yes	Yes			discount sterilisation for community cats. Some countries have mobile sterilisation vans which visit problematic communities and sterilise local cats and dogs for free. Role out a scheme for low income earners. Approach private sector for use of vans and Murdoch uni vet students could do it as a teaching opportunity	
<b>Nicole Stewart</b>						
<b>Niel Mitchell</b>						
<b>Nigel Bancroft</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	Long term reduction of unwanted cats result in cat ownership having a cost which will result in greater control on disposal and reduced breeding	
<b>Norma Coley</b>	Yes	Yes		immediate	Cats not native to Aus. Irresponsible owners allow them to wander. Compulsory rego and sterilisation will discourage ownership of cats except those who are responsible.	Aus has strong commitment against importing non native animals except zoos. Same principal should be for non native cats.
<b>Northam</b>	Yes	Yes		12-36 months		
<b>Olena Shulyayeva</b>	Yes		Yes			Hard to achieve sterilisation for all cats. At least for those born after - will make a huge difference.
<b>Oliver Sellars</b>						



## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Olivia Moriae</b>						
<b>Owen Thomas</b>						
<b>P Watts</b>	Yes	Yes		3 years		
<b>Paddy Strano</b>	Yes		Yes		Sterilisation will reduce number of unwanted cats and their impact on native fauna	
<b>Pam Masters</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year		
<b>Pamela Lanigan</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		
<b>Pamela Townsend</b>						
<b>Pascoe Durtanovich</b>	Yes	Yes		3-6 months		
<b>Patricia Baird</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	improves cats health, fewer nuisances and other issues such as environment issues. Targets overpopulation.	
<b>Patricia Bensley</b>	Yes	Yes		One year	No unwanted kittens born. No strays causing environmental damage. Fewer cats to pester neighbours.	Cat owners should not get subsidised sterilisation unless dog owners get same.
<b>Patricia Lea</b>						
<b>Patricia Mead</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Stop overbreeding and 'free kittens'	
<b>Patricia Townsend</b>	Yes		Yes	fair time to allow the demand to be met	Sterilisation is a one off cost. DLG could develop strategies for low income earners. It will target the issue of overpopulation	Make people responsible.
<b>Patricia Zanich</b>	Yes	Yes		2 yrs	no unwanted and dumped cats.	
<b>Paul and Kerri Hodder</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Paul Gamblin</b>	Yes	Yes				sterilisation at point of sale
<b>Paul Loring</b>	Yes	Yes				Breeders licensed (with fee) and facilities Gov inspected

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Paul McManus</b>	Yes					long term solution. Sterilisation at point of sale. Make pet shops, owners and advertisers (private) legally responsible. So long as cats can be obtained unsterilised there will be no reduction in numbers.
<b>Paul Nayler</b>	Yes		Yes	6 months		
<b>Paul Smithson</b>						
<b>Paula Gee</b>	Yes		Yes	6-12 months	compulsory sterilisation reduces cost to animal welfare organisations as there are less unwanted kittens. It's a one off cost. Strategies to help low income earners, perhaps include it in their rates. Benefit to the environment due to less strays. There is a cost to police it, but it could be incorporated into rates too.	extensions granted for low income earners
<b>Pauline Cope</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Penny Lee</b>	No					
<b>Peter Abetz</b>	Yes					sterilised at point of sale. Or redeemable voucher issued. (siamese cannot be desexed until 12 weeks)

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Peter Carrie</b>	Yes	Yes			cost borne by owners. If legislation requires cats that are rehomed to comply it will place cost burden on LG and may deter purchaser from going to shelters etc. due to cost of cat. Benefits could be reduce in unwanted, unclaimed cats in community but no reduction is feral cat pop as stated in Consult paper	
<b>Peter de Groot</b>						
<b>Peter Louden</b>	Yes	Yes			benefits are huge for wildlife protection.	
<b>Peter Marsh</b>						
<b>Peter Nicholson</b>	Yes	Yes			no	
<b>Peter Sazanov</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Peter Watson</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Peter White</b>	No				reduction in cat numbers, less complaints and loss of wildlife.	depend on the response from cat owners. Consider a later introduction
<b>Phil Avery</b>	Yes	Yes		18 months		
<b>Phil Cleaver</b>	Yes	Yes		asap		
<b>Phil Hopwood</b>	No	No		only support voluntary sterilisation		My pets are sterilised but as a responsible adult I do not want that decision legislated away from me.
<b>Phil Tucak</b>	Yes					
<b>Philip Goad</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Phillip Rowe</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Registered breeders exempt. Council has Cat Sterilisation program running with local Vets. Council contributes \$30 per sterilisation. Has been running for 6 years - neighbouring councils have taken this up.	
<b>Plantagenet</b>	Yes					
<b>R.J Wainwright</b>	Yes	Yes		at maturity	cost of sterilisation. Benefit is control of unwanted cats	persons dumping unsterilised cats to be fined \$1000. Multiple dumping \$2000.
<b>Raana Scott</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years	Reduce number of ferals. Make people realise cats require care and dedication	
<b>Rainer Ropke</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	costs/benefits - no achieve objectives - yes	State or Local subsidies for sterilisation and if owners prove they are unable to pay then should be available through Cat Haven or Cat Sterilisation Society.
<b>Rani Stewart</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Subsidised sterilisation for pensioners, concession card funded through registration fees etc	
<b>Ray Errington</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months education lead in	cost borne by owners, may cause financial distress.	if MC is adopted as ID then no need for T&C. any cat not MC classes as unowned and either rehomed after MC and sterilisation or euthanased.
<b>Raymond Hammond</b>	Yes	Yes		1 year	reduces number of unwanted cats euthanased. LG to offer subsidies for low income families. Registered breeders to hold a licence and be exempt from sterilisation.	
<b>Raymond Mell</b>	Yes	Yes				

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Raymond Phillip Giblett</b>	No				average owner will not pay cost	
<b>Rebecca Simmonson</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months	Animals should not be sold unsterilised. Cost of purchase to include sterilisation and forms part of ownership costs	legislation to ensure mass kitten breeding does not occur under inhumane circumstances (as is the case for dogs currently)
<b>Renee Cusworth</b>	Yes		Yes	allow vets to met demand	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Low income earners to receive discounts. Will reduce overpopulation and associated problems	if retrospective may cause dumping
<b>Rhonda Summersby</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	Proposal will not achieve objectives as who will enforce? LG won't and if they do then rates will go up and why should non cat owners be penalised.	If MC and sterilisation are compulsory they should be free
<b>Ric Turner</b>						
<b>Richard Harris</b>	Yes		Yes	6 months	limit the amount of cats therefore reduce euthanasia numbers	
<b>Rick Walduck</b>	Yes		Yes	critical to address semi owned rather than owned (MC and registered)	benefits of improving health of animals, less fighting and straying resulting in less accidents. Cost is one off. Achieve objective? Prime driver is large number of animals at welfare shelters for euthanasia or rehoming often unsterilised whose fundamental source is semi owned cats who people feed but dont register, chip or sterilise. education and change in public attitude is needed.	look at 'Whos for Cats' campaign in Vic. Encourage early desexing pre breeding (4 months old), harness resource capability of Vet network - do not embrace sterilisation at 6-12 weeks as analgesic administration under 12 weeks is contra-indicated by drug suppliers leading to negative animal welfare outcomes.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Ricky Burges</b>	Yes					
<b>Rob Hanbury</b>						
<b>Rob Schorer</b>						
<b>Robun Stibbs</b>	No					Do not support compulsory sterilisation as families with children should be able to experience the whole process of caring and raising litters. Breeders of cats should not be left to just breeders.
<b>Robyn Griffin</b>						
<b>Robyn Wright</b>						
<b>Rod Cleary</b>						need to consider the species perpetuation
<b>Rodney and Sofia Carson</b>	Yes		Yes			Would prefer all cats sterilised but may cause financial hardship and as a result cats will be dumped.
<b>Roger B Perkins</b>	No				all kittens to be sold with pre paid sterilisation voucher, redeemable by new owner for up to 6 months after sale.	reports show compulsory desexing hasn't worked
<b>Rolf Gentsch</b>	Yes	Yes		18 months		
<b>Ron Innes</b>	No				Sterilisation should be encouraged by incentives eg reduced registration fees, assistance with meeting sterilisation costs.	
<b>Ron McDavitt</b>	Yes	Yes		3-6 months	If domestic cat problem is under control then chance of eradicating feral cats.	
<b>Ron Scantlebury</b>	Yes	Yes			State Govt subsidy directly to vets via vouchers. Reduce the cost to owners.	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Rory Chapple</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		
<b>Rory Crossland</b>						
<b>Rosalie Corbellini</b>	Yes				Penalties for those not following rules. Those breeding without licence should have very heavy penalties.	
<b>Rosalind Robinson</b>	Yes		Yes	6 months	Cats can bred 3 times p/year so a short phasing in period is essential. A number of councils offer subsidised sterilisation. All councils should do this during the phasing in period to encourage compliance.	Sterilised cats have better health, less roaming and fighting.
<b>Rose van der Waag</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	Rego, MC and sterilisation wont make difference if cats not confined to cat runs. Wildlife will still be killed or mutilated if cats roam.	
<b>Rosemary Donald</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months	All cats sterilised. Reduce antisocial behaviour of unsterilised cats.	
<b>Roslyn Thompson</b>	Yes	Yes		9 months	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Costs reduced for low income earners	
<b>Roz Davies</b>						
<b>Sally Kalejs</b>	Yes		Yes		LG look into helping low income earners with fees	Some owners cannot afford costs and may dump cats
<b>Sandra Baraiolo</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		
<b>Sandra Gent</b>	Yes		Yes	twelve to twelve	Yes. Reduce number of kittens available, euthanasia of healthy cats. Increase responsibility of owners.	Reduce problem of stray and feral cats. It is the only way to improve the situation.
<b>Sandra Hughes</b>						sterilisation prior to sale. Only authorised persons can sell.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Sandra Leach</b>	Yes		Yes	3 years		Existing owners should be encouraged but forcing them is extreme and hard to police.
<b>Sanne Anderson</b>						
<b>Sara La Macchia</b>						
<b>Sara Johnston</b>						
<b>Sarah House</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Sasha Davies</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		
<b>Shane O'Neill</b>						
<b>Shannon MacPherson</b>	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		difficulties with enforcement - will the cats be required to have ear tattoos?
<b>Sharin Oliver</b>						
<b>Sharon Blackman</b>	Yes		Yes	6 months		
<b>Sheila Cockbain</b>	Yes		Yes		compulsory sterilisation will reduce number of unwanted kittens	
<b>Sheila Cowan</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year.	Sterilisation is affordable. Registered breeders exempt. Kittens sold in pet shops sterilised first. DLG to provide subsidies for low income earners. Cost to police - but rangers already collect dogs	If applied to older cats people may dump them.
<b>Sheila Howat</b>	Yes	Yes			benefit to cats health. Diminished costs to LG needing to impound and deal with strays	limit numbers as per dogs
<b>Sheila Pragnell</b>	Yes	Yes			will reduce unwanted cats. Make owners more responsible	Cats are a major problem in Langford area with no restrictions on how many p/household and no rules about keeping in at night.
<b>Shelagh White Robin Hawken</b>	Yes					



## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Sheree Edmondson</b>	Yes		Yes	5 years	additional work to police. In the case of dogs owners who wish to register as sterilised often fail to provide evidence, resulting in more work for LG's.	
<b>Sheri Metternick-Jones</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale</b>	Yes		Yes	3 years	Sterilisation cost bourne by owner. Cats re homed by LG to be MC, sterilised and sold to recover costs.	consider exemption for sterilisation of cats registered with Feline Control Council for purpose of showing or breeding
<b>Shire of Collie</b>	Yes	Yes		12-18 months	agree with cost benefit as per Consult Paper	
<b>Shirley Tilbury</b>	Yes	Yes			Yes. If house contained (cats in attached and closed yards)	
<b>Sirhowy Andrea Noel</b>	Yes		Yes	common sense	Cost kept low, special discounts for low income etc. Educate people on sterilisation eg healing period etc	
<b>Sondra Noonan</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year	Will reduce amount of unwanted kittens	
<b>Sonja Rose</b>	Yes		Yes		sterilisation once off and affordable. DLG to subsidise sterilisation for low income earners. Improves cats helth, less strays and reduces euthanasia rates, target problem of unwanted cats. Cost to police.	People may dump if retrospective. Can be detrimental to older cats health.

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>South Perth</b>	Yes	Yes		6 months following education and advertising.	Sterilisation costs borne by owner. Cats rehomed by LG subject to MC, sterilisation and sale to recover costs. Consultation Paper suggests compulsory sterilisation will reduce strays, unwanted and feral - reducing distress to animals themselves as well as impact on native fauna and costs impacts for community	Cat Legislation provides opportunity to review and align Dog legislation. Include further companion animals - pet pigs, goats, chooks, rabbits and guinea pigs. In support of efficiency and effectiveness there is opportunity to consolidate legislation (inclusive of transitional arrangements) - to deliver consistency, improvement and reduction of red tape in state wide approach to the administration of companion animals
<b>Stef Haywood</b>						
<b>Stephanie Slanzi</b>	Yes				Provide subsidised sterilisation	supports education of owners to sterilise cats. Encourage and reward owners who sterilise.
<b>Steve Cummings</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years	state subsidy for first 2 years	
<b>Steve Vanstan</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months for those born prior		early age desexing at the age of 8 weeks, all cats offered for sale and adoption to be sterilised prior.
<b>Stewart Ford</b>	Yes	No	Yes	Immediately	benefits in reducing number of wildlife deaths are huge and significantly outweigh cost of owning cat	compulsory sterilisation is critical component of legislation
<b>Stewart Lyon</b>	Yes		Yes	1 year		
<b>Stuart David Hynd</b>	Yes	Yes				

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Sue Gleave</b>	Yes	Yes		3 months	Save money and heartache for Cat Haven etc who have to euthanase. Save money and heartache for Wildlife carers who have to care for injured animals as a result of cat attacks.	
<b>Sue Lantzke</b>						
<b>Sue McGuire</b>						
<b>Susan Game</b>	Yes		Yes	2 years		
<b>Suzanne Gulikers</b>	Yes		Yes			
<b>Suzanne Holder</b>	Yes		Yes	1-2 years	cost of free sterilisation clinics	allow phase in period for education, vets to cope and those in difficult financial situation. It can be dangerous for older cats to be sterilised. There should be a free sterilisation clinic for those who already own a cat
<b>Suzanne Peak</b>						
<b>T Atkinson</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Tammy Wilson</b>	Yes	Yes			All cats over 6 months old must be sterilised and MC then we can enforce it. If cat is impounded should not be released until sterilised and MC.	
<b>Tara Reid</b>	Yes	Yes				
<b>Teresa Lees</b>						
<b>Teresa McCarthy</b>	Yes		Yes		sterilisation is once off and is the main part to achieve policy objectives	
<b>Teresa Moy</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		
<b>Thalia O'Sullivan</b>	Yes	Yes		2 years		

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Thomas Alan</b>						
<b>Tim Brokenshire</b>	Yes	Yes		immediate for those aged 4-6 months. Others a 6 month phase in		
<b>Tim Clynych</b>	Yes	Yes		12 months	Cost of sterilisation onerous on cat owners. Especially if owning more than one cat. Long term benefits outweigh cost	
<b>Tim Jones</b>	Yes			12 months	Vet cost, staffing	Registered breeders exempt from sterilisation - but breeders conform to requirements not to allow cats to stray. State Govt. to subsidise sterilisation costs for first year after legislation intro.
<b>Tim Watson</b>						
<b>Toni Shapland</b>						
<b>Tony Douglas Brown</b>						
<b>Tony Williams</b>	Yes		Yes	12 months	Yes. Less kittens dumped/given away for free. Reduces cats fighting, euthanasia in shelters and better health for cats	
<b>Tracey Joynson</b>	Yes		Yes	rules for specific cases (old cats)	Yes but there are organisation that subsidise sterilisation. Sterilisation will stop strays and unwanted litters.	

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Traci Myers	Yes		Yes	allow vets to met demand	sterilisation is once off and affordable. Low income earners to receive discounts from LG. Will reduce overpopulation and associated problems	people may dump older cats before laws come in
Tracy Wealleans	Yes		Yes	1 year	one off cost. Reduces unwanted litters and dumping.	
Trevor Hein	Yes	Yes		asap		
Ursula Adams	Yes					
Ursula Blankenburg	Yes	Yes		6-12 months		do not desex too young
Uta Wicke						
Valerie F Mitchell	Yes	Yes			sterilisation is a one off cost. Discounts for low income earners	old cats where there is a health risk should be exempt
Vaness Roberts						
Vanessa Bowden						
Vicki Thompson	Yes		Yes			
Victoria Murphy	Yes		Yes	immediate		
Virginia Hardaker	Yes		Yes	fair time to allow the demand to be met	LG look into helping low income earners with fees. Over time policy should see an improvement in overall cat health, environmental issues and fewer strays	Cat breeding to be licenced and ensure kittens are not used for further breeding.
W Depiazzi	Yes	Yes				
Warren Bow	Yes		Yes		admin costs, purchasing tags, registration notices, MC scanners, staff training	
Wayne Clarke	Yes	Yes			Sterilisation costs. May deter people from complying. Benefits are considerable - straying males fight and urinate on peoples property	Support sterilisation of cats that are not the subject of registered breeding program
Wendy Brown						

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
Wendy Crawford	Yes		Yes	6 months, after awareness campaign	Reduce unwanted cats. Reduce dumping in bushland where feral pop will explode.	
Wendy J Dallywater	Yes	Yes		12 months	disadvantages - moggie may breed out	
William John Robinson	Yes	Yes				
William Shanklin	Yes	Yes			Reduction in the costs of chasing and collecting feral / semi owned cats	Purposes of legislation can only be fulfilled if pool of feral cats is reduced
William Strong	Yes	Yes			sterilisation improves cats health and decrease problems with unwanted cats in the community	
Winifred Bencich	Yes		Yes	not recommende d for older cats	subsidised for low income earners. Sterilisation improves cats health and reduces number of kittens born	
Xanthi Christidis	Yes		Yes	fair time	Sterilisation is a cost but one off and affordable. DLG should implement stratergies for reducing costs for low income eaners. Sterilisation is important - reduces stray/feral cats, euthanaised cats, nuisances and environmental issues. Improves health of cats.	
Xianwa Niu	Yes		Yes			Cats that arent sterilised should be kept indoors

## Mandatory Sterilisation of Cats and Kittens (Q16-19)

RESPONDENT	16 SUPPORT?	17 WHEN SHOULD COMPULSORY STERILISATION BE INTRODUCED?			18 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	19 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
		<i>On</i>	<i>After</i>	<i>Phased in</i>		
<b>Yvette Vittorio</b>	No	Yes		tricky - needs to be achieved by animal health professionals	sterilisation is not expensive and discounts are offered. Sterilised cats have better health, wander less, less unwanted kittens, less environmental impact. There will be a cost to police. LG could offer incentives and discounts.	
<b>Yvonne Johnson</b>						

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
A Steegstra		
A Taylor		
Adam Hansen		
Adlington Fitzgerald	education campaign will met objectives so long as its widespread and all of soceity understands. Wont happen without legislation.	
Afrovite Nicholson	Pros - Encourage responsible ownership, less abadoned cats and kittens, microchip is lifetime rego. Cons - cost?	Benefits will likely outway negative factors
Alannah Marsh		
Alison Angel		
Alison Driver		
Allen Cooper		
Allison Reid		help for vet costs to anyone requiring it for the first year. Otherwise people will not comply.
Amanda McLennan	do not think education campaign would be heeded.	
Amy Johnson		
Andre Marais	Govt fund environment groups. Govt should put conditions on funds to say each group supports the Govt message that cats need to be controlled. Wildlife carers who have photos of injured animals due to cats be part of campaign. Education campaign to recognise cats are good companions - especially to elderly. Responsible cat ownership is the message.	Pet Shops, RSPCA, Cat Haven must be regulated to stop sale/re homing of cats and kittens. Protection of wildlife is priority.
Andrew Eaton	anything worth a try.	
Andrew Hawdon		
Angela Barns	tv advertisement, more education on cat care	
Angela Tan	education needs legislation	some LG have a curfew for cats, is this going to be part of legislation?
Anika Bricknell		



## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Ann Cuthbert	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Ann Devereaux		Concerned that owned cats may be mistaken for feral if a wide scale of capturing cats takes place. Education campaign should make it clear on what happens to captured cats and how they are returned to owner. How do I deal with people who don't like cats? Can my cat still go outside during the day?
Ann MacGeehan		
Ann Marie Lander	general running costs should come from revenue. Keep campaign short and sweet and non emotive.	
Ann Noble		
Ann Pover		
Ann Proctor	any campaign to be funded by State Govt or LG's to be subsidised. Community and cultural change is required.	Long term media campaign, property targeted could achieve same outcomes as legislation. The discussion paper does not give confidence in achieving desired outcome. Proposals appear to place increasing financial and logistice burden on LG without demonstrated benefits
Annalisa Barrington	No	
Anne Banks-McAllister		
Anne and Dave Stroud	Education pamphlet given to purchaser of cat	
Anne Kent		
Anne Tumak	education with the assistance of vets and welfare organisations, although needs legislation and enforcement too	
Annemarie Lennon	reduce indiscriminate breeding and abadonment; make people consider buying a cat from a shelter	
Anon		
Anonymous		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Anonymous	If you insist on encouraging owners to keep cats on premises then need to educate them how - cat runs, cat retention. Provide Subsidies. Some people will abuse seizure rules to torture cats and owners.	Has concerns regarding allowing trapping and seizure. Cats are difficult to contain and expense to contain. Trapping opens up potential abuse of this privilege - cruelty.
Anonymous	Advertising statewide campaign. Construction of catteries	State wide Campaign funded by State Govt. Subsidies for construction and improvement of existing catteries and rehoming organisation
Anonymous		
Anonymous		education packages.
Anonymous		
Anonymous Group	needs legislation too	education in schools, community groups, shopping centres etc
Arlene Somerville	Should not cost LGs	
Arthur Thompson		
Astrid Herlihy		
Audrey Gorman		
B Buchanan		
B Mottram		
B Newman		
Barbara Ball		Rangers needed in lower socio economic areas as they often don't sterilise. Dispell the myth that a female should have at least one litter.
Barbara Getty	education campaign is essential to achieve objectives. Campaign should utilise behaviour change principals utilised in Community Based Social Marketing (eg. See <a href="http://www.cbsm.com">www.cbsm.com</a> ) and be in partnership with LG. Partnering with LG will ensure effectiveness as local knowledge and resources can be deployed.	No
Barbara Marshall		
Barbara Morphet		
Barbara Triggs	Education will help motivate compliance. Legislation is however, required.	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Barbara Woods		
Barbara Zuegg	if not run centrally through the state will put significant costs to LG and may not be implemented effectively	
Barry & Jean L.M. Hicks		
Belinda Forbes	Education Campaign would be good	
Bella Bamford		
Belle Connor		
Ben Lullfitz		
Bernard Simpson		
Bernice Bernard		
Betsy Cordon Cokram	hard to gauge impact of education as no incentive to control their cats	
Betty and Brian Thoroughgood		
Beverley Bridgland	education essential.	
Beverly Spencer		
Biddy Myres	advise same as dogs	
Bill Adams		
Blake Hill		
Bob Jeffreys		
Bob Maumill		
Bonnie James		
Boyd Elizabeth		
Breanne Brown		
Brian Aldrich		
Brian and Sheila Peet	education and financial responsibility eg penalties is crucial for success of legislation.	resources allocated to educating vets on problems created by semi owned cats to bring them on side with the issue.
Brian Blechynden	Difficult to achieve with education and legislation	
Brian Callander		
Brian French		
Brian Mead	cost of education is necessary to achieve results. Needs legislation aswell.	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Brian Ottley		
Bronwyn Bell		
C Ayling		
Carissa Hunt	legislation is required by education will benefit.	
Carl Gosper	should be some education but needs legislation	
Carla Beattie	TV advertising the benefits of sterilisation. Address myths such as cats should have one litter.	
Carol Biddulph	education and assistance rather than seizure and destroy. Nothing is achieved through punishment.	
Carol Hudson	an education campaign that improves attitudes towards accepting personal responsibility for a pet and disposing of it when it becomes unwanted would be of greater value than bullying the community with legislation.	
Carol Richards		
Carol Young	Education campaign to inform community of need for legislation and what the new controls are inc fees and charges will be vital for the success of new legislation. Ensuring people understand will gain their support and participation	
Carol-Ann Grazier		
Carole Galli		
Carole Paterson		
Caroline Franklin		
Carolyn Waterhouse		
Carolyn Webley-Hurrell		
Cassandra Houghton	Education may help although it is the males in society who have the greatest difficulty agreeing to sterilisation - no idea how to go about changing their collective opinions	
Cat Alliance of Australia	education to adults and children to reverse the idea that pets are disposable and that there is help for sterilisation. People don't realise the number of dogs and cats being euthanased, education will inform and shock them.	clamp down of kitten farms who have 50-80 queen cats breeding similar to puppy farms. To do this you need to inspectorate powers other than RSPCA who have failed in this area.

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Cat Owners Association</b>		
<b>Cat Sterilisation Society</b>		
<b>Caterina McKenzie</b>	Need advertisement to explain why legislation important and emphasise damage cats do to environment. Educate the public prior to owning. Explain how property has to be modified to keep cat on premises eg cat runs	Cats to be confined to cat run and indoors at night. Only certain homes are suitable to have cats. Pet owners need to stop being ignorant about the upset their cats cause.
<b>Cathering Gray</b>		
<b>Cathy Josephs</b>	State Govt fund and run education campaigns	
<b>Cecil Joseph Leopold</b>	Education is not enough, people will disregard unless backed by legislation	
<b>Cecil Wells</b>		
<b>Charmain Heath</b>		
<b>Charmaine Jeffrey</b>		
<b>Cheree Anrep</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves.	
<b>Cherry Leonard</b>		
<b>Cheryl Bettridge</b>		
<b>Cheryl Spencer</b>		
<b>Chris Harvey</b>		
<b>Chris Kojan</b>		
<b>Christina Smith</b>	Yes and No	
<b>Christine Bourne</b>	educate and encourage owners to confine. Getting to Zero program developed by AWL Qld.	LG need strategies and infrastructure in place to desex, mC and rehome unclaimed and surrendered cats. This can be required in legislation, can be part of the Domestic Animal Management Plans and incentives offered to LG by State Govt.
<b>Christine Randall</b>	Cats can be sterilised at a very young age. Advise new owners they do not have to wait. Mandatory sterilisation prior to purchase.	
<b>Christine Randall</b>		Educating society to make informed choices is what we should be doing. Cats only allowed outdoors during day - unless in an enclosed cat run.

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Christine Yurovich		
Chrstine Jones		
City of Canning	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
City of Gosnells Copy of Submission to WALGA		
Claire Gich	cat control depends responsible ownership and the effect of legislation is questionable. LG will require human and financial resources to implement it on an ongoing basis. LG animal control officers will need to be resourced, trained and funded as no cost recoverable compenents of the proposed management framework which benefit LG appear.	
Clarissa Calucci		
Clive Cayford		
Col Hartnett		
Colin Fairclough	No - points are clear in Consultation paper	
Colin Hanley		
Corinne		
Craig Douglas		
Cristy Burne		
Cynthia Pickering	Schools could inform children about caring for cats	Rangers could be cat catchers as used to be with dogs
D M Hutchinson	on its own education will not reduce problems	
D&J Hallard		
D. Singor-Turner	Education program including various forms of advertising. Education and training program for Rangers and officers.	Programs funded by State Govt. Not LG.
D. Stan		
Daniel Ranger	Public will not be responsible without legislation.	
Daniel Simms	must be strictly enforced with a heavy penalty	
Daphne Shaw		
Dave and Justine Spencer		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
David Mather	Just get on with education and legislation	
Dawn Baccini		
Dawn Lowe		
Dawn Watson		
Dean and Angela Kelly		Will not work. Cost \$
Dean Unsworth	education is good but legislation is required too	
Debbie Mascaro	without legislation policy objectives not achieved. LG to emphasise benefits to the environment this legislation has.	Media campaigns, dispell myths about cat sterilisation, educate people on the benefits of owning a pet. limit number of cats p/household to 3.
Debbie Naylor		
Deidre Foster		
Deidre Main		
Del Zimmermann	marketing, posters, bill boards - resources may be required in initial planning stages. Education cannot be achieved alone.	
Demelza Dravnieks	is this necessary? Some information is needed	
Dennis and Colleen McDonald	Use education to inform of law. Penalties including confiscation	
Des Aitkin		
Diane Matthews	Education campaign helpful onces legislation is passed. LG could incorporate notices in with other documents i.e 'Is YOUR cat sterilised and registered?' on their current home bills	
Diane Papenfus	funded by State Govt. Annual and ongoing media campaign require prior to legislation and after.	
Dianne Harwood		
Dianne Hunter	community to be educated on benefits of legislation.	
Dianne Nason	no	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Dianne Sawyer</b>	Education program a 3-5 year investment best delivered through local community groups to their own communities, funded by State Govt. Program will need to developed carefully as for many people cats are important pets. LG cannot be expected to carry the cost	
<b>Don Coney</b>		
<b>Donna Cranley</b>	No	
<b>Donna Gilbert</b>		
<b>Doris Campbell</b>		
<b>Doris Johnson</b>		
<b>Doug Holmes</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
<b>Down E Rutter</b>		
<b>E Bekink</b>	Public information packs at the time of buying a cat. TV campaigns	
<b>East Fremantle</b>		
<b>Eda Brackenreg</b>		
<b>Eduardo Farate</b>		
<b>Elena Aza Ponce</b>		
<b>Elizabeth Bailey</b>	benefit - educate cat owners regarding the impact cats have on native fauna	
<b>Ellie Brusasco</b>	Legislation is necessary	Education for young people on caring for pets, sterilisation, vaccinations etc
<b>Elsa Dabbas</b>		
<b>Emer O'Gara</b>	education helpful to ensure people know about changes. Also what to do and who to contact if they find a stray.	
<b>Emma Brown</b>		
<b>Eric Ayers</b>		
<b>Errol James</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	



## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Estelle Burrows</b>	Huge costs associated with sterilisation, MC and rego campaign. If made law expenses involve checking, monitoring and follow up. Paperwork will require additional staff. - Made to look like a revenue based campaign for LG.	
<b>Esther Scott</b>		
<b>Evelyn and Bill McLarty</b>		
<b>Faye Dalton</b>		
<b>Fiona Pond</b>	Must be done at State Level	
<b>Frances Stanley</b>		
<b>Frank Lawrence</b>	Costs associated with education. Animal welfare organisations have been bearing the cost for years. Without legislation will be impossible to achieve objectives.	Education must underpin legislation and should be structured to reach all members of society
<b>Frank Peczka</b>		
<b>Frog Doctor</b>		
<b>Gale MacLachlan</b>	Doesn't matter the cost. Education programs will achieve nothing. Look at 'education programs' for motorist etc - objects are not achieved	
<b>Garry Edgar</b>	Useless. The type of people who dump kittens do not like cats. Take no responsibility.	Education campaigns are good but have to have results. In this instance outcome would be minimal.
<b>Gary and Claudine Westacott</b>	education campaign beneficial although local and state paper adverts informing public of legislation adequate. Those who object to costs cannot afford to be a responsible pet owner.	
<b>Gaye Godfrey-Nicholls</b>		
<b>Gaye Reeves</b>		
<b>Gaylene Proud</b>		
<b>Gayrth Santen</b>		
<b>Geoff Barrett</b>		
<b>Geoffrey Harris</b>		
<b>George Gatenby</b>		
<b>Geraldton-Greenough</b>		
<b>Gillian Tedder</b>		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Glen Stockley</b>	unlikely to change peoples behaviour. Legislation positive initiatives	
<b>Glennis McDonald</b>	Education needs legislation. Education will campaign will increase support for welfare agencies such as Cat Haven.	
<b>Graeme Bride</b>		
<b>Graham Eogson</b>	a "Who's for cats program could be modified to be a 'Whos for birds, lizards and Cats? How to live in harmony with both"	
<b>Grecian Sandwell</b>	Only responsible owners will take on board Education campaigns. Irresponsible owners cause the problem - therefore only legislation will manage the prob.	
<b>Greg Street</b>	education is essential to inform ownres of the legislation. Education for young people will have long term benefits for animal welfare and wildlife.	
<b>Gregg Hardwood</b>		
<b>Guat</b>		
<b>Guy Jordan Collins</b>		Waste of money. Doesn't work.
<b>Hamilton Stott</b>		
<b>Harriet Olney</b>		
<b>Hazel Brooks Mark Fletcher</b>		
<b>Hazel Hughes</b>	with an effective campaign owners will accept responsibility to have cats sterilised	state govt to embark on a comprehensive campaign to gain the acceptance and co operation of public. Such a campaign to focus on benefits of sterilisation and MC
<b>Heather Old</b>		
<b>Heidi Sowerby</b>	education is essential and may encourage compliance. It should be positive education	educate the importance of confinement for the cats own safety. Because 90% of owners already sterilise and 90% of breeding cats are already registere with State Registration Bodies, legislation is not needed
<b>Helen and Terry Cooke</b>		
<b>Helen Barnes</b>	educate through schools, tv, radio and LG's to send out notices to community	
<b>Helen Griffiths</b>		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Helen Lovitt-Raison	owners, rangers at shires, general public	
Helen McMillan		
Helen Potkura		
Helen Vos		
Helena Mills		
Helena Zielinska		
Herbie Whittall	Necessary for new legislation.	No trapping during daylight. Desexing will resolve most issues.
Hilary Johnston	Widely promote new legislation in media. Cat Owners need to be fully aware of new laws and how it will affect them so they can comply.	Big areas of contention missing in proposal is social dissention these proposed laws are causing between neighbours and cat owners. Better solution needed than allowing neighbours to trap cats who stray onto their property.
Howard Duff		
Ian Hartley	Legislation will promote resp. pet ownership and reduce neighbourly ill-feeling. Some need legislation to make them do right thing.	Don't support excessive fines or confinement. Too expensive and ugly to build cat runs. Education and awareness campaigns needed to give non cat owners humane tips to restrict access from outside cats onto their property. Do not support trapping.
Ian Watson		
Ian Knox	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Ieva Bergman		
Ilona Jaksic	Legislation is necessary	
Ione Atkinson	legislation more effective than education	
J Grebert		
J Rolandi		
J.E Soutar	education necessary but must be supported by legislation.	strong penalties for neglect or abuse to animals.
Jackie Carbro		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Jacky Lambert	Cost of education worth the benefits although needs legislation to work.	education is more important than legislation, most people are just ignorant and do not mean to cause harm or neglect o their cats
Jacqueline Morgan	media that might be most successful are TV and newspapers. Articles by recognised fauna speciallists/zoologists on the actual cost to the Australian ecology by the intro of cats to the natural ecosystem.	
Jan Savage	Will cost money but is necessary. However will not be useful unless backed by legislation.	include education in schools. Media campaign to include famous personalities such as Dr Harry.
Jane Wedgwood	Cost needs to be assessed. Education without legislation will not work	
Janelle Graham	education over legislation	education first phase. Register owners not animals.
Janet Adams		
Janet Elwood	cost to wildlife is inexcusable. That should be the thrust of campaign	
Janet Robson		
Janet Williams	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	restrict or ban sale of kittens in pet stores
Janette Lionels		
Janice Fletcher	education needs legislation to work.	
Janice Moy	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Jannette Ruth Haight		
Jasmin Galati	Years ago people let dogs wander and with changes to legislation and education most owners now keep their dogs secured. The same attitude need to be invoked on cat owners.	Compulsory to keep confined at night.
Jay Innes	must have legislation	
JE Pearce		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Jean Barclay	education always better than regulation but education is not working in this case	
Jean D'Alonzo		
Jeanette Spiller	education provides info but legislation is required	
Jehangir R Mehta	education may help.	
Jelena May		
Jemma Sivyver		
Jennifer Gates	a combination of education and legislation is needed	enclosed cat runs should be encouraged. Special restrictions on cat ownership in ecologically sensitive areas eg bushland, wetlands etc
Jennifer Irvine		
Jennifer Le Quesne		
Jennifer Sparrows	Those who dump not receptive to education campaigns	
Jessica Sheppard		
Jill Kennedy	education is important but legislation is the only answer.	T&C has its advantages but they can cause damage to the cats.
Jill Thomas		
Jim Anderson	An education campaign is desirable to explain the benefits of new policies	
Jim Brazil	Education beneficial but needs legislation to make it work as some people will not comply unless forced	
Jim Lewin		
Jim Maclean		
Jim McInerney		
Jo Donnellan	education to inform owners and the population the benefits of legislation, however its useless without legislation	
Jo Gunning		
Joan Hatton	Legislation is necessary	
Joan Payne	education and legislation need to work together. The laws need to be enforceable.	adults and children need to be education together to obtain positive results.
Joanne Quelch		
Joanne Wakefield		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Joe Froudust	education is essential to highlight health benefits of sterilisation and show what an impact large cat numbers have on environment and society	LG to continue to encourage responsible ownership through educational and promotional programs and by subsidising sterilisation
Joe Potter		
John and Michelle Tan	Positive education with legislation	
John Collingridge		
John Keyworth		
John McKinney		
John Mitchell	Benefits of education is to educated irresponsible owners on reasons for them to actively look after their pet inc the impacts not only fauna but residents that are disturbed by cat fights at night	
John Richardson		
John Rippey		
John Schindler		
John White		
Johnathon Noonan		
Jon Behrens		
Josette Hill		
Joshua Mildenthal		
Joy Verrinder		
Judith Beer	education and legislation go hand in hand.	
Judy Clarke		
Judy Giles	Who's for Cats. Recommend WA support report from Monash University "review strategies for effectively managing unwanted dogs and in Qlds"	greater cooperation between pet shops and animal welfare agencies
Judy Kozak	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Julia B Loubser		
Julia Brennan		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Julianne Waldock</b>	education campaign will cost more than legislative enforcement	
<b>Julie Badger</b>		
<b>Julie Crewe</b>	it all helps	we must stop cats wandering - no other domestic animal is allowed to wander and must be contained on owners property
<b>Julie Hickling</b>		
<b>Justine Ward</b>		
<b>Kanak Ranjan Ray</b>	letter box drop and advert in paper	
<b>Kara Breitenback</b>	Education waste of time and money. Those who will participate probably already have pets sterilised and MC. Others wont care or cant afford.	
<b>Karen Dent</b>		
<b>Karen McEniry</b>		
<b>Karen Price</b>		
<b>Karen Whitney</b>	education campaign important and to be funded by State	
<b>Karl</b>	Claws (Cat Law & Welfare Service) provide education free of charge, via downloading phamplets. Public need education on allowing cats to wander - feline HIV & leukemia is prevelant among strays - most people unaware. Education campaign definetely worth the cost.	Would love legislation to help wipe out catteries and cat mills via regulated MC and sterilisation prior to sale at pet stores. Pet Stores pose biggest problem, people buy on whim, those buyers represent highest amount of 'dumpers'. No way of prohibiting live animal sale at pet stores - legislation will be legendary if included issue of pet store animals
<b>Kate Taylor</b>	educate the public. Make it harder and place more responsibility on owners, just like dog laws	
<b>Kate Sutherland</b>	costs to be met by State Govt. needs legislation.	restrict cats p/household to 2. State Govt to offer subsidy to Cat owners as incentive to sterilise
<b>Kath Jacka</b>		
<b>Katherine Gaschk</b>		
<b>Katie Nelson</b>		
<b>Katy Jaksic</b>		
<b>Kaziah Dawes</b>		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Keiran McNamara		
Keith Anderson		
Kelly Roman		
Kerrie McKennay	broad education campaign needed for 12 months prior to legislation enactment.	
Kerry Luscombe		
Kerry-Jayne Braat and Mark Edwards	brochures to all households informing of legislation and the reasons for it.	
Kestri Seksel		
Kevin Davidson		
Kim Hardaker	education campaign fully funded by State Govt. media campaign prior to and after legislation is required.	Possible that a long term media campaign, properly targeted could achieve same outcomes as legislation.
Kim Muggridge		
Kim Stannard Brown	Best long term strategy to influence community behaviour and encourage voluntary ID, sterilisation and socially responsible ownership Important to deliver accurate and consistent messages via comprehensive state wide education campaigns. These programs will contribute to reduction of unwanted animals being euthanased.	laws and bans often quick fix. Vast majority of owners are responsible. Regardless of laws stray and feral will continue to breed unchecked. Collect data to understand pet populations and why some cats end up being euthanased in shelters, this will help develop workable strategies to address stray and feral problems.
Kimberley Post		
Kira Tracey	some people cant be educated so why should responsible owners foot the bill of a campaign.	
Kirsten Tullis		
Kirsty Connell		
KL Parry	Education is required but by itself is insufficient	
Kris Warren		
Kristy Rumble		
L Allison	Education in schools.	
Laurel Stones		
Laurina Bullen		



## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Lee Fontanini		legislation with education will assist community to understand the impact unsterilised cats have. Education can take years, use large amounts of resources and some may never learn. Fines and prosecutions are needed.
Lee Rowley		
Leigh Smith		
Len Abbatt		
Leonie Vianna		
Lesley Brocker	Make people spend time at a cat shelter where thousands of cats and kittens are euthanased	
Lesley Cheheney	educating public worth the outcomes of increasing compliance.	
Lexi Jury	AM are rarely sources adequately. Education expenditure, good programs and delivery are critical for policy objectives to be achieved and shift public attitude, although without legislation will not achieve it.	consult with Australian Institute of Animal Management (AIAM) and Animal Management in Rural and Remote Communities (AMRRIC) both are peak bodies.
Liddell Williams		
Lillian Young	education doesn't work on irresponsible people, they only respond to fines	
Linda Davies	yes inform public of breeding habits of cats and how they destroy wildlife	hope legislation goes through
Linda Johnson		
Linda Moffat Ray	Education beneficial but needs legislation to make it work as some people will not comply unless forced	
Ling Hoffman		
Lisa Anstis		
Lisa Conn	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Lisa Stevens		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Lisette Vis</b>	Institute education campaign alongside legislation so people understand reasons for legislation and their obligations when its introduced. Education is not an adequate replacement for legislation though.	
<b>Liz Allison</b>		
<b>Liz Bushby</b>	Education campaigns prove valuable in raising awareness but if legislation is essential for the objectives to be achieved. Volunteer sponsored education by Cat Haven has already led to significant increase in support for this recent legislation, so cost of a major compaign would appear to promise a good return on the investment	Education program for schools could change future thinking about animal welfare. Such a program could be part of extra curricular time (similar to garden projects) - perhaps supported by volunteers from animal organisations.
<b>Lorna Stewart</b>	the state Govt to fund any education/awareness campaigns. LG will contribute by disseminating info to community.	
<b>Lorraine June Judge</b>		
<b>Lucie Nicholls</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
<b>Lucille Fisher</b>	education in shopping centres and notices where cats are re homed (newspapers etc) is a must. Pet shops should be required to have a more significant educational role when selling kittens.	
<b>Lucy Simon</b>	an education program needs to be straightforward in what is required by cat owners, simple and direct and aired on prime time tv with clear advertisements in newspapers. Strong penalties clearly stated especially for the dumping of cats.	
<b>Lukas Baker</b>		
<b>Lyn Windram</b>	campaigns funded by State not LG	education campaigns run by RSPCA etc over the years only reach responsible owners. Cost issue for low socio-economic groups. Subsidy schemes would benefit.
<b>Lynda Pragnell</b>		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Lynn MacLaren		
Lynne Davis	Education will no achieve objectives in absence of legislation	Education campaigns should be presented in school years 2, 7 and 10 as well as other venues for adults. Be presented by recognised NFP animal welfare groups. Subsidised from rego revenues by Govt. Teach cat ownership is a privilege not a right and teach responsible ownership inc rego and MC.
M Bacich	Education crucial - helps people to understand why its important to have these laws.	LG's need to be resourced properly so they can carry out work. Consider how communities can be supported to ID and report stray/problem cats. Some of the money raised through policy should go back into RSPCA and other orgs.
Madalene Wallace	No	
Marcus Good	as per Gosnells comments: education campaign must be funded by State Govt or DLG no LG's. Process will require annual and ongoing multi media prior to legislation and after. Cultural change required in relation to obligations that come with ownership.	
Marcus Owen	Govt should carry out advertising program to introduce it	
Margaret Anne Ryan		
Margaret Bush		
Margaret Huggins		
Margaret Pearce		
Margaret Philson		
Margaret Young	cats should not be allowed to roam. An education program will show the damage cats do to wildlife.	cats more than 1km from housing regarded as feral and euthanased
Margot Tobin		
Maria McAdam		
Marilyn Clark Murphy		
Marion Vince		
Mark Hackleton		
Mark O'Donnell		
Mark Studd	Policy needs legislation to be successful.	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Mark Thompson		
Marra Apgar		
Mary Thompkins	proposal will have impact and achieve objectives	
Mary Townsend		
Matthew Whyte		The problem will not just go away because there are laws. Education and sterilisation is the answer.
Max Bazzica		
Megan Dare		
Megan Longwill		
Melissa Cundy	Education and information campaign should start asap. Make it clear that legislation will help cats and ensure their proper care. Information leaflets to be posted out with rate notices	Cats not nuisance, people are.
Melissa Smith		
Merv Williams		
Michael Duckett		
Michael Fitzgerald		
Michael Mills-Borley	Education important but Legislation required.	
Michael O'Connor		
Michael Warwick		
Michaela Collingwood	educate and enforce, people wont do it unless it's the law	
Michaela Newman		
Michelle Clarke	If people don't abide by legislation then Education wont help.	
Michelle Crowe		
Michelle Pierce	education is great but needs legislation too. Comprehensive tv, radio, newspaper and mail out is needed.	
Michelle Prince		Cat Curfew 7pm-7am. Need to be restrained at night.
Mick O'Regan	those who are irresponsible will not take notice of education campaigns	
Mick Wainwright		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Mike Butcher		
Mike Cox		Education is a key element. Evidence from Vic shows education is the single most valuable tool to aid animal control. AVA has PetPep program. Owner Education at the point of sale is crucial.
Mike Webster		No reason to try - no chance of educating
Mike Pimm	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Miranda Coffey	legislation along with fines for non compliance is crucial	
Mort Wignall		
Mrs Wilkinson	Education is useful but some people need to be forced to comply	
Mundaring		
Nancy Dowling	benefits community. Time and money to produce materials	
Nancy O'Hearn	Benefits are responsible Cat Ownership. Cats who are not allowed to wonder, life longer. (no fights)	Promote Responsible Cat Ownership
Nancy Tang		
Natalie Bell		allow public to trap cats caught on their property. Trap handed to shire.
Natalie Mason		
Natalie Rogers	benefit is people will think carefully before keeping a cat	
Natasha Atkins	keep a record of bad owners and don't allow them ownership in future	
Nedlands		
Nick Bursey		
Nicky Kain	education will not work on its own, needs legislation.	
Nicole Stewart	Education is important so owners understand benefits of registration and sterilisation	
Niel Mitchell		
Nigel Bancroft		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Norma Coley		
Northam		
Olena Shulyayeva	Logistically and culturally difficult to implement in rural areas. Education needed.	
Oliver Sellars		Tried over many years - doesn't work
Olivia Moriae		
Owen Thomas		
P Watts		
Paddy Strano		
Pam Masters		
Pamela Lanigan		
Pamela Townsend		
Pascoe Durtanovich	education programs from Cat Haven and RSPCA have resulted in a reduction to stray cats roaming and increased sterilisation rates over past 20 years. Programs must continue.	
Patricia Baird	Education required but needs legislation to work	Cats kept confined during the day in a cat run. Inside at night.
Patricia Bensley		
Patricia Lea	education campaign including leaflet drop to all households as well as a notice included with rate notices	
Patricia Mead		
Patricia Townsend	Education program better than none but on its own will fail. Needs the power of legislation behind it. Education conducted to tell people of new cat laws	
Patricia Zanich	needs legislation too	
Paul and Kerri Hodder	without legislation few owners will comply. Education makes people aware - legislation forces them to do something	
Paul Gamblin		
Paul Loring	Educate people on cost of cats and responsibilities as owners. Educate on vaccinations, health checks etc.	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Paul McManus		
Paul Nayler		
Paul Smithson	education program should achieve policy objectives although there are substantial costs and should be funded by State Govt or DLG no LG's. Will require annual, ongoing multi media campaign prior and after Legislation.	Possible that a long term media campaign, properly targeted could achieve same outcomes as legislation.
Paula Gee	education will benefit reducing cat population although legislation is needed	
Pauline Cope		
Penny Lee		
Peter Abetz		
Peter Carrie	must be legislation	
Peter de Groot		
Peter Louden	education to support legislation is essential. Should outline the costs to environment, wildlife, community and unnecessary euthanasia of cats	
Peter Marsh		
Peter Nicholson	yes, awareness campaign needed but legislation required to make people comply	
Peter Sazanov		
Peter Watson	education alone will not achieve objectives.	
Peter White	education advantageous. Campaign aimed at school aged children.	compulsory sterilisation will over some years reduce the terrible euthanasia rates we have at present
Phil Avery	education is the key	
Phil Cleaver		
Phil Hopwood	Need legislation along with education to achieve policy objectives.	
Phil Tucak		
Philip Goad		
Phillip Rowe	Legislation absolutely necessary. Education only as an introduction to campaign.	Only option legislation.
Plantagenet		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>R.J Wainwright</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	Limit cats p/household to prevet hoarders
<b>Raana Scott</b>		
<b>Rainer Ropke</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	Some people will not comply unless forced. Children need to be educated
<b>Rani Stewart</b>		
<b>Ray Errington</b>	vital in conjunction with legislation. LG should encourage confinement	
<b>Raymond Hammond</b>	raise awareness of benefits of sterilisation - calmer, less territorial, less spraying etc	
<b>Raymond Mell</b>		
<b>Raymond Phillip Giblett</b>	must be enforced by way of having cats impounded if caught straying, fined and not realised until comply with legislation	
<b>Rebecca Simmonson</b>		
<b>Renee Cusworth</b>	Education important but Legislation required.	
<b>Rhonda Summersby</b>	Education encourages compliance but legislation will enforce reluctant owners to comply	
<b>Ric Turner</b>		
<b>Richard Harris</b>	Education is the key as many don't understand	
<b>Rick Walduck</b>	Education program targetted at cat ownres and school aged children on effects of feral cats to wildlife, in conjunction with rebate programs	
<b>Ricky Burges</b>		
<b>Rob Hanbury</b>	Extensive public education program needed for legislation to succeed. Education and training program must be developed for Ranges and Officers who have responsibility of enforcement.	Education program funded by State Govt not LG.
<b>Rob Schorer</b>		



## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Robun Stibbs</b>	policies not achieved without legislation. Some people wont adhere unless enforced.	educate children about responsible ownership
<b>Robyn Griffin</b>		
<b>Robyn Wright</b>	Education important part of behavioural change. Will assist new policy.	
<b>Rod Cleary</b>		
<b>Rodney and Sofia Carson</b>		
<b>Roger B Perkins</b>		
<b>Rolf Gentsch</b>		
<b>Ron Innes</b>		
<b>Ron McDavitt</b>		
<b>Ron Scantlebury</b>		
<b>Rory Chapple</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
<b>Rory Crossland</b>		
<b>Rosalie Corbellini</b>	Education alone wont achieve cat control. Education will assist and should be aimed at all ages inc a package aimed at primary and pre school children. Costs shared between State and LG's - major share bourne by State.	
<b>Rosalind Robinson</b>	No as people havent done the right thing in the past	
<b>Rose van der Waag</b>		
<b>Rosemary Donald</b>		
<b>Roslyn Thompson</b>	Yes enforcing and information by each Shire. TV, media information	Too many q's on same subject, bogs down average reader
<b>Roz Davies</b>		
<b>Sally Kalejs</b>	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
<b>Sandra Baraiolo</b>		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Sandra Gent	costs/benefits - cannot be quantified achieve objectives - possible State should run a campaign	add animal welfare to school curriculums. Advertising by State Govt.
Sandra Hughes		
Sandra Leach		
Sanne Anderson		
Sara La Macchia		
Sara Johnston		
Sarah House		
Sasha Davies		
Shane O'Neill	education likely to have wider impact than legislation.	include children in education
Shannon MacPherson		
Sharin Oliver		
Sharon Blackman		
Sheila Cockbain		
Sheila Cowan	Benefits include a large uptake of cat control population	
Sheila Howat		
Sheila Pragnell	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Shelagh White Robin Hawken	education programs to be funded out of registration	specific funding toward stray and feral elimination programs
Sheree Edmondson		
Sheri Metternick-Jones	Legislation is necessary	Benefits to owners and cats must be widely advertised with incentives
Shire of Sepentine Jarrahdale		
Shire of Collie	achieves voluntary compliance however needs legislation to work	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
<b>Shirley Tilbury</b>	Education on its own will not achieve affect. Do need education campaign.	Hated idea of excessive fines in City of Joondalup disallowed Cat LL. Lead to disharmony with neighbourhood - cat haters would call rangers whenever cat wandered onto their property. Encourage owners to keep in at night. Expensive and ugly to build cat runs. Education tips on how to stop cats coming into your property.
<b>Sirhowy Andrea Noel</b>	Helpful but can be a waste of money if not approached the right way.	Only campaigning will not solve this dilemma.
<b>Sondra Noonan</b>		
<b>Sonja Rose</b>		
<b>South Perth</b>		
<b>Stef Haywood</b>		
<b>Stephanie Slanzi</b>		
<b>Steve Cummings</b>		
<b>Steve Vanstan</b>	State Govt to advertise on behalf of LG. Printing of posters and phamplets. TV and radio advertising, advertising in majority of district and local newspaper - new laws	Promote 'no feeding' of semi owned. Compulsory sterilisation. Compulsory MC
<b>Stewart Ford</b>	Multiple benefits in achieving successful cat legislation. All education campaigns funded by State Govt (or Dept of LG) and not LG. Process requires annual ongoing multi media campaign, prior to legislation and after.	Long term media campaign, property targeted could achieve same outcomes as legislation. The discussion paper does not give confidence in achieving desired outcome. Proposals appear to place increasing financial and logistice burden on LG without demonstrated benefits.
<b>Stewart Lyon</b>	Encourage owners to keep in at night. Educate them on benefits of sterilisation and give incentives for this. Make people aware of new laws and give time to adjust. Educate people on nice ways they can discourage cats from entering their properties.	
<b>Stuart David Hynd</b>		
<b>Sue Gleave</b>		
<b>Sue Lantzke</b>		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Sue McGuire		
Susan Game		
Suzanne Gulikers	education plus policy = success	
Suzanne Holder	Education is a good thing for those who don't understand and at school level. Education for understanding adults is a waste of time and money as they don't care - and a hit to the pocket is all they would understand.	
Suzanne Peak	Market good caring cat ownership as 1- purchasing through RSPCA, Cat Haven etc 2- sterilisation 3- MC 4- rego. Councils should discount rego to create positive community pressure. Then fines can be issued ethically. Cat owners should not own bird baths. Discourage people from feeding and petting cats that aren't theirs. Encourage an outdoor confinement area. People to purchase a cat when children are older than 3 years of age - minimise risk of smothering. Only own a cat if have permanent residence, they don't move well.	Education campaigning vital so people understand registration fee and don't just see as another tax
T Atkinson		
Tammy Wilson		
Tara Reid		
Teresa Lees	Only responsible owners will take on board Education campaigns. Irresponsible owners cause the problem - therefore only legislation will manage the prob.	educate people that cats do not need one litter before sterilisation
Teresa McCarthy		
Teresa Moy		
Thalia O'Sullivan		
Thomas Alan		
Tim Brokenshire		
Tim Clynych	Education not enough, must be legislation as well - some won't comply unless fine	Cats should be treated same as dogs - compulsory rego etc.
Tim Jones		

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

RESPONDENT	20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?	21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
Tim Watson	education and legislation go hand in hand. Education to explain new rules and consequences.	will be expensive in first few years but costs will minimise as more owners comply and stray and feral numbers are reduced
Toni Shapland	education through media, newspaper, radio etc good but needs legislation.	
Tony Douglas Brown		
Tony Williams		
Tracey Joynson		
Traci Myers		
Tracy Wealleans	not achieved without legislation	campaign should dispel myths about a cat needing to have one litter before sterilisation
Trevor Hein		
Ursula Adams		
Ursula Blankenburg	Multiple benefits in achieving successful cat legislation. All education campaigns funded by State Govt (or Dept of LG) and not LG. Process requires annual ongoing multi media campaign, prior to legislation and after.	Long term media campaign, property targeted could achieve same outcomes as legislation. The discussion paper does not give confidence in achieving desired outcome. Proposals appear to place increasing financial and logistic burden on LG without demonstrated benefits.
Uta Wicke	cost of voluntary compliance through education is appropriate for positive benefits it achieves. Education needs legislation to back to up.	
Valerie F Mitchell	education campaign highlighting issues with feral cats will help people to understand the problem. This will get the program public support.	
Vaness Roberts		
Vanessa Bowden		
Vicki Thompson		
Victoria Murphy		
Virginia Hardaker	Legislation is necessary	kittens as gifts - irresponsible.
W Depiazzi		
Warren Bow	shopping centre displays offering low cost sterilisation at local vets	

## Education and Training Needs to Support the Cat Legislation (Q20-21)

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>20 ANY OTHER QUANTIFIABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS?</b>	<b>21 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?</b>
<b>Wayne Clarke</b>	Good to teach future pet owners the responsibilities involved.	
<b>Wendy Brown</b>		
<b>Wendy Crawford</b>	Education essential. Legislation on its own wont work. People must support. Difficult to enforce legislation. In short term unowned cats will increase.	
<b>Wendy J Dallywater</b>		
<b>William John Robinson</b>		
<b>William Shanklin</b>		
<b>William Strong</b>	children should be taught at school about animal care and responsibility	
<b>Winifred Bencich</b>		
<b>Xanthi Christidis</b>	Waste of time. Large portion of people that this policy is aimed at are in low socia economic group and not interested.	
<b>Xianwa Niu</b>	will assist cat owners in community in becoming responsible owners	
<b>Yvette Vittorio</b>		
<b>Yvonne Johnson</b>		